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## CITY OF GREATER GERALDTON

# ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL HELD ON TUESDAY, 24 MARCH 2015 AT 1.30PM MULLEWA DISTRICT OFFICE 

MINUTES

## DISCLAIMER:

The Chairman advises that the purpose of this Council Meeting is to discuss and, where possible, make resolutions about items appearing on the agenda. Whilst Council has the power to resolve such items and may in fact, appear to have done so at the meeting, no person should rely on or act on the basis of such decision or on any advice or information provided by a Member or Officer, or on the content of any discussion occurring, during the course of the meeting. Persons should be aware that the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995 (Section 5.25(e)) and Council's Standing Orders Local Laws establish procedures for revocation or recision of a Council decision. No person should rely on the decisions made by Council until formal advice of the Council decision is received by that person. The City of Greater Geraldton expressly disclaims liability for any loss or damage suffered by any person as a result of relying on or acting on the basis of any resolution of Council, or any advice or information provided by a Member or Officer, or the content of any discussion occurring, during the course of the Council meeting.

## 1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

The Deputy Mayor respectfully acknowledged the Yamaji people who are the Traditional Owners and First People of the land on which we met/stood. The Deputy Mayor paid respects to the Elders past, present and future for they hold the memories, the traditions, the culture and hopes of the Yamaji people.

## 2 DECLARATION OF OPENING

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 1.32pm

## 3 ATTENDANCE

Present:<br>Cr D J Caudwell<br>Cr J Clune<br>Cr J Critch<br>Cr R deTrafford<br>Cr S Douglas<br>Cr P Fiorenza<br>Cr L Graham<br>Cr N Mcllwaine<br>Cr V Tanti<br>Cr T Thomas

## Officers:

K Diehm, Chief Executive Officer
P Melling, Director of Sustainable Communities
B Davis, Director of Corporate and Commercial Enterprises
A Selvey, Director of Creative Communities

N Arbuthnot, Director of Community Infrastructure
S Moulds, PA to the Chief Executive Officer
B Wilson, Manager Mullewa District Office
B Robartson, Manager Economic, Tourism \& Property Development
M Wong, Manager Regional Waste and Energy
J Felix, Environmental Services Technical Officer

## Others:

Members of Public: 8
Members of Press: 1
Apologies:
Cr S Keemink
Cr D Brick
Absent
Cr S Van Styn

## Leave of Absence:

Mayor I Carpenter
Cr B Hall

## 4 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil.

## 5 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Questions provided in writing prior to the meeting or at the meeting will receive a formal response. Please note that you cannot make statements in Public Question Time and such statements will not be recorded in the Minutes.

Our Local Laws and the Local Government Act require questions to be put to the presiding member and answered by the Council. No questions can be put to individual Councillors.

Public Question Time commenced at 1.34pm

## Max Correy - PO Box 202, Geraldton WA 6530

Question
With reference to the 2014-15 Capital Programme can the CEO confirm that the budgeted figures for Verita Road are correct i.e. $\$ 8,747,000$ from reserves, $13,000,000$ new loans and 4,253,000 capital grants and have these amounts been received and loans drawn down?

## Response

The figures stated above represent the 1/7/2014 original budget 2014-15 source revenue figures for the Verita Road Project.

After reconciliation and payment of all accounts as part of the audited 2013-14 Financial Statements of the City of Greater Geraldton, the actual source revenue for 2014-15 is represented as follows and as amended per Council Item CCS076 $24{ }^{\text {th }}$ September 2014:

- $\$ 9,790,660$ held in Cash Reserves as at $1 / 7 / 2014$ which represented the unspent amount external grants \& contributions received in previous financial years.
- A further $\$ 4,923,000$ of capital grants to be claimed as at 1/7/2014 In 2014-15 and as at year to date the City has claimed and received $\$ 453,000$ leaving the amount yet to be claimed at $\$ 4,470,000$.
- At this current date, the $\$ 13,000,000$ loan facility has not been drawn down


## Question

Has the Verita Road bridge tender been let and if so was it awarded to the lowest conforming tender?

## Response

As per the City's previous response(s) to this question the Contract has not been awarded as agreements which impact the contract are being finalised with Brookfield Rail and the PTA. These agreements effectively prevent the contract being finalised ahead of the agreements being entered into with the Brookfield Rail and the PTA.

The preferred tenderer provides the best value for money as assessed by a team of experts comprising of senior representatives from the Public Transit Authority, Brookfield Rail, Department of Main Roads, the City, and the bridge design consultant.

Director of Sustainable Communities Phil Melling - declared a Financial Indirect Interest in Item SC208 2015 Extended Retail Trading Hours as a two family members who work for one of the submitters and left Chambers at 1.35 pm .

Ann Smith - E-mail address supplied<br>Related to Item SC208 2015 Extended Retail Trading Hours

Question
What is Economy Strategy 4.1.3

## Response

It is the strategy aligned to the City of Greater Geraldton Strategic Community Plan 2013-2023 the development of which involved community involvement in the decision making for the future of our region via the 2029 and Beyond Project. A number of civic deliberation events were held, supported by innovated social \& interactive media. These events focussed on developing a shared vision and action plan for the future that considers global, regional and local trends. As a result
strategy No. 4 - Economy was developed that sees a dynamic, diverse and sustainable economy.

## Question

What role has Sunday trade in the CGG's Integrated Planning Links?

## Response

The reference to Integrated Planning links 4.1.3 Economy does not specifically address Sunday trading. However, to have a vibrant CBD requires the three components of economic, social and cultural vibrancy to occur.

## Question

Has Sunday trade been factored into the Integrated Planning Link? If so what is the CGG doing to attract more businesses into the CBD?

## Response

Reference is made to the answer to question 2 above in regard to vibrancy. The City also has put in place a City Revitalisation Strategy and a City Centre Planning policy to assist in attracting investment in the City Centre.

## Question

Why is the CCG charging people parking in the CBD? Mandurah attracts people into foreshore CBD area by providing free parking, making it vibrant.

## Response

The City does provide free on street parking within the CBD and also on the foreshore. Alternatively, paid parking is available at designated parking areas especially for longer duration parking.

## Question

Could you please accept the following motion 1-2 in its entirety? If you will not support the motion in its entirety please explain why?

## COUNCILLOR MOTION:

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to the Retail Trading Hours Act 1987 RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT the following package of extensions to the City of Greater Geraldton during the full year of 2015
a. Easter Monday 6 April 2015-10.00am to 5.00pm;
b. Monday 27 April 2015-10.00am to 5.00pm;
c. Sunday, 13 December 2015-10.00am to 4.00pm
d. Sunday, 20 December 2015-10.00am to 4.00pm
e. Monday, 21 December 2015-6.00am to 9.00pm;
f. Tuesday, 22 December $2015-6.00 \mathrm{pm}$ to $9.00 \mathrm{pm} ;$
g. Wednesday, 23 December 2015-6.00pm to 9.00pm;
2. SEEK approval from the Minister for Commerce to adopt the package of extensions to retail trading hours in point (1.) above.

I hope to be attending tomorrow's meeting in Mullewa but you never know what the next day will bring when running small businesses. If you require any further information do not hesitate to call me. I hope you look favourably at my request.

Response
This is dependent on the Council resolution on this matter.
Post Meeting Note: Mrs Smith has been provided with Council's decision.

P Melling returned to chambers at 1.40pm

## Students of Our Lady Mt Carmel Primary School - Tyler Messina \& Graham Sandy

## Question

The town skate park is used by a lot of children for exercise and for fun. Recently people have noticed that the skate park ramps are uneven, is there a possibility of it being looked at or fixed, as this will allow for the skate park to be used to its full potential.

## Response

The City viewed the site today. Works can be done to fix the entrance ramps; the work is classed as essential and will be done in the next couple of weeks.

## Question

There are a variety of people who walk around town for exercise; however there is no equipment to support this. Is there a chance of there being similar equipment built into one of the parks in town, which is similar to what is down at the foreshore in Geraldton? This will allow for children and adults to have a healthy lifestyle.

## Response

There is certainly potential for this to be considered as part of the Mullewa Town centre Revitalisation program.

## Question

The library has been a great asset to Our Lady of Mount Carmel students as they have been lucky enough to borrow books and explore the library in town. Mrs Foreman is always willing to order books from other libraries when not available and is happy to have classes' come and visit. We would definitely like to see this continue and to have this great working relationship with Mrs Foreman, and for her to keep ordering in the new books that she is, and updating the book selection so all children enjoy it.

## Response

Thank you for your question, I find it really interesting that in today's world of computers that young people such as yourselves recognise and value the role of libraries in the community. While all Council services are currently being reviewed, the Executive will certainly consider your question, in developing a draft budget for council to consider.

Public Question Time concluded at 1.45 pm

## 6 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

## Existing Approved Leave

| Councillor | From | To (inclusive) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cr B Hall | 23 March 2015 | 7 June 2015 |
| Mayor I Carpenter | 24 March 2015 | 27 March 2015 |
| Cr V Tanti | 10 April 2015 | 26 April 2015 |
| Cr V Tanti | 30 April 2015 | 18 May 2015 |
| Cr N Mcllwaine | 10 April 2015 | 21 April 2015 |
| Cr N Mcllwaine | 2 July 2015 | 10 July 2015 |

## COUNCIL DECISION <br> MOVED CR DETRAFFORD, SECONDED CR TANTI

Cr S Douglas requested leave of absence for the period of 19 August 2015 to 21 September 2015 be approved.

Cr T Thomas requested leave of absence for the period of 21 April 2015 to 21 April 2015 be approved.

## CARRIED 10/0

## 7 PETITIONS, DEPUTATIONS OR PRESENTATIONS

Nil.

## 8 DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Director of Sustainable Communities Phil Melling - declared a Financial Indirect Interest in Item SC208 2015 Extended Retail Trading Hours as he has two family members who work for one of the submitters.

Cr S Douglas - Declared an Impartiality Interest in Item CC203 Mullewa Town Centre Revitalisation as the funding for the Revitalisation is being sought from his employer - MWDC.

Cr S Douglas - Declared an Impartiality Interest in Item SC202 Proposed Closure of Portion of Foreshore Drive and Forrest Street road reserve, Geraldton as his employer MWDC is involved in the development of the land.

Cr P Fiorenza - Declared an Impartiality Interest in Item SC204 - Lease Rover Football Club as he is a member of the Board of Rover Football Club.

9 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING as circulated
RECOMMENDED that the minutes of the Ordinary meeting of Council held on 24 February 2015 as previously circulated, be adopted as a true and correct record of proceedings.

## COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR THOMAS, SECONDED CR CRITCH
RECOMMENDED that the minutes of the Ordinary meeting of Council held on 24 February 2015 as previously circulated, be adopted as a true and correct record of proceedings.

CARRIED 10/0

## 10 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)

## Events attended by the Mayor or his representative

| DATE | FUNCTION | REPRESENTATIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 February 2015 | Interview with ABC Radio - Outcomes of Council Meeting | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 26 February 2015 | Local Emergency Committee Meeting | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 26 February 2015 | Anzac Day Commemorative Service Discussion | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 27 February 2015 | Mid-West Development Commission Board Meeting | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 28 February 2015 | Official Opening of the Mid-West Art Prize and Presentation Evening | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 03 March 2015 | Concept Forum | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 04 March 2015 | Meet and Greet Breakfast - Abu Dhabi Delegation | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 04 March 2015 | Mid-West Gascoyne Local Government Emergency Management Network Meeting | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 04 March 2015 | Community Summit Part 1 | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 05 March 2015 | Video Interview with Pro Docs Geraldton Promotional Video | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 06 March 2015 | Grants Commission Meeting | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 06 March 2015 | Office Opening - Darren West - Member for Agriculture Region | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 06 March 2015 | Photo Opportunity - Geraldton Little Athletics Club - Grant Receivers | Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine |
| 08 March 2015 | Durack Community Afternoon Tea Special Guest - Hon. Tony Abbott MP | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 08 March 2015 | Dinner Charity Event - with Hon. Tony Abbott MP | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 11 March 2015 | Western Australia Regional Councils Association Meeting - Bunbury | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 12 March 2015 | Meeting with Hon. Kim Hames - Discuss the Abrolhos Islands | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 13 March 2015 | Photo Shoot - Bike Week Promotion | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 13 March 2015 | Incident Support Group Meeting Cyclone Olwyn | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 13 March 2015 | Interview with ABC Radio - Cyclone | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 14 March 2015 | Incident Support Group Meeting Cyclone Olwyn | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 16 March 2015 | Everlasting Partnerships Breakfast | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 17 March 2015 | Photo Shoot - Foodbank turning of the sod | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 17 March 2015 | Public Arts Advisory Committee Discussions with Cr Douglas | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 17 March 2015 | Agenda Forum | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18-20 \text { March } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | Grants Commission Hearings | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 21 March 2015 | Harmony Day - Speech | Cr Bob Hall |
| 22 March 2015 | Community Summit Part 2 | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 23 March 2015 | Citizenship Ceremony - Urgent | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 23 March 2015 | Official Flick of the Switch - Geraldton Aquarena Geothermal System | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 23 March 2015 | Mid-West Development Commission Event - Northampton | Mayor Ian Carpenter |
| 23 March 2015 | Plaque Hand Over - Pacific Jewel | Deputy Mayor Neil |


|  | Cruise Liner | Mcllwaine |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 March 2015 | Ordinary Meeting of Council | Deputy Mayor Neil <br> Mcllwaine |

## 11 REPORTS OF COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

| CI090 | COMMUNITY SPORTING AND RECREATION FACILITIES FUND <br> (CSRFF) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-15-13367 |  |
| AUTHOR: | M Atkinson, Manager Infrastructure |  |
|  | Planning \& Design |  |
| EXECUTIVE: | N Arbuthnot, Director Community |  |
|  | Infrastructure |  |
| DATE OF REPORT: | 26 February 2015 |  |
| FILE REFERENCE: | GS/1/0012 |  |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: | Geraldton Netball Association <br>  <br> ATTACHMENTS: | Geraldton Tennis Club <br> Yes x4 (x3 Confidential) |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The objective of this report is to review and provide a formal Council position on two (2) Community Sporting and Recreation Facilities Fund (CSRFF) Small Grants applications.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. SUPPORT the Geraldton Netball Association CSRFF Small Grant application for the replacement of their existing stadium floor with a sprung floor;
2. DEFER consideration of the Geraldton Tennis Club CSRFF Small Grant application until such time that the new lease agreement has been negotiated to allow an informed assessment of the club's financial position and capacity to maintain and renew the existing and proposed infrastructure.
3. LIMIT the City of Greater Geraldton's contribution to not exceed the contribution made by the Department of Sport and Recreation through the CSRFF process to a maximum of $\$ 48,659$ for the Geraldton Netball Association; and
4. ADVISE the Geraldton Netball Association that any shortfall in funding for the project is the Association's responsibility to fund any shortfall which must be confirmed prior to commencement of the project.

