

Submission	Date	Submitter	Nature of Submitter	Comment	Recommendation	Reference
1	14/01/2016	Private submission leaseholder Non	Study has been comprehensive, from the report understands that the area is safe until 2070, would like to know the outcome of the meeting in regards to extension of leases after 2030	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-02860
2	15/01/2016	Private submission leaseholder Non	Believes that the tide rising is an excuse to get what the City wants	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-03199
3	20/01/2016	Water Corporation 629 Newcastle Street Leederville WA 6007 Non leaseholder	Reticulated water currently available to lease hold cottages and retirement village, not located in dedicated road reserve which is preferred option of utility providers code of practice. Protection and access to water should be considered, relocation may be required due to outcomes of report and may be required to be funded by developer or proponent. Reticulated sewerage not currently available, current wastewater planning may no longer be current. Area may now require ground level to be raised to meet minimum access chamber lid levels for storm events.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-04495
4	22/01/2016	Civil Aviation Safety Authority GPO Box 2005 Canberra ACT 2601 Non leaseholder	No comments	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-05007
5	4/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Points out in 150 years of Geraldton's existence never been a coastal inundation event at Point Moore, believes because protected on South, North an West by lines of reef. 3 pages of notes provided	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-07019
6	3/02/2016	Cassie Chew Office of the Director General Department of Environment Regulation The Atrium 168 St Georges Terrace Perth 6000	No comment on the study in reference to regulatory responsibilities under the Environmental Protection Act 1986 and the Contaminated Sites Act 2003.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-07129
7	30/01/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Lived in West End for more than 25 years, never had a problem with septic system or water rising. Wanting to know if there is so much risk why has Council given \$200K to Search & Rescue to extend and rebuild as they are a lot closer to the sea than the cottages. Want to know what they are expected to do if they are required to move	Sea, Search and Rescue received funding from the State, not Local Government. Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-07095
8	3/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Owned beach cottage since early 1980s during which time there have been many weather events with no risk to the property. Believes it is unnecessary concern.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-07277
9	6/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Believes report does not pose any insurmountable problems, however should he have known prior to purchasing he is doubtful he would have proceeded with the sale. Doesn't feel that if there was a 500 year event occur in the area there would be a great deal of angst, but not necessarily a catastrophe. Everyone should be made aware of emergency evacuation plan so that people are aware of what needs to happen should it occur. Can see no way the report can have a detrimental impact on the continuation of leases	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-07481
10	9/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x2	Have owned cottage since 2008 and have no concerns about inundation, they believe the whole of the Geraldton coastline to be surveyed, they feel there are other locations more at Risk than Point Moore. Believe assumptions were extreme and unrealistic	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-07930
11	7/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Believes findings severely skewed and alarmist due to SPP 2.6 guidelines. For planning of New coastal developments SPP 2.6 is appropriate. Application of SPP 2.6 has yielded result that has not considered long term resilience of Point Moore to severe storm/tsunami events that have severely impacted other areas of Geraldton. Believes City needs to manage exposure to risk, but also has social responsibility to provide and maintain environment creating happy and harmonious community.	Acknowledgement of submission. Study has been done in line with the requirements of the State Coastal Planning Policy.	Note the submission	D-16-08202
12	4/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Advised how long he had been living in the area	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-08301
13	3/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Believes there are ulterior motives. Using sea level rise as an excuse.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-08767
14	31/01/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Leaseholder resident for 26+ years, during his time Point Moore has experienced several storm and heavy prolonged downpour occurrences resulting in rough sea conditions and threatening wind levels. Area rapidly recovers from bad nasty weather, not sure why. Well known fact Point Moore has proven to be a lot more resilient to conditions of inundation that other areas/suburbs of Geraldton. There are many more locations in WA at risk than Point Moore, has been much media coverage	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-08779
15	12/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	family has been continuous leaseholder for 28 years never experienced inundation storms when other parts of Geraldton have. Minimal management measures already yielded an increase in height and volume in protective sand dunes at Point Moore beaches, dunes growing at Point Moore North and West, South has stabilised. 1 in 500 year event is extreme and unrealistic, believes process should be applied to all locations along Greater Geraldton coastline.	Acknowledgement of submission. Study has been done in line with the requirements of the State Coastal Planning Policy.	Note the submission	D-16-09045
