

1 (23/09/2014)	Department of Education	No objection to the proposed amendment.		Note submission
2 (03/10/2014)	Department of Aboriginal Affairs	There are no known registered Aboriginal sites or Other Heritage Places within the area of land to which the proposed amendment applies.		Note submission
3 14/10/2014)	Water Corporation	No objection.		Note submission
4 (24/04/2014)	Private Landowner	Object. We would strongly object if a liquor store/tavern was to be established on the land in question	The proposed 'Local Centre' zoning has the potential to accommodate a number of uses, including 'Liquor Store' and 'Tavern'. It is important to note that this proposal is for the rezoning of the land only and there has been no indication of, or application for, any type of development. However, any proposed	Note submission
			development will have to demonstrate that it is not detrimental to the amenity of the area. Additionally, any proposed land use managing alcohol would be subject to additional scrutiny as part of an application for Liquor Licencing through the Department of Racing, Gaming and Liquor.	
		There has been an increase in traffic flow to the Chicken Treat.	The site is located along the Brand Highway and a main thoroughfare to Mahomets Beach and as a result increased vehicle numbers are to be expected over time. It is not expected that traffic volumes will exceed the capacity of the existing road network.	Note submission
			As part of any future development of the site, the City would request a Centre Plan. As part of this plan a Transport Impact Assessment would be conducted and include assessment of the existing and proposed vehicle movements in the area.	

City of Greater Geraldton – Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton)					
	Amendment No. 71 – Schedule of Submissions				
Number & Date	Number & Date Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation				

4		There has been an increase in the discarded rubbish	It is important to note that the proposal is for the	Dismiss submission
continued		when walking to the surf club/beach area.	rezoning of the site only. The future	
			development on the land is a separate matter	
		Vandalism and anti-social behaviour already exists	and there are a range of uses that may be	
		especially from broken bottles and glass buried in the	considered on the site under the proposed	
		sand around the playground. This will undoubtedly result in injury to children	"Local Centre" zone.	
			There is no evidence to support the view that the	
		Where is the duty of care and who is responsible?	rezoning will create or increase anti-social	
			behaviour in the locality.	
5	Private Landowner	Object.	The site is located along the Brand Highway and	Note submission.
(21/10/2014)			a main thoroughfare to Mahomets Beach and as	
		I oppose the rezoning which is 1 residential block from	a result increased vehicle numbers are to be	
		ours.	expected over time. It is not expected that traffic	
			volumes will exceed the capacity of the existing	
		McAleer Drive has excessive street traffic for a	road network.	
		residential street due to the close proximity of the		
		beach.	As part of any future development of the site, the	
		T	City would request a Centre Plan. As part of this	
		The traffic has increased significantly since the BP	plan a Transport Impact Assessment would be	
		went to 24hrs and the Chicken Treat opened, with	conducted and include assessment of the	
		vehicles hooning out of the driveways onto residential	existing and proposed vehicle movements in the	
		streets.	area.	
		The Chicken Treat is an eyesore from our property	Chicken Treat was granted planning approval in	Note submission.
		with poor street appeal and landscaping. The	February 2010 subject to a number of conditions	
		signwriting is garish, there is pallets and rubbish	which included; vegetation screening along	
		stacked at the back.	McAleer Drive, a screened bin storage area and	
			general amenity conditions.	
		The small amount of convenience the existing		
		businesses have provided have not outweighed the	The City is in the process of ensuring	
		negative impacts they have bought along.	compliance with the conditions of the planning	
			approval.	

		City of Greater Geraldton – Town Planning Scho Amendment No. 71 – Schedule of Su	•	
Number & Date	Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Recommendation