## PROPONENT:

The proponents are the Geraldton Netball Association and the Geraldton Tennis Club.

## BACKGROUND:

The State Government through the CSRFF provides funding to assist sporting groups improve their facilities. The fund is administered through the Department of Sport and Recreation (DSR) and organisations must discuss
their projects in depth with the local DSR representative before submitting applications.

In most instances CSRFF grants are offered on the basis of $1 / 3$ funding from the applicant sporting body, $1 / 3$ CSRFF and $1 / 3$ local government. Some applications will be eligible for up to one half of the project cost if the project meets key development principles. The total state pool for CSRFF grants is $\$ 20$ million per annum distributed across the state. "Small Grants" as defined by CSRFF guidelines is for projects where the total project cost does not exceed $\$ 200,000$. CSRFF Small Grants rounds are offered twice a year. Applications successful in the March 2015 round will be funded in the 2014/15 financial year.

Applications for CSRFF Small Grants March 2015 Round

## Geraldton Netball Association

Geraldton Netball Association submitted a request for the replacement of the existing 18 year old stadium floor with a new sprung board floor. This project has a total project cost of $\$ 145,978$ making the City's required $1 / 3$ contribution a maximum of $\$ 48,659$.

This project was approved by the City as part of CSRFF Small Grants September 2014 Round, however the application was not supported by DSR at the time due to a misunderstanding of the City's position in regards to the proposed multipurpose indoor stadium in the Sporting Futures Report. DSR were concerned about funding a facility that may only have a short life span and that they would then be asked for funding for a new stadium a few years down the line. In Sporting Futures Report 2013, it is planned that the current indoor court of Geraldton Netball Association will remain and form part of any new multiuse facility.

This misunderstanding has been addressed and DSR has a better understanding of the Geraldton Netball Association's facility situation in the long term.

The Geraldton Netball Association's application has been assessed by City officers and is supported on the basis that;
a. The Club has demonstrated sound strategic and financial planning toward achieving this project;
b. The project is financially sustainable - i.e. the Club has planned for life-cycle costs;
c. There is an identified need for this project; and
d. The project can be considered as a multi-purpose facility as it will cater for other community groups - e.g. Basketball, Heart Moves.

## Geraldton Tennis Club

Geraldton Tennis Club submitted a request to resurfacing of two existing hard courts. This project has a total project cost of $\$ 16,223$ making the City's required $1 / 3$ contribution a maximum of $\$ 5,407$.

These two existing hardcourts are suffering with extensive wear to the courts bitumen surface and cracks in courts. Resurfacing these two tennis courts would provide their members and other users with a higher quality playing environment.
The Geraldton Tennis Club's application has been assessed by City officers and is NOT Supported on the basis that;
a. The lease between Geraldton Tennis Club and The City of Greater Geraldton is due to expire;
b. New lease conditions will likely have a significant impact on the club's financial situation e.g. funding to maintaining existing 23 turf courts; and
c. More information and financial planning needed from Geraldton Tennis Club to show that the club has capacity to continue to maintain both existing 23 turf courts and two planned hard courts.

## Spalding Tennis Club

The Spalding Tennis Club submitted a request for the replacement of 4 old lighting poles and provision of 2 new lighting poles at their facility on Chapman Road with a total project cost of $\$ 109,806$. However, applications made by the club seeking secondary funding were unsuccessful and as such the club has decided to withdraw their application for City support at this time.

## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

Improved sporting facilities will enhance the City's capacity to host sporting events which have the potential to bring visitors to Geraldton and increase overnight visitation to the City which has direct economic benefit. The City is working with Sports Marketing Australia to attract sporting events to Geraldton. These projects align with this objective and have the potential to add to the City's capacity in this area.

## Social:

Improvement of sporting facilities in the City lead to community benefits such as health, inclusion, sense of belonging, safety and amenity.

## Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

## RELEV ANT PRECEDENTS:

The City has supported many CSRFF grants in the past e.g. the Geraldton Croquet Club CSRFF grant in September 2014 round to install flood lights on their third court.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

There have been no legislative/policy changes

## FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

The total amount allocated in the 2014/15 Budget for progressing projects under CP058 is $\$ 75,000$. The balance remaining in the 2014/15 budget for processing projects under CP058 is $\$ 71,200$.

Should the Geraldton Netball Association's application be supported by Council and is successful in attracting full CSRFF Small Grant funding in the March 2015 round, the maximum cost to the City will be \$48,659 (ex GST).

## INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Social | Recreation and Sport |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 3.1.1 | Supporting the strong sporting culture that has <br> shaped Greater Geraldton's identity and lifestyle. |

## REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

As the Regional Capital for the Mid West, many of the City's facilities play a vital role in regional amenity. Strong regional facilities allow regional residents to participate in sporting events and activities without having to travel outside the region.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

The City recognises the risk that if projects are not fully funded by CSRFF, applicants can expect the City to meet the shortfall. The Executive Recommendation addresses this risk.

A further risk is the cost for ongoing operations, maintenance and renewal. This risk has been mitigated by evaluation of the applicants financial planning for the project and by clearly communicating to applicants that these costs will be the responsibility of the respective club.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

An alternative would be for the City to also support the Geraldton Tennis Club's application.

## COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR DOUGLAS, SECONDED CR FIORENZA
That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. SUPPORT the Geraldton Netball Association CSRFF Small Grant application for the replacement of their existing stadium floor with a sprung floor;
2. SUPPORT the Geraldton Tennis Club CSRFF Small Grant application to resurface two existing hard courts;
3. LIMIT the City of Greater Geraldton's contribution to one third of the project costs approved by the Department of Sport and Recreation through the CSRFF process to a maximum of $\$ 48,659$ for the Geraldton Netball Association and a maximum of $\$ 5,407$ for the Geraldton Tennis Club; and
4. ADVISE the Geraldton Netball Association and Geraldton Tennis Club that it is a condition of funding that any shortfall in funding will be their responsibility.

## CARRIED 8/2

REASON FOR VARIATION TO THE EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION: The submission should be treated on a stand-alone basis, and as such has sufficient merit to be supported.

| FACILITIES OPERATION SERVICES |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-15-13369 |
| AUTHOR: | M Wong, Regional Waste and Energy Manager |
| EXECUTIVE: | N Arbuthnot, Director Community Infrastructure |
| DATE OF REPORT: | 17 February 2015 |
| FILE REFERENCE: | WM/9/0006 |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: ATTACHMENTS: | City of Greater Geraldton Yes (x2 Confidential) |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The purpose of this report is to seek the Council's approval to award a contract for a Regional Waste Collection and Waste Infrastructure Operations Services.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.57 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. AWARD RFQ 181415 - Regional Waste Collection and Facilities Operation Services to the preferred tenderer, based upon the Regional pricing structure contained in the tender submission;
2. AUTHORISE the Chief Executive Officer to AWARD the contract to preferred tenderer, based upon the Individual pricing structure to the City of Greater Geraldton contained in their submission, should the Regional Pricing Structure not be accepted by the participating Local Governments; and
3. RECORD both the Regional and Individual pricing structures in the Minutes.

## PROPONENT:

The proponents are the City of Greater Geraldton, the Shires of Northampton, Chapman Valley and Irwin. For the purposes of this document and its attachments, reference to the Principal(s) refer to one or of all the Local Government Authorities (LGA)s listed.

## BACKGROUND:

The City of Greater Geraldton and Shires of Northampton, Chapman Valley and Irwin sought quotations from suitably qualified organisation(s) for the provision of Waste Collection and Infrastructure Operation Services across the region, starting 31 August 2015 for a seven (7) year contract period.

Objectives of sourcing a common contractor to deliver the required services include:

- Providing a Consistent and Reliable service that meets the Principal's needs;
- Achieving a high level of Compliance with Health, Safety and Environmental requirements, as well as Federal, State and Local Legislation; and
- Providing Cost Effective Waste Management Services to the Community.

To procure those services, the participating Councils released a joint Request for Quotation (RFQ) with four separable portions to obtain quotations through the tender-exempt Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) prequalified Preferred Suppliers Panel for Waste Collection Goods and Services. WALGA have pre-qualified all the major waste management companies under the Preferred Supply Conditions of Contract (CoC), making them exempted from the Local Government Act's Tender regulations. The CoC had been extensively updated recently by the Herbert Smith Freehill's waste legal team.

Two (2) price schedules have been provided for each separable portion, one in the event that the four (4) contracts are awarded to the same contractor and one in the event that 2 or more separate contractors are engaged by the Proponent. Each submission has evaluated by all 4 principals as well as an independent third party consultant.

The contract(s) may be awarded to either a panel of Respondent(s) or a sole respondent who best demonstrates the ability to provide quality products and/or services at a competitive price. The submissions have been assessed together with the Qualitative, Quantitative and Compliance criteria to determine the most advantageous outcome to the Principals.

The Contract comprised of the following schedules:

- Schedule 1 - General Contract Specifics
- Schedule 2 - Goods
- Schedule 3 - Bin Services
- Schedule 4 - Collection Services
- Schedule 5 - Landfill Management Services and Transfer Station Services
- Schedule 6 - Recyclables Sorting Services and Green Waste Processing Services
- Schedule 7 - Standards and Procedures
- Schedule 8 -KPIs
- Schedule 9 - Collection Area
- Schedule 10 - Specification
- Schedule 11 - Contract Price Schedule
- Schedule 12 - Variation Form
- Schedule 13 - System and Plan Requirements

The General Conditions of Contract are the standard clauses in such service agreements and also reflect the requirement to comply with the subsequent Schedules that follow. Those Schedules included clauses for each of the

LGAs to document their specific requirements from the services. These in turn form the draft contract to be issued with the RFQ.

As a number of the services required across the 4 participating councils varied, this required that separate price Schedules for each of the LGAs was necessary. The services listed in the price schedules for each separable portion were split into Mandatory and Discretionary services.

Mandatory Services are those that are programmed with an estimated service number and frequency. When a Mandatory Service is not an ongoing Service (i.e. it does not have an estimated service number and frequency) it is specified as an 'as required' service. This means that the Contractor must provide this service only when requested to do so by the Principal.

A Discretionary Service is one that may be included in the Contract at the sole discretion of the Principal. These services are generally not currently offered by the Principal, but may be considered if the preferred contractor can provide these services at a cost effective rate.

Key services the City requested include:

- Weekly collection of 240L MGB Kerbside Refuse Collection (Residential and Commercial);
- Public Place Refuse Collection (120L MGB, 240L MGB and Front Lift Receptacle) as required;
- Special, discretionary Residential Services as required;
- Front and Hook Lift Commercial and Industrial Collection ( $1.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}, 3 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ and $4.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ Front Lift, and $3 \mathrm{~m}^{3}, 6 \mathrm{~m}^{3}, 8 \mathrm{~m}^{3}, 10 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ and $30 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ Hook Lift Bins) as required
- Supply and Delivery of Receptacles (120L MGB, 240 L MGB, $1.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$, $3 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ and $4.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ Front Lift and $3 \mathrm{~m}^{3}, 6 \mathrm{~m}^{3}, 8 \mathrm{~m}^{3}, 10 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ and $30 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ Hook Lift);
- Special Event provision and collection of receptacles (240L MGB, Bulk front lift receptacles) as required;
- Removal and disposal of road kill;
- Transfer of Waste in Bulk Transportation Vehicles from Mullewa; and
- Sharps Collection and Disposal.

The RFQ was released on Monday 15 December 2014 via the WALGA Equotes Portal. Three Submissions from the following organisations were received before the deadline of 2pm on Friday 23 January 2015. They were:

- Avon Waste;
- Toxfree Solutions; and
- Veolia Environmental Services

Detailed pricing of each service, both mandatory and discretionary, from the respective providers are contained within the confidential attachments.

## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

There are significant positive Economic benefits. Having a common contractor benefits the collective pricing mechanism for Geraldton and participating Local Governments. There are monetary benefits from transport efficiency, and waste management operational improvements at all sites, including Geraldton's Meru Waste Disposal Facility.

## Social:

There are no direct social impacts.

## Environmental:

The DER regulate the Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery (WARR) Act 2007, the main legislation under which the collection and transport of waste lies under. There are no negative environmental issues to be addressed.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

## RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

There are no relevant precedents.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

Cr Laurie Graham, the Council's representative on waste pertaining matters has been generally briefed on the contract methodology. The following Officers have represented their respective Local Governments on the evaluation Panel and throughout the process.

- Mr Maurice Battiliana, CEO - Shire of Chapman Valley
- Mr Felix Neuweiler, Manager of Community Safety - Shire of Irwin
- Mr Glen Bengay, Principal EHO/Building Surveyor - Shire of Northampton
- Mr Garry O’Keefe, CEO - Shire of Northampton
- Mr Brice Campell, Waste Management Consultant - Talis Consultants
- Kieran Garvey, Waste Management Consultant - Talis Consultants
- Mr Ronan Cullen, Director and Waste Management Section Leader Talis Consultants
- Mr Mark Wong, Manager Regional Waste and Energy - City of Greater Geraldton
- Ms Jessica Felix, Environmental Services Technical Officer - City of Greater Geraldton
- Mr Ray Bailey, Tenders and Contracts Officer - City of Greater Geraldton


## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

The WARR Act 2007 is the principal legislation governing waste management in Western Australia.

## FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

It is the continuation of an existing service and ongoing costs are covered within the operational budgets, with any additional amounts adjusted during the budget process. The cost of providing this service is essentially derived from residential waste rates.

## INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Governance | Planning and Policy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 5.2.7 | Ensuring efficient and effective delivery of service |
| Title: Environment | Reduce-Reuse-Recycle |
| Strategy 2.2.2 | Promoting, researching and implementing green <br> practices such as improved and innovative waste <br> management, water reuse and renewable energy <br> production. |

## REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

In June 2014, a Northern Country Zone meeting that involved most of the previously mentioned LGAs, and the Executive Officer Northern Country Zone Mr Barrye Thompson, indicated that all parties agreed upon improvements to Asset Management, Information Technology and Waste Management; and are priorities for improvements within the Northern Country Zone.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

Section 50(1) of the WARR Act 2007, states that the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Department of Environmental Regulation may, for the purpose of protecting human health or the environment, by written notice require a local government, or 2 or more local governments together, to provide, in relation to local government waste, a waste service of a kind specified in the notice. The risk of not providing this essential service may result in the CEO issuing a notice to the City.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED BY CITY OFFICERS

The methodology used to secure a suitable contractor to provide the service covered options for individual contracts and a regional supplier of the service. The City is obligated to provide the service and contracting to the least cost and risk to the City.

## COUNCIL DECISION

## MOVED CR TANTI, SECONDED CR FIORENZA

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.57 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. AWARD RFQ 181415 - Regional Waste Collection and Facilities Operation Services to ToxFree, based upon the Regional pricing structure contained in the tender submission;
2. AUTHORISE the Chief Executive Officer to AWARD the contract to Toxfree, based upon the Individual pricing structure to the City of Greater Geraldton contained in their submission, should the Regional Pricing Structure not be accepted by the participating Local Governments; and
3. RECORD both the Regional and Individual pricing structures in the Minutes.


| Rental 1.5m3 | \$/week |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ 3.00 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supply 1.5m3 | \$/receptacle |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 1,152.45 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Servicing 1.5m3 | \$/service | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ 18.22 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | 947.44 |
| Delivery 3m3 | \$/deliver | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 25.00 \end{array}$ | \$ | 25.00 |
| Rental 3m3 | \$/week | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 3.00 \end{array}$ | \$ | 156.00 |
| Supply 3m3 | \$/receptacle |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ \\ & 1,327.95 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Servicing 3m3 | \$/service | 11 | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ 18.22 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | 10,421.84 |
| Delivery 4.5m3 | \$/deliver | 11 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 25.00 \end{array}$ | \$ | 275.00 |
| Rental 4.5m3 | \$/week | 11 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 3.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | 1,716.00 |
| Supply 4.5m3 | \$/receptacle |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 1,667.25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Servicing 4.5m3 | \$/service | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ \\ & 18.22 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 1,894.88 |
| Delivery 10m3 | \$/deliver | 2 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 155.00 \end{array}$ | \$ | 310.00 |
| Rental 10m3 | \$/week | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ \\ & 11.41 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 1,186.64 |
| Supply 10m3 | \$/receptacle |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 7,259.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Servicing 10m3 | \$/service |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ } \\ & 188.55 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Delivery 30m3 | \$/deliver |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 210.00 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Rental 30m3 | \$/week |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 30.00 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Supply 30m3 | \$/receptacle |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 11,543.64 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Servicing 30m3 | \$/service |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 188.55 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Special event refuse | $\begin{aligned} & \$ / \text { up to } 120 \mathrm{~L}, 240 \mathrm{~L} \text { or } \\ & 360 \mathrm{~L} \text { Lift } \end{aligned}$ | 120 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 1.11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 133.20 |
| delivery from stock | \$/up to 120L,240L or 360L Lift | 120 | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ 25.00 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | 3,000.00 |
| removal to stock | \$/up to 120L,240L or 360L Lift | 120 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 25.00 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 3,000.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | \$ | 30,713.12 |
| Removal of dead animals |  |  |  |  |  |


| 0 to 10kg | \$/animal | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 55.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 275.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 to 30kg | \$/animal | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 75.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 150.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 30 kg and above | \$/animal | 1 | \$155/h |  |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 425.00 \end{aligned}$ |

## Wet Hire of Equipment

| Front end loader - half | \$/half day | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 507.78 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Front end loader - full | \$/full day | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 846.31 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Excavator - half | \$/half day | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 544.61 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Excavator - full | \$/full day | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 907.68 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Dump Truck - half | \$/half day | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 404.21 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Dump Truck - full | \$/full day | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 673.68 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Backhoe - half | \$/half day | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 416.43 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Backhoe - full | \$/full day | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 694.04 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Compactor - half | \$/half day | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ \\ & 835.95 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Compactor - full | \$/full day | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ \\ & 1,393.26 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Water Carrier - half | \$/half day | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 439.31 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Water Carrier - full | \$/full day | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 732.18 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  | \$ | - |


| Mandatory Services Total |  |  |  | \$ $1,234,059.62$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | $-\$ 145,885.46$ |


| DISCRETIONARY SERVICES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item |  | Services | Toxfree |  |
|  |  |  | Rate | Annual Cost |
| Transfer Station Operations |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer Station Operations - 4 hours | \$/half day |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 248.25 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Transfer Station Operations - 8 hours | \$/full day |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 407.24 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Collection and processing of tyres | \$/tonne |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 450.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Transport of waste from Mullewa to Meru | \$/delivery | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 600.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | \$ - |
| Deliver Front lift receptacle 6m3 | \$/deliver | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 25.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Rental charge for front lift 6m3 | \$/week | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ } \\ & 19.33 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Supply front lift - 6m3 | \$/receptacle | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ \\ & 1,755.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Servicing - 6m3 | \$/service | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 56.25 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Deliver skip bin receptacle 10m3 | \$/deliver | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 155.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Rental charge for skip bin 10m3 | \$/week | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ \\ 10.00 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Supply skip bin - 10m3 | \$/receptacle | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 4,503.83 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Servicing skip bin- 10m3 | \$/service | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 120.03 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Deliver skip bin receptacle - 15m3 | \$/deliver | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 155.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Rental charge for skip bin15m3 | \$/week | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 15.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Supply skip bin - 15m3 | \$/receptacle | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 5,708.33 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Servicing skip bin- 15m3 | \$/service | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \$ \\ 120.03 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Traxcavator - half day | \$/half day |  |  |  |
| Traxcavator - full day | \$/full day |  |  |  |
| Transport equipment |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cost of Response |  |  | \$ | 1,234,059.62 |


|  | Toxfree |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Mandatory | $\$$ | $1,202,921.50$ |  |
| Collection Services | $\$$ | 425.00 |  |
| Public Place and Special Services | $\$$ | $30,713.12$ |  |
| Removal of Dead Animals | $\$$ |  |  |
| Wet Hire of Equipment | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 3 4 , 0 5 9 . 6 2}$ |  |
| Alternative Services |  |  |  |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Discretionary | $\mathbf{\$}$ |  |  |
| Transfer Station Services |  |  |  |


| REGIONAL PRICING - CGG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| MANDATORY SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | 11 | 3.00 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supply 4.5m3 | \$/receptacle |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 1,667.25 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Servicing 4.5m3 | \$/service | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ 14.75 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | 1,534.00 |
| Delivery 10m3 | \$/deliver | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 155.00 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 310.00 |
| Rental 10m3 | \$/week | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 11.41 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 1,186.64 |
| Supply 10m3 | \$/receptacle |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 7,259.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Servicing 10m3 | \$/service |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 179.91 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Delivery 30m3 | \$/deliver |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 210.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Rental 30m3 | \$/week |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 30.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Supply 30m3 | \$/receptacle |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 11,543.64 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Servicing 30m3 | \$/service |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ } \\ & 179.91 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Special event refuse | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { \$/up to } \\ \text { 120L,240L or } \\ \text { 360L Lift } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 120 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 0.94 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 112.80 |
| delivery from stock | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \$/up to } \\ 120 \mathrm{~L}, 240 \mathrm{~L} \text { or } \\ 360 \mathrm{~L} \text { Lift } \end{gathered}$ | 120 | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ 25.00 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | 3,000.00 |
| removal to stock | \$/up to 120L,240L or 360L Lift | 120 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 25.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 3,000.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | \$ | 27,317.92 |


| Removal of dead animals |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 to 10kg | \$/animal | 5 | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ 55.00 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | 275.00 |
| 10 to 30kg | \$/animal | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 75.00 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 150.00 |
| 30 kg and above | \$/animal | 1 | \$155/h |  |  |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | \$ | 425.00 |
| Wet Hire of Equipment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Front end loader - half | \$/half day |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 501.68 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Front end loader - full | \$/full day |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ \\ & 836.14 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Excavator - half | \$/half day |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 538.50 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Excavator - full | \$/full day |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 897.50 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Dump Truck - half | \$/half day |  | \$ |  |  |


|  |  |  | 398.10 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dump Truck - full | \$/full day |  | $\$$ <br> 663.50 |  |
| Backhoe - half | \$/half day |  | $\$$ <br> 363.00 |  |
| Backhoe - full | \$/full day |  | $\$$ <br> 605.00 |  |
| Compactor - half | \$/half day |  | $\$$ <br> 829.85 |  |
| Compactor - full | \$/full day |  | $\$$ <br> $1,383.08$ |  |
| Water Carrier - half | $\$ /$ half day |  | $\$$ <br> 433.20 |  |
| Water Carrier - full | \$/full day |  | $\$$ <br> 722.00 |  |
| Mandatory Services Total |  |  |  | $\$ 1,061,410.43$ |
|  |  |  |  | $166,840.29$ |


| DISCRETIONARY SERVICES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Unit | Service <br> s | Toxfree Revised | Annual Cost |
|  |  |  | Rate |  |
| Transfer Station Operations |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer Station Operations - 4 hours | \$/half day |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 241.63 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Transfer Station Operations - 8 hours | \$/full day |  | $396.37$ |  |
| Collection and processing of tyres | \$/tonne |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & 450.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Transport of waste from Mullewa to Meru | \$/delivery |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ \\ & 600.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  |
| Alternative Services |  |  |  |  |
| Deliver Front lift receptacle 6m3 | \$/deliver |  | \$ 25.00 |  |
| Rental charge for front lift 6m3 | \$/week |  | \$ 19.33 |  |
| Supply front lift - 6m3 | \$/receptacle |  | \$ 1,755.00 |  |
| Servicing - 6 m 3 | \$/service |  | \$ 56.25 |  |
| Deliver skip bin receptacle 10m3 | \$/deliver |  | \$ 155.00 |  |
| Rental charge for skip bin 10m3 | \$/week |  | \$ 10.00 |  |
| Supply skip bin - 10m3 | \$/receptacle |  | \$ 4,503.83 |  |
| Servicing skip bin-10m3 | \$/service |  | \$ 118.86 |  |
| Deliver skip bin receptacle - 15m3 | \$/deliver |  | \$ 155.00 |  |
| Rental charge for skip bin - | \$/week |  | \$ 15.00 |  |


| 15m3 | \$/receptacle | \$ | 5,708.33 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supply skip bin - 15m3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Servicing skip bin- 15m3 | \$/service | \$ | 118.86 |  |  |
| Alternative Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traxcavator - half day | \$/half day |  |  |  |  |
| Traxcavator - full day | \$/full day |  |  |  |  |
| Transport Equipment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cost of Response |  |  |  | \$ | 1,061,410.43 |
|  |  |  |  | -\$ | 166,840.29 |


|  | Toxfree |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory |  |
| Collection Services | \$ 1,033,667.51 |
| Public Place and Special Services | \$ 27,317.92 |
| Removal of Dead Animals | \$ 425.00 |
| Wet Hire of Equipment | \$ |
| Alternative Services |  |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ 1,061,410.43 |
| Discretionary |  |
| Transfer Station Services | \$ - |
| TOTAL | \$ 1,061,410.43 |

## CARRIED 9/1

CI092 RFT 221415 - WONTHELLA SKATE PARK EXTENSION

| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-15-15980 |
| :--- | :--- |
| AUTHOR: | G Sherlock, Manager of Project Delivery |
|  | \& Infrastructure Management |
| EXECUTIVE: | N Arbuthnot, Director Community |
|  | Infrastructure |
| DATE OF REPORT: | 13 March 2015 |
| FILE REFERENCE: | PM/4/0062 |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: | City of Greater Geraldton |
| ATTACHMENTS: | Yes (x1 Confidential) |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The purpose of this report is to seek Council approval to award a contract for RFT 221415 Wonthella Skate Park Extension to the preferred tenderer.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Section 5.20 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. AWARD the contract for RFT 221415 Wonthella Skate Park Extension to the preferred tenderer; and
2. RECORD the successful tenderer and tender amount for RFT 221415 Wonthella Skate Park Extension in the minutes.

## PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

## BACKGROUND:

The City of Greater Geraldton issued a Request for Tender (RFT 22 1415) for the construction of the Wonthella Skate Park Extension and the refurbishment of the existing Skate Park on the 16 February 2015. The RFT was advertised in the local and state press and through the City's tenderlink connection.

Wonthella Skate Park detailed design was completed in March 2014, the scope of this project is to construct from the finalised design an extension to the existing Skate Park facilities of approximately 700 square metres and refurbish as required, the existing facility.

The City has sought tenders from the market in relation to the refurbishment and extension of the existing Skate Park, two tender responses were received.

1. Crothers Construction Pty Ltd
2. Convic Pty Ltd

## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

There are no known economic issues.

## Social:

The refurbishment of this dated facility will provide value-added infrastructure and improved interaction of the youth community.

## Environmental:

There are no known environmental impacts.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

There are no known cultural or heritage issues.

## RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

There are no relevant precedents.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

Community consultation was undertaken at an early stage of the project prior to design of the Skate Park extension.

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

There are no legislative or policy implications.

## FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

The Wonthella Skate Park Extension Construction budget is $\$ 800,000$ which is funded from the following sources:
(1) $\$ 310,546$ CLGF Royalties for Regions
(2) $\$ 183,333$ Depart of Sport \& Recreation
(3) $\$ 306,121$ City of Greater Geraldton (\$200,000 Provisional Funds)

The full scope of works plus possible provisional works to the existing Skate Park can be undertaken and completed from the available funding based on the executive recommendation and the provisional funding sourced from the mid-year budget review.

## INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Social | Recreation and Sport |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 3.1.1 | Supporting the strong sporting culture that has <br> shaped Greater Geraldton's identity and lifestyle. |

## REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

The completion of this project will provide an effective upgrade and extension to a popular and much utilised facility. The upgrade will provide a new focus and challenge for the skate boarding fraternity and will become the premier skate boarding facility in the City and immediate region for the local population and tourists alike.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

The most significant risk factor to the overall project is the particular skills and capabilities of available experienced contractors with regard to skate park construction. The preferred contractor however has demonstrated a successful and significant track record in the construction of first class skate park facilities.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

No other alternatives to the present proposal have been given realistic consideration. The proposed option has always been considered the best use of limited funds.

## COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR CLUNE, SECONDED CR DOUGLAS
That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Section 5.20 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. AWARD the contract for RFT 221415 Wonthella Skate Park Extension to Convic Pty Ltd; and
2. RECORD the successful tenderer Convic Pty Ltd and tender amount, for RFT 221415 Wonthella Skate Park Extension being $\$ 680,000$ ex GST in the minutes.

CARRIED 10/0
In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed

## 12 <br> REPORTS OF CORPORATE \& COMMERCIAL SERVICES

| CCS102 COMPLIANCE AUDIT RETURN 2014 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-15-13078 |  |  |
| AUTHOR: | M Adam, Executive Assistant |  |  |
| EXECUTIVE: |  |  |  |
|  | Commercial Services |  |  |
| DATE OF REPORT: | 25 February 2015 |  |  |
| FILE REFERENCE: | RM/6/0020 |  |  |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: | City of Greater Geraldton |  |  |
| ATTACHMENTS: | Yes (x1) |  |  |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The purpose of this report is to seek Council's adoption of the Compliance Audit Return 2014 (CAR) as required under the Local Government Act 1995.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Absolute Majority pursuant to Section 7.13(1) of the Local Government Act 1995 and regulation 13 of the Local Government (Audit) Regulations RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT the 2014 Compliance Audit Return.

## PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

## BACKGROUND:

In accordance with section 7.13(1) of the Local Government Act 1995 and the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996, the City is required to complete a Compliance Audit in relation to the period 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2014 against the requirements set out in the CAR.

The 2014 CAR continues in a reduced format, with the areas of compliance included restricted to those considered high risk.

## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

There are no economic impacts.

## Social:

There are no social impacts.

## Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

## RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Council adopts the Compliance Audit Return each year, prior to its submission to the department.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

The CAR was submitted to the Audit Committee to review and the Committee, at its meeting on 24 February 2015, endorsed the Local Government Compliance Audit Return for the period 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2014 (Refer to Reports of Corporate and Commercial Services- Audit Committee Minutes 24 February 2015, and attachment CCS102)

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Section 7.13(1)(i) of the LGA and Regulation 13 of the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996.

## FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

There are no financial or resource implications.

## INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Governance | Planning and Policy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 5.2.8 | Continuously improving business and governance <br> frameworks to support a growing community. |

## REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

There are no impacts to regional outcomes.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

The Compliance Audit Return is a statutory compliance requirement for Local Governments and is subject to review first by the Audit Committee and then as a report to Council for adoption before being submitted to the Department of Local Government.

The City is required to provide this to the Department no later than 31 March 2015. The City does not have the option to not adopt the CAR as it would result in non-compliance with the Local Government Act 1995 and Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED BY CITY OFFICERS

No alternative options were considered by City Officers.

## COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR CRITCH, SECONDED CR DETRAFFORD
That Council by Absolute Majority pursuant to Section 7.13(1) of the Local Government Act and regulation 13 of the Local Government (Audit) Regulations RESOLVES to:

## 1. ADOPT the 2014 Compliance Audit Return.

CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY 10/0

```
CCS103 STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY
AGENDA REFERENCE: D-15-13519
AUTHOR: T Machukera, Management Accountant
EXECUTIVE: B Davis, Director of Corporate and
    Commercial Services
DATE OF REPORT: 6 March }201
FILE REFERENCE: FM/17/0001
APPLICANT / PROPONENT: City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS: Yes (x1)
```


## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The attached financial reports provide a comprehensive report on the City's finances to 28 February 2015. The statements include no matters of variance considered to be of concern.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Regulation 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 RESOLVES to:

1. RECEIVE the February 2015 monthly financial activity statements as attached.

## PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

## BACKGROUND:

The financial position at the end of February 2015 is detailed in the attached report and summarised as follows relative to year-to-date budget expectations:

| Operating Income | $\$ 479,927$ | $0.8 \%$ | Positive Variance <br> Positive Variance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Operating Expenditure | $\$ 2195,572$ | $4.3 \%$ |  |
| Net Operating | $\$ 2,675,499$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Capital Expenditure | $\$ 4,242,937$ | $15.9 \%$ | Positive Variance |
| Capital Revenue | $\$ 1,874,050$ | $24.9 \%$ | Negative Variance |

Cash at Bank - Municipal \$12,400,245
Cash at Bank - Reserve $\quad \$ 16,410,489$
Total Funds Invested \$21,783,573
Net Rates Collected 91.23\%

Receivables Outstanding \$1,015,038
The attached report provides explanatory notes for items greater than 10\% or $\$ 50,000$. This commentary provides Council with an overall understanding of how the finances are progressing in relation to the adopted budget. The
financial position represented in the February financials shows a positive variance of $\$ 2,675,499$ in the net operating result.

The closing funding surplus is due to year to date Capital expenditure being less than YTD budget, as a result of timing of works for buildings, roads, plant \& equipment

## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

There are no economic impacts.

## Social:

There are no social impacts.

## Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

## RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Council is provided with financial reports each month.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Section 6.4 of the Local Government Act 1995 and Regulation 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 require that as a minimum Council is to receive a Statement of Financial Activity.

## FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

Any issues in relation to expenditure and revenue allocations or variance trends are identified and addressed each month.

## INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Governance | Planning and Policy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 5.2.7 | Ensuring efficient and effective delivery of service |

## REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

There are no impacts to regional outcomes.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

There are no risks to be considered.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

There are no alternative options to consider.

## COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR GRAHAM, SECONDED CR THOMAS
That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Regulation 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 RESOLVES to:

1. RECEIVE the February 2015 monthly financial activity statements as attached.

CARRIED 10/0
In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed

| CCS104 ORDINARY COUNCIL ELECTIONS 2015 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-15-13081 |  |  |
| AUTHOR: | M Adam, Executive Assistant |  |  |
| EXECUTIVE: |  |  |  |
|  | Commercial Services |  |  |
| DATE OF REPORT: | 6 March 2015 |  |  |
| FILE REFERENCE: | GO/8/0007 |  |  |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: | WA Electoral Commission |  |  |
| ATTACHMENTS: | Yes (x1) |  |  |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The purpose of this report is to seek Council's approval to appoint the West Australian Electoral Commission to carry out the 2015 ordinary elections by postal vote.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Absolute Majority pursuant to Section 4.20(4) and 4.61(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. DECLARE, in accordance with section $4.20(4)$ of the Local Government Act 1995, the Western Australian Electoral Commissioner to be responsible for the conduct of the 2015 ordinary elections together with any other elections or polls which may be required; and
2. DECIDE, in accordance with section 4.61(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 that the method of conducting the election will be as a postal election.

## PROPONENT:

The proponent is David Kerslake, Electoral Commissioner, WA Electoral Commission (WAEC), Perth.

## BACKGROUND:

The City has received advice that the ordinary elections will be held on Saturday 17 October 2015.

Eight (8) councillors will be elected with one councillor representing each ward. Each term will be for a period of four (4) years.

The vacancies for the 2015 Local Government ordinary elections for the City of Greater Geraldton will be:

```
1 \text { vacancy - District Ward}
1 \text { vacancy - Champion Bay Ward}
1 vacancy - Chapman Ward
1 \text { vacancy - Hills Ward}
1 vacancy - Port Ward
1 vacancy - Tarcoola Ward
1 vacancy - Willcock Ward
```

1 vacancy - Mullewa Ward
Council is required to decide whether the ordinary election of local government council members on Saturday 17 October 2015 will be conducted by an In-Person vote method or by a Postal vote method.

As voting is non-compulsory, electors are not required to attend and therefore, historically, response rates on In-Person voting have been low. In previous years ordinary elections have been conducted using the Postal voting method as this generates a greater participation rate amongst voters. Should the City choose this method for 2015, in accordance with section 4.20(4) of the Local Government Act 1995, it will appoint the Electoral Commissioner to be responsible for the process.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

There are no economic impacts.

## Social:

There are no social impacts.

## Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

## RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Historically, the postal voting method has been adopted to conduct ordinary elections.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Part 4 - Elections and other polls, Division 3 - Ordinary Elections of the Local Government Act 1995.

## FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

The WAEC has advised that their estimate to undertake the 2015 postal elections will be approximately $\$ 86,000$ (incl GST). Additional electoral expenditure of $\$ 13,000$ will also be set aside to cover any local advertising and promotion, hire costs and some staff costs. This will be budgeted for in the 2015/16 financial year.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Governance | Planning and Policy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 5.2.7 | Ensuring efficient and effective delivery of service |

## REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

There are no impacts to regional outcomes.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

The Australian Electoral Commission will be responsible for management of the Risk if appointed as per the Executive Recommendation.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED BY CITY OFFICERS

Previous years' Council decisions to appoint the Western Australian Electoral Commissioner to conduct Postal elections have proven effective therefore there were no alternative options considered by City Officers.

## COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR DETRAFFORD, SECONDED CR TANTI
That Council by Absolute Majority pursuant to Section 4.20(4) and 4.61(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. DECLARE, in accordance with section $4.20(4)$ of the Local Government Act 1995, the Western Australian Electoral Commissioner to be responsible for the conduct of the 2015 ordinary elections together with any other elections or polls which may be required; and
2. DECIDE, in accordance with section 4.61(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 that the method of conducting the election will be as a postal election.

CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY 10/0

| CCS105 MID YEAR BUDGET REVIEW |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-15-13511 |  |
| AUTHOR: | P Radalj, Manager Finance \& Treasury |  |
| EXECUTIVE: |  |  |
|  | Commercial Services |  |
| DATE OF REPORT: | 6 March 2015 |  |
| FILE REFERENCE: | FM/6/0020 |  |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: | N/A |  |
| ATTACHMENTS: | Yes (x1) |  |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This report seeks Council consideration of variations as per the attached financial statements, for the mid-year budget review and determination of whether or not to authorise these proposed variations according to both operating and capital income/expenditure (nature and type).

For compliance purposes, the attached financial statements include the budget figures as per the budget adopted by Council 1st July 2014. The midyear review figures (effectively presenting a proposed revised budget) incorporate all budget amendments already authorised by Council post budget adoption, up to the period of the budget review. Councillors should note the amendments to the budget adopted by absolute majority of Council at its meeting of 24th September 2014 (Agenda reference CCS076).