16	12/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Thanks CGG for having study done, reassuring to know that no new development can occur due to findings of study. Also reassuring only existing development needs to be protected. Proposes access roads to beach be raised in line with existing sand dunes which would created a levy bank around Point Moore, would minimise any chance of inundation.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-09053

17	12/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Lived in Point Moore for 28 years, never had any problems with storm surges or coastal inundation. Study is far too extreme doesn't align with the history of Point Moore, area has stayed dry when other areas have been inundated. Since access to dune system by public has stopped there has been a massive regeneration of vegetation and dune volume, City to be congratulated for the initiative.	Acknowledgement of submission. Study has been done in line with the requirements of the State Coastal Planning Policy.	Note the submission	D-16-09230
18	12/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x2	No more under threat of erosion than was 50 years ago. Council have no right to take peoples homes, their choice and in most cases all they can afford, put hard earned money into their homes. Believe Council to have hidden agenda, if we want them out we must pay. If Pt Moore goes under water then so is most of Geraldton's waterfront. Will take to the highest court, believe the City has no right.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-09410
19	10/02/2016	Private submission Non-leaseholder	Queries whether Point Moore the only existing development that doesn't meet guidelines of State Coastal Planning Policy? Notice serious issues at St Georges Beach, Sunset and Drummonds, would be good to know what studies or information available regarding reclaimed land at marina, rock groynes and overall effect of coastal areas and tidal shifts due to these. State Coastal Planning Policy states that new development should be safe from an erosion event, in the interest of existing development where families homes are at stake concerned to know if there is research carried out to protect existing properties. What is plan for these properties and families? If plan is not renewing leasing but studies are assisting to avoid or manage risks what is the plan for the land if forced to move.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-09787
20	7/02/2016	Department of Planning 140 William Street Perth WA 6000 Non-leaseholder	Refers to previous letter. Point Moore is already at risk from coastal hazards and that the risk is increasing both in extent and depth. There is sufficient basis and detail in the study to conclude against extension of the current leases when they expire at 2028. It is unlikely the City or the Department of Lands would wish to fund and maintain coastal protection works for this Crown Reserve.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10207
21	7/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x2	Greys Bay restoration has been down for approx. 12 months and seems to be working well, same process should be implemented on the Western side of Point Moore and build sand dunes to required 100 cubic metres to help with storm events (plus build beach access roads up to required heights)	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10273
22	18/02/2016	Department of Regional Development 15 Stuart Street Carnarvon WA 6701 Non-leaseholder	No comment on the report findings.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10376
23	18/02/2016	Department of Parks and Wildlife PO Box 72, Geraldton, 6531 Non-leaseholder	No comments on the report findings.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10381
24	13/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Husband loved coming there in his youth, he has since passed and she has bought 2 places, one for each of their children as she would love to pass it on to them	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10521
25	14/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x2	Living in Point Moore is about a caring community, lived in Pt Moore for 2 years before work took them away from town. Visited Point Moore residents for a number of years and experienced no problem with inundation. Support sand trappings technique and revegetation work to stabilise the area.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10528
26	8/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x2	Obtained land at Auction in 1977. Used as holiday place with Children and Grand Children, allows them to have somewhere to stay when they have to come to town for medical appointments or business meetings, even just to get away from the farm. Never had any trouble with water during storms, and no trouble with the septic system.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10529
27	19/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	All Midwest coastline will be affected by rising sea levels in the years to come, no one knows when Point Moore will be inundated, and this is reflected in the report which looks at cyclone related issues rather than rising sea levels. One of the easier areas of Midwest coast to defend and would expect full support from CGG to do whatever is required to support the Point Moore Community. Believes lease extension to 2040 should be granted.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10635