5 continued	The excess litter quiet often ends up in our front lawn	It is important to note that the proposal is for the rezoning of the site only. The future	Dismiss submission
Continued	so I would strongly object to any business that would have the same impact.	development on the land is a separate matter	
	nave the same impact.	and there are a range of uses that may be	
	The development certainly won't improve the look of	considered on the site under the proposed	
	the suburb and depending on the nature of the	"Local Centre" zone.	
	business I expect to see more anti-social behaviour.	20001 0011010 201101	
	,	There is no evidence to support the view that the	
		rezoning will create or increase anti-social	
		behaviour in the locality.	
	These blocks were originally purchased from the City	The land was sold by the City in 2008. No	Dismiss submission
	in good faith that this beachside suburb was a	commitments or restrictions were passed on to	
	residential area.	the purchaser regarding possible future land	
		uses and zonings.	
	Council cannot make any guarantees nor will it care		
	once the site has been developed that it won't change	Since that time the City has undertaken	
	the vibe of the beachside suburb.	extensive commercial analysis as part of the	
		Commercial Activity Centres Strategy and the	
		area has been identified as a 'Local Centre'.	
		This does not undermine the role of the area for	
		primarily 'residential' uses but supports it by	
		ensuring that infrastructure, services, and	
		amenities are easily available for the local	
		community.	
	If the lot is to be rezoned 'Local Centre' it is my	The proposed "Local Centre" zoning has the	Note submission.
	understanding that the City can then allow almost any	potential to accommodate a number of uses,	
	business to open without further consultation. If	including 'Liquor Store' and 'Tavern'. Some of	
	someone was to propose a Liquor Store or Tavern	these uses require further advertising and the	
	etc. I understand that further consultation would be	City does have the discretion to advertise any	
	required.	application should it be considered necessary.	

		City of Greater Geraldton – Town Planning Sche Amendment No. 71 – Schedule of Su	•	
Number & Date	Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Recommendation

6 (23/10/2014)	Private Landowner	Object. Late night noise, drunken anti-social behaviour in local area, excessive traffic and increase in crime and hoon driving.	It is important to note that the proposal is for the rezoning of the site only. The future development on the land is a separate matter and there are a range of uses that may be considered on the site under the proposed "Local Centre" zone. There is no evidence to support the view that the rezoning will create or increase anti-social behaviour in the locality.	Dismiss submission.
7 (24/10/2014)	Private Landowner	 I do not object to the rezoning of the land as per the proposed scheme amendment. I do object to any proposal at any time for a liquor store and/or a tavern at this location, due to: Increase in drunken/antisocial behaviour in the area, especially as McAleer Drive links directly to Back Beach where liquor can be consumed. Litter and other items dropped by people at the beach will reduce the amenity to residents and visitors. Will lead to late night noise. Excessive traffic queuing for entry (particularly for bottle shop) is a traffic hazard. Likely increase in hoon driving, especially on McAleer Drive which already suffers from this problem. Consumption of alcohol will lead to increase in opportunistic crime. 	The proposed 'Local Centre' zoning has the potential to accommodate a number of uses, including 'Liquor Store' and 'Tavern'. It is important to note that this proposal is for the rezoning of the land only and there has been no indication of, or application for, any type of development. However, any proposed development will have to demonstrate that it is not detrimental to the amenity of the area. On top of this, any proposed land use managing alcohol would be subject to additional scrutiny as part of an application for Liquor Licencing through the Department of Racing, Gaming and Liquor.	Note submission. Dismiss submission.

City of Greater Geraldton – Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton) Amendment No. 71 – Schedule of Submissions				
Number & Date	Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Recommendation

8 (31/10/2014)	Main Roads WA	No objection in principle, subject to the City being satisfied that the location adjacent to the Brand	Main Roads comments are noted.	Note submission.
(0.11.01=0.1.1)		Highway is most suited to provide 'local centre' uses,	In accordance with the City's Commercial and	
		which promote pedestrian and cycle access.	Activity Centres Strategy prior to any	
		It is noted that this location would support the	development occurring on the site a Centre Plan would be required. As part of this plan the City	
		Mahomets and Tarcoola Beach areas, including the	would expect demonstration of vehicle and	
		future Olive Street development.	pedestrian accessibility to the site which would need to be supported by a Traffic Impact	
		Given the proximity to the Highway, it is considered	Assessment.	
		that there could be impacts on the Highway and its	The City would refer the Contro Dien and	
		users depending on the future uses at the site. As a result Main Roads would request to be consulted on	The City would refer the Centre Plan and associated documentation to Main Roads and	
		any proposals at the site. In particular, if a proposal	would also expect the applicant to liaise with	
		required any amendment to the crossover with the	Main Roads as necessary.	
		Brand Highway or the turning lane into the site from		
		Brand Highway. No additional accesses would be permitted from the Brand Highway.		
		, ,		
		In order to establish potential impacts associated with		
		any future proposal for the site, we would request that the City require any proponent to prepare and submit		
		a traffic impact assessment to support future		
		proposals.		
		It is noted that there are no policy requirements to		
		have a structure plan over the site, however if only		
		part of the remaining site comes forward for		
		development the City may agree that it would be appropriate to require at least an indicative planning		
		and access scheme for the site.		