The original 2014-15 budget as adopted by Council forecast a net operating position (loss) from ordinary activities of $\$ 7,451,645$. The position after the mid-year review shows an operating loss of $\$ 6,849,402$ a reduction of $\$ 602,243$ in the expected loss.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Absolute Majority by virtue of Part 6, Division 4, s6.8 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. APPROVE the proposed budget amendments as detailed in the attachments as Mid-Year Review Budget and AUTHORISE any unauthorised expenditure contained within the proposed amendments.

## PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

## BACKGROUND:

The proposed attached budget amendments have been identified under the following criteria:
a) Provide resources to complete and/or undertake high or newly identified priority projects and activities;
b) Identify incorrect postings to accounts;
c) Account for deferred or cancelled budget items;
d) Review allocations against current and projected figures and profiles; and
e) Review and correct any coding errors for income and expenditure nature \& type.

## Statement of Financial Activity (see attached financial statements):

## Operating Income:

Total operating income forecast for this financial year has been increased by $\$ 3,303,295$ in relation to the original budget adopted by Council $1^{\text {st }}$ July 2015. The significant movements are:

- $\quad \$ 2.22 \mathrm{~m}$ adjustment in grant revenue authorised by Council at September 2014 meeting that related to projects carried over from 2013-14.
- $\quad \$ 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ reimbursement of GST from unimproved land sales that the City was able to claim due to a position change in the ATO.
- $\$ 0.33 \mathrm{~m}$ book entry to effectively recognise income and the acquisition of an asset (fire truck) that was purchased by Department of Fire \& Emergency Services (DFES).
- $\$ 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ increase in grant revenue based on confirmed funding.
- $\$ 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$ additional revenue received from rubbish collection charges.
- $\$ 0.8 \mathrm{~m}$ increase in forecast revenue from parking activities.


## Operating Expenditure:

Total operating expenditure forecast for this financial year has been increased by $\$ 110,342$. The significant movements are:

- $\quad \$ 0.67 \mathrm{~m}$ increase adjustment to operating expenditure authorised by Council at September 2014 meeting that related mainly to projects carried over from 2013-14.
- $\$ 0.13 \mathrm{~m}$ of airport operating expenses recoded to capital for airport security operations and sterile lounge extension.
- $\quad \$ 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ reduction in fleet and minor plant operating expenditure due to efficiency gains from reduction in light vehicle fleet and introduction of the pool box system.
- $\quad \$ 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ reduction in utilities charges in recognition of cost savings initiatives implemented at the Art Gallery and Aquarena.
- $\$ 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$ reduction in the allocation of whole of organisation legal costs based on current activity level.
- $\quad \$ 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$ reduction in budgeted expenditure whole of organisation building operations based on current actuals projected to year end.
- $\quad \$ 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$ reduction in data services costs due to an audit and review of services delivering efficiency savings.

Note: In consideration of the City's eight point plan to reduce the budget moving forward, $\$ 600 \mathrm{k}$ of savings has been identified within employment costs. These savings realised mainly via a recruitment freeze implemented early in this financial year have been moved to a "redundancy bank" as a provisional sum to cover potential redundancy payouts in this financial year. In summary, there is no overall increase budget allocation of employment costs but $\$ 600 \mathrm{k}$ has now been set aside to assist with funding potential redundancies in this financial year.

## Capital Revenue (Includes Reserves):

The budget allocation associated with this revenue stream has been increased by $\$ 1.85 \mathrm{~m}$ based mainly on the following:

- $\quad \$ 1.70 \mathrm{~m}$ increase adjustment relating to unspent funds held in Cash Reserves from 2013-14 applied to projects carried over into this financial year which was authorised by Council at September 2014.
- $\$ 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ transfer from unspent funds held in Reserves for the Eastern Breakwater Project. These funds will be applied to the design and installation of interpretive signage and provisional works associated with landscape planting and irrigation.


## Capital Expenditure (Include Reserves):

The overall increase in capital expenditure of $\$ 3.13 \mathrm{~m}$ (excluding debt principal repayments) is impacted mainly by the following movements:

- Net increase in capital expenditure relating to carried over projects from 2013-14 of $\$ 3.2 \mathrm{~m}$ as authorised by Council at September 2014.
- $\quad \$ 0.42 \mathrm{~m}$ decrease in plant replacement budget for this financial year due to a reduction in light vehicle and major plant fleet.
- $\quad \$ 0.21 \mathrm{~m}$ savings for toilet building replacement due to WA Country Builders "Brick Story" project.
- $\quad \$ 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ increase budget allocation to Skate Park Extension project to allow for refurbishing existing facility.
- $\quad \$ 0.23 \mathrm{~m}$ increase budget allocation for new depot workshop. This increase relates to the installation of new fire services not part of the original project scope which is now a statutory requirement as a result of discussions held with DFES.
- $\quad \$ 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ budget allocation to Eastern Breakwater (as noted under capital revenue movements).
- $\quad \$ 0.13 \mathrm{~m}$ increase budget allocation to Airport works (as noted under operating expenditure movements)


## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

There are no economic impacts.

## Social:

There are no social impacts.

## Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

## RELEV ANT PRECEDENTS:

A mid-year budget review is a mandatory regulatory requirement.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Section 6.8 of the Local Government Act 1995 requires any expenditure not included in the annual budget to be authorised by Absolute Majority.

Local Government (Financial Management Regulations) 1996 regulation 33A requires that Council between 1 January and 31 March in each financial year, carry out a review of its annual budget for that year.

## FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

The City's original budget forecasted the following in relation to the Statement of Financial Activity:

1. Opening fund deficit of $\$ 50 \mathrm{k}$. After completion of the End of Year financials for 2013-14 the actual opening fund deficit was $\$ 1.13 \mathrm{~m}$ and the mid-year budget review now accounts for the actual fund opening position.
2. Closing fund deficit of $\$ 2.2 \mathrm{~m}$. In consideration of the adjustment to the opening fund position of $\$ 1.13 \mathrm{~m}$ plus accounting for the savings achieved via previous budget amendments and this midyear review the closing fund deficit position has been reduced to \$1.38m.
3. Overall savings achieved in relation to both operating and capital from the original budget adopted by Council amounts to $\$ 1.91 \mathrm{~m}$.

## INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Governance | Planning and Policy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 5.2.7 | Ensuring efficient and effective delivery of service. |

## REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

There are no impacts to regional outcomes.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

Associated risk would be a failure to comply with relevant Financial Management Regulations requiring local governments to review their annual budget.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Alternative options for adjustments to budget forecasts were considered by Directors and Managers, within every function. Proposed increase adjustments to particular expenditures were offset to the extent practicable by reducing expenditure allocations elsewhere in the budget, with the view to ensuring that the budget outcome for the year achieves or delivers a better overall result than the original budget. With a reduced operating loss, this budget review delivers an improved position.

## COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR GRAHAM, SECONDED CR CAUDWELL
That Council by Absolute Majority by virtue of Part 6, Division 4, s6.8 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. APPROVE the proposed budget amendments as detailed in the attachments as Mid-Year Review Budget and AUTHORISE any unauthorised expenditure contained within the proposed amendments.

CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY 10/0

## 13 REPORTS OF CREATIVE COMMUNITIES

| CC203 MULLEWA TOWN CENTRE REVITALISATION |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-15-13506 |
| AUTHOR: | B Wilson, District Manager-Mullewa |
| EXECUTIVE: | A Selvey, Director-Creative Communities |
| DATE OF REPORT: | 17 March 2015 |
| FILE REFERENCE: | GO/6/0015 |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: | City of Greater Geraldton |
| ATTACHMENTS: | Yes (x1) |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This item seeks Council's agreement to establish an expression of interest for the Mullewa Town Centre Revitalisation (MTCR) project with the Mid West Development Commission (MWDC), utilising the prioritised projects below, as informed by consultation with the Mullewa community.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. ACKNOWLEDGE the consultation conducted within the Mullewa community in establishing the priorities for the Mullewa Town Centre Revitalisation project;
2. SUBMIT a new expression of interest for the Mullewa Town Centre Revitalisation project with the Mid West Development Commission with the following elements in order of priority;
a. Youth Centre (new or refurbishment);
b. Streetscape Enhancements (completing the Mullewa Townscape plan);
c. Preservation of heritage listed buildings in the Mullewa Railway precinct;
d. An Aboriginal Cultural Centre - noting that consultation revealed strong interest towards maintaining the current Indigenous Art Studio, rather than a Cultural Centre (to be explored);
e. Wireless Internet service - similar to foreshore in Geraldton; and f. Internal restoration of the Mullewa Masonic Lodge;
3. PROGRESS the development of full business cases should the expression of interest be successful; and
4. REFER completed business cases to Council for a decision regarding each project.

## PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton

## BACKGROUND:

This original project was to be a collaborative effort between the City, the Mid West Employment and Economic Development Aboriginal Corporation, and the Mid-West Development Commission. The original project consisted of the following components:

- Procurement and renovation of 27 Jose St - Indigenous Art Studio, to be retained as an Indigenous Art Studio.
- Procurement and renovation of 29A Jose St - MEEDAC building, to be renovated suitable for a bank branch to be established.
- Fibre Optic Connectivity - Connectivity of the commercial precinct and government services to fibre optic cable (hospital, police, and schools).
- Streetscape improvements - completing remaining elements of the Mullewa Town-scape plan (public furniture and entry statement).

At the Ordinary Meeting of Council on 27 May 2014, Council resolved to withdraw this existing EOI with the Mid-West Development Commission. The proposal was withdrawn as the components which made up this project were no longer viable; did not align to the City's Capital Works Priority Listing; and proposed funding partnerships were no longer possible.

In August 2014, consultation with various groups within the Mullewa community commenced in accordance with the Council resolution, with the goals of informing participants of the capital works listing, the process to establish it, and the Mullewa-based projects within the listing, which would be suitable to consolidate into a town centre revitalisation project; and to have the Mullewa community provide input regarding their priorities for these projects.

During this period of community consultation, community members evaluated the Mullewa based projects (per attachment) that were deemed suitable for consolidation in a town revitalisation project, from the capital works listing.

It is noteworthy that historical arrangements and agreements (preamalgamation) may impact the location of some components of the Mullewa Town Centre Revitalisation project. Should this expression of interest prove successful, these agreements will be reviewed, and used to inform the development of business cases.

The process for progressing this EOI with the MWDC is as follows;

1. Submission of EOI early April 2015 (Pending Council decision on 24 March);
2. Review by MWDC Board prior to 30 June 2015;
3. Undertake business cases for project components (independent of each other), subject to board approval; and
4. Return completed business cases to council for endorsement, prior to submission to MWDC.

## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

The conduct of this project would have a significant and enduring economic impact to the Mullewa community; particularly to the local businesses and government services (including schools), as well as the wider community for projects such streetscape improvements to the town site's appeal. Positive economic impact is also expected as a result of construction activities associated with delivering these components locally, and the potential to engage providers such as MEEDAC to provide candidates during construction to potentially assist and learn throughout these construction projects.

## Social:

There are considerable social benefits that could be realised by this project. For example; a more usable (new or refurbished) Youth Centre will enable diversionary recreational activities to be facilitated in a safe and suitable facility.

## Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

The Aboriginal Cultural Centre, preservation of the Railway precinct buildings, and Masonic Lodge (internal) restoration components of this project represent substantial cultural consideration and heritage preservation commitments.

## RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

There are no relevant precedents.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been extensive community consultation undertaken in order to establish this list of priorities. This included 2 public forums, small group meetings, one-on-one interviews and correspondence. In all approx. 100 stakeholders from the Mullewa community participated. See attached Community Ranking document and Comments document.

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

There are no legislative or policy implications.

## FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

At this point in the project there are no financial implications. However, any project seeking MWDC funding does require a co-contribution from the applicant; therefore as part of developing any business case, the financial implication for the City will be clearly and accurately quantified for Council consideration.

## INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Culture | Our Heritage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 1.1.1 | Recognising \& protecting our history \& restoring <br> heritage sites \& buildings |


| Strategy 1.1.3 | Recognising \& celebrating Yamaji people and their <br> languages and culture |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title: Social | Youth |
| Strategy 3.2.1 | Offering young people more opportunities for <br> education, recreation \& participation in their <br> community |
| Title: Economy | Lifestyle and vibrancy <br> Strategy 4.1.3Revitalising the CBD through economic, social and <br> cultural vibrancy |
| Strategy 4.4.1 | Encouraging the development of industries and <br> services related to advances in telecommunications <br> technologies and the National Broadband Network |

## REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

There are no impacts to regional outcomes.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

There is no immediate risk with progressing with an EOI. However, independent business cases will be required for each project component and a detailed risk analysis will be conducted for each component.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED BY CITY OFFICERS

Not proceeding with an expression of interest to the Mid-West Development Commission was considered, however that would result in a significantly larger portion of City-borne funding being required to fund any of the capital works projects listed as priorities for Mullewa. Alternative options for each specific project will be considered and presented to council as part of relevant business cases, subject to the MWDC's approval of the expression of interest.

Cr S Douglas - Declared an Impartiality Interest in Item CC203 - Mullewa Town Centre Revitalisation as the funding for the Revitalisation is being sought from his employer - MWDC and left Chambers at 2.07pm.