28	18/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Understands that no new development can take place, however doesn't believe there is anything in the study that precludes the extension or leases	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10638
29	16/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x2	concerned that study only taken place for Point Moore area, surely if high tides or act of God or severe storm was to occur would affect the whole of Geraldton. Only last year had a storm where Point Moore did not flood, yet main street of Geraldton did, why is it that all of a sudden the City has decided that Point Moore is a hazard to residents. Been coming to Geraldton since school days some 55 years ago, only affected area from storms is the southern bank. Surely same rock wall which protects Dongara Caravan Park could be done for protection. How come the old house and land near the light house is for sale and classified as Rare Earth, yet rest of Point Moore is under scrutiny	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10795

30	22/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Thanks CGG for commissioning the study sooner rather than later. Doesn't believe the report shows Point Moore or surrounding areas to be in any imminent or near future danger of severe inundation event, the modelling has used extreme assumptions. Beach cottages have existed for more than 50 years, never been a severe event in this time apart from the 204 Tsunami which had no effect on the Point Moore area. Mitigation measures can be implemented to address concerns raised in the report, include building up sand dunes, bypassing sand from Pages Beach and vegetation to dunes to stabilise. Dune restoration has been successfully implemented in the past at Point Moore. Not only beach cottages at perceived risk, other stakeholders with major infrastructure, eg rail line to the port, equally at risk of severe inundation event that fits within the report's scope, wouldn't imagine the City of Government would allow anything to happen to that.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10797
31	22/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Believe at no greater risk of a severe inundation event at Point Moore than any other low lying parts of Geraldton. Report not identified any significant risk to permanent habitation of the beach cottages, if at risk then so is Tarcoola Beach, Geraldton Foreshore and the houses at the marina on reclaimed land. Caravan park and Bel Air Lifestyle Village have much longer leases and are at the same risk, so beach cottages should not be singled out for consideration of non-renewal of leases until former mentioned parties leases expire.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10799
32	22/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Lived in Point Moore for over 35 years, never been an incident or inundation event. Doesn't believe the report to show any risk of severe inundation event in the near future, should not be considered as reason to not renew leases. Also a parcel of freehold land around the lighthouse to consider as part of the report, government needs to address that, on the market as prime piece of real estate which is misleading if we are living in an area that tis not suitable for permanent human habitation.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10800
33	22/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	From an early farming family in Greenough who would come to cottages for annual holiday, what a great time was had. Even with relocation of cottages it is still a great place to live, beautiful beaches and safe, plus for those in Golden Years it is a secure home with great neighbours who all look out for one another. Unique area and should be spared for longevity, especially with a lighthouse on the doorstep.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10803
34	17/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	At the time purchasing cottage assured by CGG staff that leases would continue to be renewed, not told that lives were at an unacceptable risk according to letter from State Govt in 2007. Letter along with study raises issues regarding inundation and erosion in the area, this is decades ago and recommendations were ignored, not acted upon and residents uninformed. Finds it astounding that all of a sudden is a major concern/issue, escalated to the point where hundreds of people will be potentially homeless because we are at risk. No more at risk than before according to prior studies, verging on neglect.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10868
35	22/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	CGG seems very focused on State policies and guidelines regarding inundation and coastal processes. Relates to issues raised as far back as the 1980s and legislation decades old. This legislation relates to environmental issues, which is fine, but neglects to consider social and cultural issues. CGG bound by state law to work with current legislation in these areas, it is not. Current legislation dictates that Govt provides quality housing that people can afford and provides people with opportunities to prosper through home ownership. Creating social issue of hundreds of homeless families directly contravenes this. Community survey shows that 75% of Point Moore residents are over 55, how is CGG going to provide for them if they are forced from their homes with no financial means to relocate. all on the basis of research, such as this inundation study, which is inconclusive and gives statistics of what may occur.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10869
36	22/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Point Moore is my home, doesn't want to be kicked out. Can't kick us out of our home because of something that might happen, no one can predict the future except for the Jedis, please leave us alone	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10870
