City of Greater Geraldton – Residential Onsite Effluent Disposable Study- Schedule of Submissions				
Number and Date	Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Reply

1	Private Submission	D-16-77521	8/12/201	16
7/12/2016		Asking questions regarding the marine life, how the	D-16-792	226
		systems have managed to work so efficiently for so long.		
2	Private Submission	D-16-79317	9/2/2017	7
15/12/2016		Wants to know what will happen with leases.	D-17-09 ⁻	145
		Objects to pulling down all the houses as it will cause a		
		mess with asbestos.		
		Recommends to extending the leases for 10 years and the		
		City employee a FTE to look after the area right away.		
3	Private Submission	D-16-80829	9/2/2017	7
22/12/2016		Commended the City on presenting the study at the	D-17-09 ⁻	145
		community meeting.		
		Wants all alternative systems to be explored and to keep in		
		mind the resident's limited financial means.		
		Friends of Point Moore and the City to maintain a positive		
		cooperation.		
		Has emailed Biocycle wastewater treatment system for		
		their input to any systems they could provide with		
		costings.		
4	Private Submission	D-17-05560	9/2/2017	7
25/1/2017		No surprises from the study due to topography and old	D-17-088	383
		infrastructure that would fail to meet contemporary		
		regulations.		
		When purchasing their properties they were of the		
		understanding that the wastewater systems were		
		functional and compliant. The residents to face the		
		financial burden to maintain their lifestyle choice will be		
		taxing on their mental and physical wellbeing.		
		The City is to make a statement that it will work closely		
		with the Friends of Point Moore.		
		Feels the City is best placed and resourced to find a system		
		that will reduce or diffuse burden on leaseholders.		

City of Greater Geraldton – Residential Onsite Effluent Disposable Study- Schedule of Submissions				
Number and Da	te Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Reply

5	Private Submission	D-17-05682	9/2/2017
25/1/2016		Has discussed the study with a senior person at Water	D-17-08891
		Corporation who has informed him that the study is	
		interesting but not instructional nor definitive and that the	
		sewerage problem and the leases should not be joined in	
		consideration. That Point Moore is already on the infill	
		program.	
		He believe a universal lease with an expiry date the same	
		as the caravan park would be fair.	
		Report does not imply much of a health hazard to the	
		general population but if Department of Health were to	
		have a direction in that respect that, the infill program	
		priority would increase.	
		Everyone is away of the pros and cons of living in Point	
		Moore and believes it's all a storm in a tea cup.	
6	Private Submission	D-17-05953	2/9/2017
27/1/2017		Is looking to the City for a solution to an affordable	D-17-09145
		wastewater disposal system in Point Moore.	
7	Private Submission	D-17-05954	2/9/2017
27/1/2017		Resident of Point Moore are egger to work closely with the	D-17-09145
		City and Governments to come up with an affordable	
		wastewater system.	
8	Friends of Point	D-17-06320	2/9/2017
30/1/2017	Moore Inc.	The ROETD study is a creditable report but indicates very	D-17-09145
		little to no risk to local residents, beach users and the	
		marine environment currently or into the foreseeable	
		future.	
		Care fully aware they live in a low-lying coastal area if	
		increased risk due to the encroaching global warming, sea	
		level rise and costal processes.	
		FoPM are eager to work closely with the City and other	
		agencies to develop an affordable solution to reduce the	
		possible issues with the current septic systems.	

City of Greater Geraldton – Residential Onsite Effluent Disposable Study- Schedule of Submissions				
Number and Da	te Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Reply

9	Private Submission	D-17-06387	9/2/2017
30/1/2017		The study can neither prove nor discount that Point Moore	D-17-08905
		leaseholders/residents are at any extreme risk of	
		groundwater contamination.	
		Septic system deemed non-compliant but have never	
		experienced any troubles with the system since living in	
		Point Moore for 20 years.	
		WA planning commission raised the issue in 2007 but the	
		City sat on the information for 7 years. Before pulling it out	
		as a reason not to extend leases. However, the general feel	
		is that most Point Moore residents want to work closely	
		with the City for a manageable and affordable system.	
		Feels the lease fees could be used for the 6-10 Million	
		figure in the study but the area is apparently on the infill	
		map already. Over the course of the 14-year lease, the City	
		will recoup 10million in rates and leases from Point Moore	
		and would be nice to see that go into the solution.	
10	Ian Blayney MLA	D-17-06452	8/3/2017
30/1/2017	2/5 Chapman Road,	Supports the extension of the leases to 2045 in line with	D-17-16649
	Geraldton WA	the caravan park.	
		Acknowledges the potential of seal level rise but consider	
		that if people know and accept this risk they have a right to	
		stay.	
		Thinks an infill sewerage will need to be provided and that	
		this should be able to provide a long-term contribution	
		scheme from leaseholders and some state government	
		contribution.	
11	Private Submission	D-17-06500	9/2/2017
30/1/2017		States he was miss lead when he purchased in 2010 by	D-17-08924
		Real estate Agents First national as they said the lease	
		would extend past 2028.	
		The value of the properties have now decreased to 60,000	
		in today's market.	

City of Greater Geraldton – Residential Onsite Effluent Disposable Study- Schedule of Submissions				
Number and Date	Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Reply

	I	The state of the s	1
		This the studies are interesting but feel that if all	
		households in Geraldton were subject to this test would	
		find many more septics non-compliant. Feels the City is	
		finding ammunition to defend their stance.	
		His willing to work with all agencies to find an affordable	
		and manageable solution.	
12	Private Submission	D-17-06655	9/2/2017
31/1/2017		The study can neither prove nor discount that Point Moore	
		leaseholders/residents are at any extreme risk of	
		groundwater contamination.	
		Septic system deemed non-compliant but have never	
		experienced any troubles with the system since living in	
		Point Moore for 20 years.	
		WA planning commission raised the issue in 2007 but the	
		City sat on the information for 7 years. Before pulling it out	
		as a reason not to extend leases. However, the general feel	
		is that most Point Moore residents want to work closely	
		with the City for a manageable and affordable system.	
		Feels the lease fees could be used for the 6-10 Million	
		figure in the study but the area is apparently on the infill	
		map already. Over the course of the 14-year lease, the City	
		will recoup 10million in rates and leases from Point Moore	
		and would be nice to see that go into the solution.	
13	Private Submission	D-17-06826	9/2/2017
31/1/2017		Disappointment in the length of time it's taken for Council	D-17-08928
		to implement the Study from the decision to the delivery	
		of the study.	
		Believes the report is confusing, ambiguous and state the	
		obvious in most instances.	
		Poses the question on how can only 10% septics inspected	
		be able to draw conclusions from. Asks the question of	
		why even mentioning that none of the blocks are over	
		2000m2 and would not meet current day standards.	

	City of Greater Geraldton – Residential Onsite Effluent Disposable Study- Schedule of Submissions				
Number and Date	Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Reply	
		The study does not examine nor consider self-contained unity and he should install his own self-contained waste water system that is pumped out. He is happy to sign a lease with conditions regarding responsibility for wastewater management and exempting the City of all or any liability from further inundation from the sea.			

City of Greater Geraldton – Residential Onsite Effluent Disposable Study- Schedule of Submissions				
Number and Date	Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Reply