## COUNCIL DECISION

## MOVED CR CRITCH, SECONDED CR THOMAS

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. ACKNOWLEDGE the consultation conducted within the Mullewa community in establishing the priorities for the Mullewa Town Centre Revitalisation project;
2. SUBMIT a new expression of interest for the Mullewa Town Centre Revitalisation project with the Mid West Development Commission with the following elements in order of priority;
a. Youth Centre (new or refurbishment);
b. Streetscape Enhancements (completing the Mullewa Townscape plan);
c. Preservation of heritage listed buildings in the Mullewa Railway precinct;
d. An Aboriginal Cultural Centre - noting that consultation revealed strong interest towards maintaining the current Indigenous Art Studio, rather than a Cultural Centre (to be explored);
e. Wireless Internet service - similar to foreshore in Geraldton; and
f. Internal restoration of the Mullewa Masonic Lodge;
3. PROGRESS the development of full business cases should the expression of interest be successful; and
4. REFER completed business cases to Council for a decision regarding each project.

CARRIED 9/0

14 REPORTS OF OFFICE OF THE CEO
Nil.

## 15 REPORTS OF SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES

| SC202 | PROPOSED CLOSURE OF PORTION OF FORESHORE DRIVE <br>  <br> AND FORREST STREET ROAD RESERVE, GERALDTON |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-15-13007 |
| AUTHOR: | K Elder, City Strategic Planner |
| EXECUTIVE: | P Melling, Director Sustainable |
|  | Communities |
| DATE OF REPORT: | 5 March 2015 |
| FILE REFERENCE: | LP/9/0002 |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: | Quantum Surveys |
| ATTACHMENTS: | Yes (x1) |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The advertising period has concluded for the closure of a portion of road reserve located at the intersection of Foreshore Drive and Forrest Street, Geraldton.

This report recommends support of the closure and that it be forwarded to the Minister for Lands for final approval.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Section 58 of the Land Administration Act 1997 RESOLVES to:

1. REQUEST the Minister for Lands, further to the notice of motion published in the Midwest Times on 29 January 2015, to close portion of the Foreshore Drive and Forrest Street road reserves as shown on Drawing No. 10526PR01-AERIAL (Rev A).

## PROPONENT:

The proponent is Quantum Surveys who are acting on behalf of Landcorp.

## BACKGROUND:

The portion road reserve proposed to be closed is located on the north eastern corner of the intersection of Foreshore Drive and Forrest Street, Geraldton. The portion of road reserve is $416 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in area and is unsealed as it is surplus to the road and pathway requirements.

It is proposed that the portion of road reserve will be amalgamated into the adjacent lots which will form part of the Batavia Coast Marina Stage 2 (BCMII) development.

A copy of the proposed Road Closure plan which includes an aerial photo of the site is included as Attachment No. SC202.

## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

There are no economic issues.

## Social:

There are no social issues.

## Environmental:

There are no environmental issues.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

There are no cultural and heritage issues.

## RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

The author is not aware of any relevant precedents.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

The closure was publicly advertised in accordance with the provisions of the Land Administration Act 1997.

The advertising period was for 37 days (commencing on 29 January 2015 and concluding on 6 March 2015) and involved the following:

1. A sign erected on-site;
2. A notice appeared in the Midwest Times on 29 January 2015;
3. The details of the closure were made available on the City's website;
4. The details of the closure were publicly displayed at the Civic Centre;
5. The closure was referred to the following agencies:

- ATCO Gas
- Department of Fire and Emergency Services
- Landcorp
- Mid West Development Commission
- Public Transport Authority
- Telstra
- Water Corporation
- Western Power


## Submissions:

As a result of the advertising, a total of 2 submissions were received from Western Power and the Water Corporation. Both agencies had no objection to the closure however they did advise that they would require the relocation of some infrastructure at the applicants' cost.

As part of the larger BCMII project, Landcorp will be relocating infrastructure across the site. Discussions between the project engineers and both agencies have, and will continue to occur as part of the overall development of the project.

Copies of the actual submissions are available to Council upon request.

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Section 58 of the Land Administration Act 1997 provides for the closure of public roads.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:
There are no financial and resource implications.

## INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Governance | Planning and Policy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy: 5.2.1 | Responding to community aspirations by providing <br> creative yet effective planning and zoning for future <br> development. |

## REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

There are no regional outcomes.

## RISK MANAGEMENT:

The road closure is part of the overall land tenure and assembly process to enable the future development of the BCMII project. Not approving the closure would result in surplus land not being utilised to its fullest potential.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED BY CITY OFFICERS:

The closure will allow for the unused portion of the road reserve to be amalgamated into the adjacent lots which will ensure more efficient use of land as part of the BCMII project and therefore the option to refuse the closure is not supported.

The option to defer the matter is not supported as there is considered sufficient information for Council to determine the matter and there are pressing timeframes that are associated with the delivery of the BCMII project.

Cr S Douglas - Declared an Impartiality Interest in Item SC202 - Proposed Closure of Portion of Foreshore Drive and Forrest Street road reserve, Geraldton as his employer MWDC is involved in the development of the land and remained outside of Chambers.

## COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR GRAHAM, SECONDED CR THOMAS
That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Section 58 of the Land Administration Act 1997 RESOLVES to:

1. REQUEST the Minister for Lands, further to the notice of motion published in the Midwest Times on 29 January 2015, to close portion of the Foreshore Drive and Forrest Street road reserves as shown on Drawing No. 10526PR01-AERIAL (Rev A).

## CARRIED 9/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed
Cr Douglas returned to Chambers at 2.10pm

| SC203 | MINOR MODIFICATION TO THE GERALDTON <br>  <br> TECHNOLOGY PARK STRUCTURE PLAN | AIRPORT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-15-13160 |  |
| AUTHOR: | K Elder, City Strategic Planner |  |
| EXECUTIVE: | P Melling, Director Sustainable |  |
|  | Communities |  |
| DATE OF REPORT: | $\mathbf{0 3}$ March 2015 |  |
| FILE REFERENCE: | PM/4/0015 |  |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: | City of Greater Geraldton |  |
| ATTACHMENTS: | Yes (x1) |  |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The City is proposing a minor modification to the Geraldton Airport Technology Park Structure Plan following the completion of further detailed design of the land.

This report recommends final approval of the modified structure plan and that it be forwarded to the WA Planning Commission for its endorsement.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Clause 5.17.14 of Local Planning Scheme No. 5 (Greenough), RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT the modified Geraldton Airport Technology Park Structure Plan; and
2. FORWARD the modified structure plan to the WA Planning Commission for its endorsement.

## PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

## BACKGROUND:

It is envisaged that new development at the Geraldton Airport will form part of a sophisticated technology park that supports a strategic aviation hub and provides a range of aviation and non-aviation services and employment opportunities to the Greater Geraldton area and beyond.

As part of progressing road and infrastructure works and as a result of further detailed design, there a number of minor modifications that are required for the structure plan as follows:

- Following a detailed site survey, a drainage area has now been defined within the low point of the subject area.
- The retention of the City's current Depot site has necessitated a number of changes to the proposed road and lot layout.
- The southern boundary of the structure plan has been amended to align with the Bureau of Meteorology buffer area. This has resulted in the southern road which intersects with Arthur Road being extended further east. This road extension will enable the land to
the north to be opened up for development as part of the Geraldton Airport Technology Park.
- The replacement of the western cul-de-sac with a north-south through road, which provides better vehicle permeability and connectivity throughout the site.

The existing and proposed structure plans are included as Attachment No. SC203.

## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

The structure plan will potentially facilitate the development of a range of uses that encourages a high level of innovation, economic activity and creates significant local, permanent employment opportunities.

## Social:

There are no social issues.

## Environmental:

All environmental issues were dealt with via the approval of the existing Local Structure Plan. There are no further environmental issues as a result of the proposed modification to the structure plan.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

The Geraldton Airport has been identified as having cultural heritage significance. The place is classified by the National Trust of Australia (Western Australia) and is also listed on the Shire of Greenough Municipal Inventory of Heritage Places (Place No. 217) wherein it has been allocated as Management Category 2.

Conservation and interpretation of the remnant historic infrastructure, including archaeological remains, is addressed within the Geraldton Airport Technology Park Design Guidelines.

There are no further cultural and heritage issues as a result of the proposed modification to the structure plan.

## RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

To guide future development at the Geraldton Airport, the 'Geraldton Airport Technology Park Design Guidelines' Local Planning Policy was adopted by Council at its meeting held on 27 June 2010.

Council at its meeting held on 23 October 2012 resolved to adopt the existing structure plan which was subsequently endorsed by the WA Planning Commission on 3 January 2013.
The author is not aware of any other relevant precedents.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Clause 5.17.14 of Local Planning Scheme No. 5 (Greenough) allows for variations to a structure plan as follows:

### 5.17.14 Variation to structure plan

5.17.14.1 The local government may vary a structure plan:
(a) by resolution if, in the opinion of the local government, the variation does not materially alter the intent of the structure plan;

It is considered that the proposed minor modification does not materially alter the intent of the structure plan.

The 'Geraldton Airport Technology Park Guidelines' (which are appended to the structure plan) was adopted by Council on 27 June 2010 as a Local Planning Policy.

A Local Planning Policy does not bind the local government in respect of any application for planning approval but the local government is to have due regard to the provisions of the Policy and the objectives which the Policy is designed to achieve before making its determination.

## FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

There are no financial and resource implications involved with the modification to the structure plan however there are financial and resource implications involved with the overall development of the Technology Park.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Governance | Planning and Policy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 5.2.1 | Responding to community aspirations by providing <br> creative yet effective planning and zoning for future <br> development. |

## REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

Geraldton Airport Master Plan:
The Airport Master Plan provides a planning framework for future development to enable long-term operational objectives to be met. The plan identifies an area of 24 hectares for commercial development in the form of a business park. It also states that high quality development should be encouraged and identifies a site for an airport hotel/motel.

## Local Planning Strategy:

The purpose of this document is to identify the likely land uses that will be established and indicate the preferred location for these land uses. The strategy states:

The Geraldton Airport is strategically situated to serve the needs of Greater Geraldton for the foreseeable future. Appropriate planning around the airport will ensure compatible uses are located adjacent to the airport, enabling its continued operation and future development.

The City of Geraldton-Greenough has completed an airport master plan, which will provide the opportunity for further expansion of the facility.

Geraldton Region Plan (1999) and Greater Geraldton Structure Plan (2011):
This plan seeks to provide a framework for the future management, protection and coordination of regional planning in the region. The Region Plan incorporates a structure plan for the Greater Geraldton area. The subject land is identified as Public Utilities 'Airport' on the structure plan.

Narngulu Industrial Area Strategic Land Use Directions (2010):
This study reviews the current strategic planning framework for the Narngulu industrial area and to provide direction for future planning and development. The subject land is identified as Public Utilities - Airport 'Potential airport business park' on the strategic land use directions plan.

## RISK MANAGEMENT:

There are no inherent risks to the City in approving the modified structure plan.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED BY CITY OFFICERS:

The minor modification to the structure plan is required as a result of further detailed design and infrastructure works. The modification will ensure that drainage for the area is appropriately catered for, it will also increase the land area available for development as part of the Geraldton Airport Technology Park and it will improve the road connectivity and permeability of the area. The option to refuse the modification is therefore not supported.

The option to defer the matter is not supported as there is considered sufficient information for Council to determine the matter.

## COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR THOMAS, SECONDED CR DETRAFFORD
That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Clause 5.17.14 of Local Planning Scheme No. 5 (Greenough), RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT the modified Geraldton Airport Technology Park Structure Plan; and
2. FORWARD the modified structure plan to the WA Planning Commission for its endorsement.

CARRIED 10/0
In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed

| SC204 LEASE - ROVER FOOTBALL CLUB |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-15-13029 |  |
| AUTHOR: | L MacLeod, Coordinator | Land and |
|  | Property Development |  |
| EXECUTIVE: | P Melling, Director | Sustainable |
|  | Communities |  |
| DATE OF REPORT: | 4 March 2015 |  |
| FILE REFERENCE: | A68866 |  |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: | Rover Football Club |  |
| ATTACHMENTS: | Yes (x1) |  |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The purpose of this report is to seek Council's support to enter into a new twenty (20) year lease agreement with the Rover Football Club Inc. over portion of Lot 47 Horwood Road, Utakarra.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.58 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. ENTER into a new lease agreement with the Rover Football Club over approximately 750 square metre portion of Lot 47 Horwood Road, Utakarra;
2. SET the conditions as follows:
a. enter into a twenty (20) year lease agreement;
b. commence the lease fee in line with the City of Greater Geraldton Schedule of Fees and Charges 2014/15 reviewed annually; and
c. to be in accordance with the principles of Council Policy CP049 Community Group Land Lease/Licence Policy and appropriate governance requirements;
3. LESSEE being responsible for separately paying;
a. All applicable rates, taxes and other utilities; and
b. Legal expenses associated with the preparation, execution and registration of the lease; and
4. BEFORE the expiry date of the lease the Lessor reserves the right to negotiate with Rover Football Club to achieve the outcomes identified in the Sporting Futures Report to relocate the Club to the Southern Districts Sporting Facility upon its completion the timing of which is anticipated to occur during the term of this lease.

## PROPONENT:

The proponent is Rover Football Club.

## BACKGROUND:

The Rover Football Club was established in 1895 and traditionally the Club has had a lease agreement for that portion of land in which their clubrooms are located. Refer to attachment aerial map.