37	22/02/2016	Private submission Non-leaseholder x2	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-10994
38	22/02/2016	Ian Blayney MLA Shop 2, 5 Chapman Road Geraldton WA 6530 Non-leaseholder	Supports a lease extension [sic]. The area currently serves as a useful site for people to live who would not be able to afford to buy normal housing. I have read the study and accept that there is some possibility that the area could be inundated at some point into the future. It would be my expectation that there would be considerable time to prepare for such an event - probably from a high tide and a cyclone coinciding. There would be time to evacuate the residents if necessary. Likewise, if residents choose to live in such an area, they accept that insurance may be limited or expensive. Their property may face a higher risk than in other parts of Geraldton. I am supportive of an extension to the current leases. On the question of provision of deep sewerage, it may be the case that it is unaffordable. If the case is proven that the current septic systems are not acceptable, then some kind of alternative system may be needed. I would like strong consideration of resident's ability to pay, to be made in this decision, but of course health of residents and beach users is paramount.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11039
39	22/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Bought cottage in old age, only a few years left, daughter living with him. House will be left to her, what will be her future?	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11082
40	22/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Study is only part of the Worley Parsons Greys Beach to Sunset Beach Coastal Process Study, encasing more than just Point Moore. As shown in study, Point Moore not the only area to be at risk, like the Northern Beaches north of the Marina, parts of Bluff Point, and also Sunset Beach. If there was ever some degree of inundation in the next 100 or so years believes it would be short and not a threat to human life. Councillors and any decision makers must be made aware Point Moore is not the only area of Geraldton apparently at risk	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11146
41	18/02/2016	Private submission Non-leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11147
42	18/02/2016	Private submission Non-leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11148

43	15/02/2016	Department of Health PO Box 8172 Perth Business Centre Western Australia 6849	When developing strategies based upon the findings in the report you may consider a risk assessment of each of the respective areas. Such strategies should incorporate issues such as disaster preparedness, emergency shelters and recovery. Recommended documents for review	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11173
44	23/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Why are we still giving access to the beach at Point Moore as it give 3 holes in a natural barrier from the sea. Block the access point t the beach and stop the small inundations happening if its going to this is a good start.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11233
45	22/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x2	Leaseholders of 3 properties since 1998, one of Geraldton's most iconic locations, visitors from overseas and Perth marvel at the beauty and variety of options. Since owning properties, only inundation has come from Water Corp when a main burst in Passat Lane and flooded the back yard and shed damaging leach drain. No storm surge or flooding from mother nature. When referring to a 1 in 500 year event not only Point Moore who will be impacted, would be whole of WA coast, how can you pin point a small dot on the coast without these being in the study? Community of Point Moore willing to work proactively with CGG to develop and implement strategies to minimise risks associate with possible inundation concerns.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11296
46	23/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Purchase house several years ago, enjoyed living in neighbourhood and felt the house was my nest egg for future housing. Never experienced inundation, believes report identifies area at low risk of inundation. Study indicates that while there is a risk, something that can be managed with care and planning. Pages and Point Moore are low maintenance for CGG, very popular beaches which seem to activate the community and encourage healthy lifestyles. Pays over \$2000 per quarter to CGG and feel city gets very good revenue for minimal input from the Point Moore Leasehold Fees. Suited to younger and older community members who will be most badly affected by any decision not to lengthen tenure. Would like opportunity to access longer lease periods or purchase property freehold. Large property near lighthouse to the west of residence for sale freehold, believes there are some inconsistencies. In favour of dealing with some issues by trying to establish on being eco-friendly, ensure all homes utilised grey water through echo friendly bio tank systems, ensure all houses com on to solar power.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11311
47	23/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Study cannot be taken seriously when determining future of leases in Point Moore. Study uses timelines which are beyond those which the next or any new leases would fall within. Study does not indicate how long any inundation would occur for, is flooding expected to be for one week or two hours? How would the City access whether the risk of inundation is manageable or not? Period of inundation is key to the level of damage, impacts upon infrastructure and requirements for emergency services assistance. Modelling does not mention presence of bedrock. Key factor in estimating erosion levels and the potential for rock to limit the impacts of coastal erosion. Depth of inundation crucial to assessing whether the risk is manageable, but not provided in the study for 2070. Depth maps provided are inadequate as they did not provide for period which cover the next potential lease renewal period. Study states that over last 60 years dunes on northern and western sides have accreted significantly, however inundation assessment for various time frames do not take this significant amount of accretion into account by using the present day. These points highlight significant gaps in the study which raise questions about ability to accurately assess and respond to potential risks	Acknowledgement of submission. Study has been done in line with the requirements of the State Coastal Planning Policy.	Note the submission	D-16-11371
48	10/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Inundation study should be applied to the coastline within the CGG to give a fair comparison. Well documented other areas are more prone to inundation before Point Moore. No evidence of storm surge at Point Moore threatening the homes. Should be given the opportunity to re-lease whilst signing a waiver that CGG would not be held liable should inundation occur.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11380
49	20/02/2016	Private submission Non-leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11386
50	20/02/2016	Private submission Non-leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11387
51	15/02/2016	Private submission Non-leaseholder	Place visits regularly to catch up with friends and enjoy the beach and its surrounds. Enjoys the uniqueness and being part of diverse and great community who look out for each other. Truly a unique community. Very appealing place for someone to invest in their future retirement. CGG to be congratulated on implementing the study, help put to rest any fears of severe inundation in the near future decades. Would seem the risk of any future inundation could only be attributed to any major event and the greatest risk being a 1 in 500 year event. Another reason for someone to invest in the area as they head towards environment. Draws conclusion that residents are on no greater risk of inundation than any other parts of low lying coastal communities close to the beach in WA, only risk would be under extreme circumstances. Ongoing rehabilitation work or sand dunes and some remedial work around beaches and restriction of movements of vehicles travelling between beaches could go a long way to help reduce erosion, in turn help build up the dunes and encourage natural vegetation to flourish. Would reduce erosion and minimise risk of any future minor inundation. Work done so far has helped rehabilitate the dunes already. Work at Grey's beach seems to be of an assistance in reducing erosion to that part of the beach and the City should be congratulated.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11441
52	17/02/2016	Bernie Millar Main Roads Western Australia Mid West-Gascoyne Region Eastward Road, Geraldton WA6531	Review of report indicates that road and rail transport corridor to Geraldton Port could be vulnerable to coastal erosion from 2030 onwards. Further coastal planning and projects to protect these assets should be undertaken. Main Roads would appreciate continued consultation if CGG undertakes any further coastal planning in the area.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11445

53	17/02/2016	Nigel Sercombe Regional Manager Department of Parks and Wildlife PO Box 72, Geraldton WA 6530	No comments	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11448
54	24/02/2016	Friends of Point Moore Inc.	Provides mission statement for Friends of Point Moore Incorporated. Understands the need to adapt to changing coastal conditions. There are many options for reducing the risk to people and property from inundation, ranging from engineering solutions through to working with nature. Accepting sea level rise as an inevitable consequence of climate change, the importance of having the best possible forecasts of the expected rate of rise cannot be understated. Believes that the threat of inundation has been overstated in this report, due to the extreme assumptions used in the modelling process; we see many opportunities to mitigate the threat with ongoing and evolving management strategies. Would like to see the CGG adopt a 'real world' approach when considering the findings of this report and accept the community's offer to work collaboratively to achieve an acceptable result for all stakeholders.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11536
55	15/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x2	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11690
56	22/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11704
57	23/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11539
58	16/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11720
59	15/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11701
60	15/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11727
61	16/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11597
62	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11600
63	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11601
64	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11602
65	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11603
66	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11604
67	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11606
68	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11607
69	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11609
70	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11703
71	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11611
72	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11598
73	26/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-17485
74	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-09763
75	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11731
76	14/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11615

77	14/02/2016	Private submission Non leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11614
78	14/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11616
79	14/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11630
80	14/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11633
81	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11627
82	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11620
83	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11612
84	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11636
85	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11646
86	14/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11650
87	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11654
88	22/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11667
89	14/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11651
90	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11700
91	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11663
92	23/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11745
93	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11661
94	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11657
95	24/02/2016	Private submission Change.Org 102 Names	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11718
96	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11655
97	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11707
98	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11725
99	24/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	<p>Observations: Point Moore is a unique and beautiful location to live which should be preserved as an example of our unique Geraldton lifestyle. No logical reason why Point Moore should be considered different from any other leasehold and freehold land in Geraldton & WA. Current uncertainty of future of leases after the expiry date creates a greater deal of personal and financial stress for current leaseholders and tenants of which a high percentage are elderly or low income earners. Ability of leaseholders and tenants to maintain and improve properties and community areas is being restricted by uncertainty. Inundation study is theoretical.</p> <p>Recommendations: Extend leases by another 30 years or longer, sell land freehold to leaseholders at a fair price, both options could come with disclaimer that owners lease/own properties at their own risk of future climate events, take definitive action quickly and fairly to give leaseholders and tenants peace of mind and allow them to enjoy the beautiful location and maintain and improve the individual properties and community and make it a positive example of Geraldton lifestyle</p>	Acknowledgement of submission. Maximum term of lease is a further 21 years only	Note the submission	D-16-11699

100	24/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	Lived in house since 2000, chose to live there fully knowing and accepting risk of inundation, if a Tsunami occurred then all of Geraldton is at risk, as is every other coastal town and City. When Boxing Day Tsunami occurred Point Moore was not at risk, Wharf, Toyota and central Geraldton went under water. Even when in the future water rising etc., other cities and towns have solutions to the problem, they don't ask their residents to give up their home.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11702
101	24/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11746
102	24/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11676
103	28/01/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Holiday at Point Moore every January and have so for last 4 years, plan on bringing their 6 children for many years to come. Point Moore is specifically where they want to stay and would be devastated if that wasn't an option	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11710
104	22/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	Runs holiday home/short stay accommodation, listed as No 1 place to stay in Geraldton in 2014/15 Lonely Planet Guide and also received sustainable living accolade. People stay from all over the world and feedback is always positive. Has a number of guests who return each year to beautiful Point Moore which is such an amazing place to share with others. Would be a shame not to share this special place will into the future. Community are keen to work with the City to do what is necessary to have leases renewed past 2028.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11712
105	24/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Please consider the long term community and long term residents in the West End. There are people there, families and pensioners who have invested significant time and money on their property to improve it. Continuing leases, or extending or offering freehold land option has to be considered despite the 1 in 500 event. Perhaps a clause in lease to allow Council to remove their responsibility from this sort of cost as a result of this unlikely event is required when lease is renewed. Such an icon of Geraldton, every effort has to be to preserve/conservate it.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11747
106	20/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Been a resident, leaseholder and ratepayer at Point Moore for 23 years, never been inundated or ever felt threatened, have no knowledge of Point Moore ever being inundated. One of the main causes of future inundation risk is stated as erosion, study's focus on erosion totally flawed because sand mining at Pages Beach is not considered as the cause of any erosion at Point Moore. Other studies in 1989, 1994 and 2012 clearly find a connection. There is no mention of this aspect being considered in your study.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11178
107	20/02/2016	Private submission leaseholder x1	Bought cottage in Feb 1992, only way they could afford to buy a house, knew it was on leased land with the understanding the lease would be renewed as it run out. Lease was renewed in 2007 until 2025 in line with other cottages. 24 years living happily in the area, very little vandalism and nice & quiet. Neighbours are all friendly, a lot are retirees like them, happy to be there effort the rest of their retiring year. Since 1992 only had one bad episode of storm water trouble, March 1999 when cyclone Larry went through Exmouth and had 6 inches of rain overnight, some streets flooded and only because drains were block with leaves. After that park was scooped out with an outlet drain, now when a lot of rain runs out quite quickly. Even when Tsunami ravaged Indonesia in 2004 didn't get any water surge like at the wharf. Erosion at south side of Point Moore, if it had rocks and the like put there four or five years ago, it would not have been such a big job last year. When similar studies are applied to remainder of coast line, outcomes will show a much greater level of risk exposure than that predicted for Point Moore	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11887
108	4/01/2016	Department of Lands 140 William Street Perth Western Australia 6000	Report indicates that the reserve is at risk from coastal hazards and that the risk is increasing both in extent and depth. The report provides impetus for further discussion with the City on the long term land use planning for the area post the expiry of the current leases on Point Moore Reserve 25459	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-02104
109	15/01/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Would like to make sure that every resident gets a copy of the report	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-03488
110	26/02/2016	Department of Planning Locked Bag 2506 Perth WA Street address 140 William Street Perth WA 6000	Area is constrained by a number of factors including surrounding Port activity and the likelihood that it will be subject to storm surges and long term inundation. Visitor activity, therefore, is considered the most compatible land use in the long term.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-15008
111	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11698
112	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11689
113	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11688
114	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11686
115	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11684
116	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11670

117	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11669
118	14/02/2016	Private submission Non-Leaseholder	Standard Response	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11668
119	24/02/2016	Private submission Leaseholder x1	Provides mission statement for Friends of Point Moore Incorporated. Understands the need to adapt to changing coastal conditions. There are many options for reducing the risk to people and property from inundation, ranging from engineering solutions through to working with nature. Accepting sea level rise as an inevitable consequence of climate change, the importance of having the best possible forecasts of the expected rate of rise cannot be understated. Believes that the threat of inundation has been overstated in this report, due to the extreme assumptions used in the modelling process; we see many opportunities to mitigate the threat with ongoing and evolving management strategies. Would like to see the CGG adopt a 'real world' approach when considering the findings of this report and accept the community's offer to work collaboratively to achieve an acceptable result for all stakeholders.	Acknowledgement of submission	Note the submission	D-16-11538

Standard Response

Point Moore is one of Geraldton's most iconic locations and every effort should be made to extend the existing leasehold arrangements beyond 2028 and well into the foreseeable future. The City of Greater Geraldton has commissioned the Point Moore Inundation & Coastal Processes Study in response to the concerns of the Department of Planning over the threat of inundation to the area. I believe that the report's modelling used extreme inputs, required by State Planning Policy SPP2.6, which would have always produced extreme outcomes. I believe that all lease holders, residents and the wider community of the CGG would appreciate the opportunity to work with Council, all levels of government and non-government organisations to achieve a fair, equitable and positive outcome for all stakeholders. This would include developing and implementing sound, environmentally engineered strategies to minimise the risks associated with future inundation concerns. In the near future when similar studies are applied to the remainder of the CGG's coastline, including the CBD, the outcomes will show a much greater level of risk exposure than that predicted for Point Moore. Recent dune stabilisation and enhancement projects undertaken by the Point Moore Coast Care Group in partnership with the CGG have achieved environmentally sound and economically viable results. Similar strategies led by partnerships between community and government agencies could greatly mitigate the risk of perceived future inundation. As I believe the CGG have a social and moral obligation to provide and maintain an environment that creates a prosperous, strong and vibrant community I would like to see the CGG adopt a 'real world' approach when considering the findings of this report