Council at its meeting on 15 June 2010, during the Sporting Futures Report Project, approved a lease to the Club for a three (3) year period with a further term option of one (1) year. The current lease expired on the 31 December 2014 and is currently operating under the holding over clause of the lease on a month to month basis.

The reason for the short term tenure at that time was due to the fact the use for the land at Horwood Road had potential for development with its freehold ownership to the City and the future tenure would be worked through with the Sporting Futures processes and the club be aligned with the Southern Districts Sporting Facilities development.

The Sporting Futures Report with regard to the Southern Districts Sporting Facility states:

The City has identified the Greenough Oval, home to the Rover Football Club, as a land development opportunity due to the fact that the land is 'freehold' to the City and not subject to the same state government conditions as other recreational spaces within the City. Negotiations with the Rover Football Club over several years has led to an 'in-principle' agreement that Rover Football Club be relocated to the Southern Districts Sporting Facility allowing this land development to proceed. Net return from the land development would be used to provide premier oval facilities at Southern Districts Sporting Facility.

With the Southern Districts Sporting Facility not expected to be completed within the next $5-10$ years the Club is now looking toward improving their facilities in the interim. Security of long tenure will enable the Club to position itself to apply for applicable funding for these improvements to their club facility.

## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

There are no economic impacts.

## Social:

Rover Football Club is a member of the GNFL and promotes junior and senior football throughout the Midwest region.

## Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts as the Club is already well established on portion of the lot.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

Rover Football Club has been in existence since 1895 and is one of Geraldton's oldest established clubs.

## RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

The City leases Crown Reserves and freehold land to community organisations for a variety of recreational purposes.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

Liaison between the City and the community sporting groups occurred prior to the adoption and implementation of Council Policy CP049.

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Section 3.58 of the Local government Act 1995 details the process for "disposing" (in this case leasing) of property. Regulation 30 of the Local Government Functions and General Regulations describes dispositions of property excluded from Section 3.58 of the Local Government Act 1995 for recreational, sporting and other like nature organisations.

CP049 Community group Land Lease/Licence Policy details the process for the leasing of Crown Land to Community Groups.

## FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

The lease fee is set by the City of Greater Geraldton Schedule of Fees and Charges 2014/15 adopted by Council and reviewed annually. The commencement lease fee is $\$ 355.00$ per annum inclusive of GST.

## INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Social | Sport and Recreation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 3.1.1 | Supporting the strong sporting culture that has <br> shaped Greater Geraldton's identity and lifestyle |

## REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

Sport and recreation in regional areas is a vital link in developing opportunities to network and socialise whilst providing a wide range of activities.
The City recognises the importance of supporting sport and recreation clubs and their volunteers as a benefit to the Greater Geraldton region as a whole.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

There are no risks identified with this proposal.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED BY CITY OFFICERS

It is considered that any alternative options other than leasing for the maximum term of 20 years would limit the Club's ability to obtain funding for improvements to the current facility.

Cr P Fiorenza - Declared an Impartiality Interest in Item SC204 - Lease Rover Football Club as he is a member of the Board of Rover Football Club and left Chambers at 2.13pm.

## COUNCIL DECISION

## MOVED CR CLUNE, SECONDED CR TANTI

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.58 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. ENTER into a new lease agreement with the Rover Football Club over approximately 750 square metre portion of Lot 47 Horwood Road, Utakarra;
2. SET the conditions as follows:
a. enter into a twenty (20) year lease agreement;
b. commence the lease fee in line with the City of Greater Geraldton Schedule of Fees and Charges 2014/15 reviewed annually; and
c. to be in accordance with the principles of Council Policy CP049 Community Group Land Lease/Licence Policy and appropriate governance requirements;
3. LESSEE being responsible for separately paying;
a. All applicable rates, taxes and other utilities; and
b. Legal expenses associated with the preparation, execution and registration of the lease; and
4. BEFORE the expiry date of the lease the Lessor reserves the right to negotiate with Rover Football Club to achieve the outcomes identified in the Sporting Futures Report to relocate the Club to the Southern Districts Sporting Facility upon its completion the timing of which is anticipated to occur during the term of this lease.

## CARRIED 9/0

[^0]

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This report seeks Council approval to proceed with the statutory processes to the acquisition and subsequent disposal to various Crown reserves that have been identified as surplus to the City's requirements as detailed in the endorsed City of Greater Geraldton Public Open Space (POS) Strategy.

The intent is to convert the reserves to freehold land and dispose of them via public auction or private treaty with the profits from sales to be held in a POS Trust in respect to the relevant locality areas for future upgrades of other reserves and recreational facilities in the locality.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.58 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. GIVE local public notice of the intent to ACQUIRE the following Crown Reserves as listed under Section 20A "Public Recreation" Reserves Policy Guidelines for $5 \%$ of the unimproved land valuation from the Crown:
a. R34449 (Lot 2740) McAleer Drive, Mahomets Beach;
b. R44807 (Lot 3011) Omega Place, Spalding;
c. R31543 (Lot 2590) Pollett Street, Spalding;
d. R29549 (Lots 2504,2742) Hammersley Street, Spalding;
e. R48689 (Lot 12707) Wahn Ave, Waggrakine;
f. R48448 (Lot 12691) Macedonia Drive, Glenfield;
g. R49967 (Lot 596) Woodman Street, Utakarra;
h. R40027 (Vic Loc 11732) Edward Road, Narngulu;
i. R27506 (Lot 2331) Off Cairncross Street, Beresford; and
j. R28116 (Lot 2334) Off Cairncross Street, Beresford.
2. MAKE the determination subject to:
a. advertising notice period of not less than 42 days inviting public submissions;
3. REFER the matter back to Council for final consideration if any objecting submissions are received;
4. SUBJECT to point (3) above; ACQUIRE the Crown Reserves as listed in point (1) above;
5. DISPOSE of the now freehold lots by way of public auction or private treaty;
6. DELEGATE authority to the Chief Executive Officer to set the reserve prices; and
7. ESTABISH specific Public Open Space Trust Accounts for each lot to receive proceeds from the profits of the sales for distribution for future upgrades of other reserves and recreation facilities within each locality.

## PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

## BACKGROUND:

Pursuant to Regulations 12A and 12B of the Town Planning Regulations 1967, the City prepared and adopted as a local planning strategy the Public Open Space Strategy. Council resolved at the meeting held 28 May 2013 to adopt the draft Public Open Space Strategy and seek consent to advertise from the WA Planning Commission.

The WA Planning Commission requested some modifications to the POS Strategy and subsequently granted consent to advertise on 26 June 2014. The POS Strategy was publicly advertised, commencing on 14 August 2014 and ended 26 September 2014. The Strategy is currently with the WA Planning Commission pending the final endorsement.

The POS Strategy identified various reserves vested in the City that are deemed as surplus to the City's requirements because of an excess of POS in those areas.

As these reserves were created under Section 20A of the Town Planning and Development Act 1928 and vested in the City by way of management order for Public Recreation, the City may apply to acquire these reserves from the Crown under Section 20A "Public Recreation" Reserves Policy Guidelines for $5 \%$ of the unimproved land valuation.

If successfully acquired, the reserves may then be disposed of pursuant to Section 3.58 of the Local Government Act 1995 and proceeds from the disposal to be allocated to the POS Trust for the improvement of other reserves and recreational facilities in the locality.

## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

There is economic stimulus related to this proposal.

## Social:

The funds raised from the disposal of the surplus reserves will be allocated to the improvement of established reserves (POS) in the relevant areas. This will assist the City to meet the active and passive recreation needs of the community.

## Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts relating to this proposal.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

There is no cultural, heritage or indigenous impacts relating to this proposal.

## RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Following the statutory advertising process, Council at its meeting on the 22 March 2011 resolved to acquire Reserves 46001 (Lot 3086) Eastern Road, 28031 (Lot 2357 King Street, 40233 (Lot 2890) Quarry Street, 34453 (Lot 2737) Drew Street, 29788 (Lot 2514) Houston Street, from the Crown under Section 20A "Public Recreation" Reserves Policy Guidelines. for 5\% of the unimproved land valuation. Once the City obtains these lots in fee simple, they may be disposed pursuant to Section 3.58 of the Local Government Act 1995 at the current market value.

The WA Planning Commission and the Department of Lands at this point of the acquisition process declined to approve the acquisition of the above Reserves due to the absence of an up to date POS Strategy. As a result, the City initiated the new POS Strategy process.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

Council adopted the POS Strategy and the purpose and intent of that strategy at the meeting held 28 May 2013. In addition, the POS strategy was effectively advertised within the community and the strategic importance of land acquisition and disposal was discussed and supported by the community at the Community forums involving the range and level of services project undertaken by the City.

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Pursuant to section 74 of the Land Administration Act 1997 - Part 6 Division 2 - Sale of Crown Land
(1) The Minister may sell Crown land and may, without limiting the generality of that power -
(f) sell Crown land by public auction, public tender or by private treaty;

Section 3.58 of the Local Government Act 1995 (as amended) Disposing of Property
Section 3.58:
(1) In this section -
"dispose" includes to sell, lease, or otherwise dispose of, whether absolutely or not;
"property" includes the whole or any part of the interest of a local government in property, but does not include money
(3) A local government can dispose of property other than under subsection (2) if, before agreeing to dispose of the property -
(a) it gives local public notice of the proposed disposition -
(i) describing the property concerned; and
(ii) giving details of the proposed disposition; and
(iii) inviting submissions to be made to the local government before a date to be specified in the notice, being a date not less than 2 weeks after the notice is first given; and
(b) it considers any submissions made to it before the date specified in the notice and, if its decision is made by the council or a committee, the decision and the reasons for it are recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which the decision was made.

## FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

Funds from the sale of the reserves would be allocated to specific POS Trust accounts designated for the allocation to improvement of other reserves and recreational facilities in the near vicinity and will allow for potential savings to existing budget allocations for POS management.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Environment | Revegetation-Rehabilitation-Preservation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 2.1.2 | Sustainably maintaining public open spaces and <br> recreational areas |
| Title: Social | Recreation and Sport <br> Strategy 3.1.2Encouraging informal recreation though well planned <br> and developed public open spaces, cycle/walk paths <br> and green streetscapes |
| Title: Governance | Planning and Policy |
| Strategy: 5.2.1 | Responding to community aspirations by providing <br> creative yet effective planning and zoning for future <br> development |

## Regional Outcomes:

There are no potential impacts, either positive or negative to regional outcomes.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

The disposal of the lots by the City will be as determined with market conditions.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

There are no alternative options for consideration, as there is sufficient information for Council to determine the matter.

## COUNCIL DECISION

## MOVED CR DOUGLAS, SECONDED CR TANTI

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.58 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. GIVE local public notice of the intent to ACQUIRE the following Crown Reserves as listed under Section 20A "Public Recreation" Reserves Policy Guidelines for 5\% of the unimproved land valuation from the Crown:
a. R34449 (Lot 2740) McAleer Drive, Mahomets Beach;
b. R44807 (Lot 3011) Omega Place, Spalding;
c. R31543 (Lot 2590) Pollett Street, Spalding;
d. R29549 (Lots 2504,2742) Hammersley Street, Spalding;
e. R48689 (Lot 12707) Wahn Ave, Waggrakine;
f. R48448 (Lot 12691) Macedonia Drive, Glenfield;
g. R49967 (Lot 596) Woodman Street, Utakarra;
h. R40027 (Vic Loc 11732) Edward Road, Narngulu;
i. R27506 (Lot 2331) Off Cairncross Street, Beresford; and
j. R28116 (Lot 2334) Off Cairncross Street, Beresford.
2. MAKE the determination subject to:
a. advertising notice period of not less than 42 days inviting public submissions;
3. REFER the matter back to Council for final consideration if any objecting submissions are received;
4. SUBJECT to point (3) above; ACQUIRE the Crown Reserves as listed in point (1) above;
5. DISPOSE of the now freehold lots by way of public auction or private treaty;
6. DELEGATE authority to the Chief Executive Officer to set the reserve prices; and
7. ESTABISH specific Public Open Space Trust Accounts for each lot to receive proceeds from the profits of the sales for distribution for future upgrades of other reserves and recreation facilities within each locality.

CARRIED 10/0
In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed

| SC208 2015 EXTENDED RETAIL TRADING HOURS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-15-15256 |
| AUTHOR: | HJ Davis, Economic Development Officer |
| EXECUTIVE: | P Melling, Director of Sustainable |
|  | Communities |
| DATE OF REPORT: | 13 March 2015 |
| FILE REFERENCE: | ED/3/003/02 |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: | City of Greater Geraldton |
| ATTACHMENTS: | Yes (x3) |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The purpose of this report is to seek Council's endorsement of the application for extension of retail trading hours for 2015.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to the Retail Trading Hours Act 1987 RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT the following package of extensions to the City of Greater Geraldton during the full year of 2015:
a. Easter Monday 6 April 2015-10.00am to 5.00pm;
b. Monday 27 April 2015 - 10.00am to 5.00 pm;
c. Sunday, 13 December 2015-10.00am to 4.00pm;
d. Sunday, 20 December 2015-10.00am to 4.00pm;
e. Monday, 21 December $2015-6.00 \mathrm{pm}$ to 9.00 pm ;
f. Tuesday, 22 December $2015-6.00 \mathrm{pm}$ to 9.00 pm ;
g. Wednesday, 23 December $2015-6.00 \mathrm{pm}$ to 9.00 pm ; and
2. SEEK approval from the Minister for Commerce to adopt the package of extensions to retail trading hours in point (1.) above.

## PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

## BACKGROUND:

Following consultation by City staff with the Mid West Chamber of Commerce and Industry (MWCCI), Department of Commerce (DOC) and key retailers, it was agreed by the above stakeholders that the City put one agenda item to Council regarding trading hours for the full year, instead of going through the procedure separately for different holidays.

On 18 February 2015, Federation Stirlings Central wrote to the City (refer to Attachment No.1) proposing to open during on ALL Sundays, and ALL weekdays from 7.00am to 7.00pm.

It is considered that Federation Stirling's application is almost equivalent to permanent deregulation of trading hours in Geraldton, and given that the Council at its meeting on the 28 May 2013 resolved:

MOVED CR GABELISH, SECONDED CR HALL
That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 5.20 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. RECEIVE the two petitions on the deregulated trading hours; and
2. NOT SUBMIT an application to the Minister for Commerce for the deregulation of trading hours in the City of Greater Geraldton area;
a. Makes the determination for the following reasons: that deregulation of trading hours is not supported in Geraldton.
3. NOT consider the matter of deregulated trading hours until such time that the population of City of Greater Geraldton reaches a minimum of 50,000 people.

The application by Federation Stirlings Central is not considered for further progressing in its current form.

On 17 February and 18 February 2015, Northgate Shopping Centre and Spot Light Geraldton wrote to the City (refer to Attachment No.1) suggesting the following extensions be adopted:

Public Holidays

| Easter Sunday 5 April 2015 | 10.00am | 5.00 pm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Easter Monday 6 April 2015 | 10.00am | 5.00 pm |
| Anzac Day Saturday 25 April 2015 | 1.00 pm | 6.00 pm |
| Foundation Day Monday 1 June 2015 | 10:00am | 8.00 pm |
| Queen's Birthday Monday 28 September 2015 | 10.00am | 5.00 pm |
| Boxing Day Saturday 26 December 2015 | 10.00am | 5.00 pm |
| Boxing Day Monday 28 December 2015 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| New Year's Day 1 January 2016 | 11.00am | 5.00 pm |

Christmas and New Year Season

| Sunday, 6 December 2015 | 10.00am | 5.00 pm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sunday, 13 December 2015 | 10.00 am | 5.00 pm |
| Sunday, 20 December 2015 | 10.00 am | 5.00 pm |
| Monday, 21 December 2015 | 6.00 pm | 9.00 pm |
| Tuesday, 22 December 2015 | 6.00 pm | 9.00 pm |
| Wednesday, 23 December 2015 | 6.00 pm | 9.00 pm |
| Sunday, 3 January 2016 | 10.00am | 5.00 pm |

## Sunday Extended Trading Hours

| Sunday, 1 March 2015 | 10.00am | 4.00 pm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sunday, 29 March 2015 | 10.00am | 4.00 pm |
| Sunday, 26 April 2015 | 10.00 am | 5.00 pm |
| Sunday, 24 May 2015 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Sunday, 31 May 2015 | 10.00am | 5.00 pm |
| Sunday, 28 June 2015 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Sunday, 26 July 2015 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Sunday, 30 August 2015 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Sunday, 27 September 2015 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Sunday, 1 November 2015 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Sunday, 29 November 2015 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |

Key Sales Events

| Monday, 16 March 2015 | Extended to | 8.00 pm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuesday, 17 March 2015 | Extended to | 8.00 pm |
| Tuesday, 2 June 2015 | Extended to | 8.00 pm |
| Monday, 17 August 2015 | Extended to | 8.00 pm |
| Tuesday, 18 August 2015 | Extended to | 8.00 pm |
| Monday, 9 November 2015 | Extended to | 8.00 pm |
| Tuesday, 10 November 2015 | Extended to | 8.00 pm |

Feedback was sought from the MWCCI, on the above proposed retail trading extensions.

The MWCCI advised the City (refer attached letter - attachment No.2), the only hours retail extensions support are the following extensions for the Xmas period to be adopted:
a. Sunday, 13 December 2015 - 10.00am to 4.00pm;
b. Sunday, 20 December 2015-10.00am, to 4pm; and
c. Wednesday, 23 December 2015-6.00pm to 9.00 pm .

The MWCCI also highlighted the determination of Council of its meeting of the 28 May 2013 and requested that this determination be adhered to.

On the 13 March 2015 Councillors received correspondence from Woolworths Limited. This letter from Woolworths highlights the request for extended retail trading to be granted for Sunday 26 April 2015 and Monday 27 April 2015 being a public holiday for Anzac Day on the 25 April 2015 when shops will be closed for that day. Included as Attachment No. 3 SC208.

Woolworths Limited base their application around closure for three consecutive days having a serious impact on retail businesses and causing an inconvenience to both local families and tourists who may not have planned for such a lengthy closure.

The application from Northgate Shopping Centre seeks an extension of retail trade on Saturday 25 April 2015 (Anzac Day) which was rejected by the MWCCI. It did not seek alternative trading on Sunday 26 April 2015 and Monday public holiday on the 27 April 2015.

## ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL \& CULTURAL ISSUES:

## Economic:

Opening retail outlets for the additional hours may have the following economic impacts:

1. There may be increased opportunity for income within the retail outlets that wish to open the additional hours; and
2. Opening the additional hours will allow residents from towns in the surrounding region increased opportunity to spend within the City
of Greater Geraldton retail sector and contribute to the City of Greater Geraldton economic pool.

Retail outlets that believe opening the additional hours will not be economically viable are invited to exercise their individual discretion as to whether they choose to trade these additional hours.

## Social:

There are no social impacts.

## Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

## Cultural \& Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

## RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

A precedent was set in 2009, by Council adopting a package of trading hours that was a compromise between recommendations from the DOC, MWCCI, Federation Stirlings Central, Northgate Shopping Centre and Spotlight Centre.

This practice has continued in subsequent years.
2009 adopted package for the full year:

| Sunday, 13 Dec 2009 | 10.00 am | 5.00 pm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sunday, 20 Dec 2009 | 10.00 am | 5.00 pm |
| Wednesday, 23 Dec 2009 | 8.00 am | 9.00 pm |
| Thursday, 24 Dec 2009 | 8.00 am | 6.00 pm |
| Sunday, 27 Dec 2009 | 10.00 am | $5.00 \mathrm{pm}^{*}$ |
| Tuesday, 29 Dec 2009 | 8.00 am | $9.00 \mathrm{pm}^{*}$ |
| Wednesday, 30 Dec 2009 | 8.00 am | $9.00 \mathrm{pm}^{*}$ |
| Thursday, 31 Dec 2009 | 8.00 am | $6.00 \mathrm{pm}^{*}$ |
| Sunday, 3 Jan 2010 | 10.00 am | $5.00 \mathrm{pm}^{*}$ |

* These dates were later adopted with the knowledge that the Clipper 09/10 yacht race would be stopped in Geraldton during this period.

2010 adopted package for the full year:

| Sunday, 12 Dec 2010 | 10.00 am | 5.00 pm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sunday, 19 Dec 2010 | 10.00 am | 5.00 pm |
| Monday, 20 Dec 2010 | 8.00 am | 9.00 pm |
| Tuesday, 21 Dec 2010 | 8.00 am | 9.00 pm |
| Wednesday, 22 Dec 2010 | 8.00 am | 9.00 pm |
| Friday, 24 Dec 2010 | 8.00 am | 6.00 pm |
| Tuesday, 28 Dec 2010 (public holiday) | 8.00 am | 5.00 pm |

2011 adopted package for the full year:

| Tuesday, 26 April 2011 | 9.00 am | 5.00 pm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sunday, 11 December 2011 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Sunday, 18 December 2011 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Friday, 23 December 2011 | 8.00 am | 9.00 pm |
| Tuesday, 27 December 2011 <br> (Boxing Day, Public Holiday) | 8.00 am | 5.00 pm |

2012 adopted package for the full year:

| Sunday, 16 December 2012 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Friday, 21 December 2012 | 8.00 am | 9.00 pm |
| Sunday, 23 December 2012 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |

2013 adopted package for the full year:

| Monday, 28 January 2013 (Australia Day) | 9.00am | 5.00 pm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monday, 1 April 2013 (Easter Monday) | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Sunday, 15 December 2013 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Sunday, 22 December 2013 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Monday, 23 December 2013 | 8.00 am | 9.00 pm |

2014 adopted package for the full year:

| Sunday, 14 December 2014 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Friday, 19 December 2014 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Sunday, 21 December 2014 | 10.00 am | 4.00 pm |
| Monday, 22 December 2014 | 8.00 am | 9.00 pm |
| Tuesday, 23 December 2014 | 8.00 am | 9.00 pm |

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

Upon receipt of the application letters from Federation Stirlings Central, Northgate Shopping Centre and Spotlight the MWCCI were invited to provide comment on the proposals submitted. The MWCCl have responded by convening a meeting with members and as a result have submitted their response (attachment 2) for consideration.

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Section 12(E), Variation of Trading Hours of the Retail Trading Hours Act 1987 applies to this matter.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:
There are no financial or resource implications.

## INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

| Title: Economy | Lifestyle and Vibrancy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 4.1.3 | Revitalising the CBD through economic, social and <br> cultural vibrancy |

## REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

Opening the additional hours will allow residents from towns in the surrounding region increase opportunity to spend within the City of Greater Geraldton retail sector and contribute to the Midwest economic pool.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

It is considered that there is no risk applicable to this item.

## ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED BY CITY OFFICERS

The following options were considered by City Officers:
The package suggested by the MWCCI offers relatively little option for traders to exercise their discretion to trade additional hours during 2015. In addition, the package recommended by the Northgate and Spotlight Centre may put additional pressure to the local/small retailers and their employees to work extra hours during public holidays and festive seasons.

For the above reasons, options to adopt packages as submitted by the retailers are not supported.

Based on precedents since 2009, especially in 2013 and 2014, the executive recommendation is an adaptation of the packages recommended by the MWCCI and the retailers and also takes into consideration that all retailers are able to exercise their individual discretion regarding whether or not to trade during the approved hours.

Director of Sustainable Communities Phil Melling - declared a Financial Indirect Interest in Item SC208 2015 Extended Retail Trading Hours as he has two family members who work for one of the submitters.

Mr Melling left Chambers at 2.15pm

## COUNCIL DECISION

## MOVED CR TANTI, SECONDED CR THOMAS

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to the Retail Trading Hours Act 1987 RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT the following package of extensions to the City of Greater Geraldton during the full year of 2015:
a. Easter Monday 6 April 2015-10.00am to 5.00pm;
b. Monday 27 April 2015-10.00am to 5.00pm;
c. Sunday, 13 December 2015 - 10.00am to 4.00pm;
d. Sunday, 20 December 2015-10.00am to 4.00pm;
e. Monday, 21 December $2015-6.00 \mathrm{pm}$ to 9.00 pm ;
f. Tuesday, 22 December 2015-6.00pm to 9.00 pm ;
g. Wednesday, 23 December $2015-6.00 \mathrm{pm}$ to 9.00 pm ; and
2. SEEK approval from the Minister for Commerce to adopt the package of extensions to retail trading hours in point (1.) above.

CARRIED 8/2
Mr Melling returned to Chambers at 2.23pm

## 16 REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED

| REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-14-13569 |
| AUTHOR: | K Diehm, Chief Executive Officer |
| EXECUTIVE: | K Diehm, Chief Executive Officer |
| DATE OF REPORT: | 9 March 2015 |
| FILE REFERENCE: | GO/6/0002 |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: | City of Greater Geraldton |
| ATTACHMENTS: | Yes |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

To receive the Reports of the City of Greater Geraldton.

## EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:

## PART A

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 22.(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to

1. RECEIVE the following appended reports:
a. Reports - Sustainable Communities
i. SC209 - Community Safety Crime Prevention Committee Meeting Minutes - 25 February 2015
ii. SCDD096 - Delegated Determinations
b. Reports - Corporate \& Commercial Services
i. CCS106 - Audit Committee Meeting Minutes - 24 February 2015

## PART B

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Sections 5.13 and 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 RESOLVES to:

1. RECEIVE the following appended reports:
a. Reports - Corporate and Commercial Services;
i. CCS107 - Confidential Report - List of Accounts Paid Under Delegation February 2015

## PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton

## BACKGROUND:

Information and items for noting or receiving (i.e. periodic reports, minutes of other meetings) are to be included in an appendix attached to the Council agenda.

Any reports received under this Agenda are considered received only. Any recommendations or proposals contained within the "Reports (including Minutes) to be Received" are not approved or endorsed by Council in any way. Any outcomes or recommendations requiring Council approval must be
presented separately to Council as a Report for consideration at an Ordinary Meeting of Council.

## COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

Not applicable.

## LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Not applicable.

## COUNCIL DECISION <br> MOVED CR THOMAS, SECONDED CR DETRAFFORD PART A

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 22.(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to

1. RECEIVE the following appended reports:
a. Reports - Sustainable Communities:
i. SC209 - Community Safety Crime Prevention Committee Meeting Minutes - 25 February 2015;
ii. SCDD096 - Delegated Determinations; and
b. Reports - Corporate \& Commercial Services:
i. CCS106 - Audit Committee Meeting Minutes - 24 February 2015.

## PART B

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Sections 5.13 and 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 RESOLVES to:

1. RECEIVE the following appended reports:
a. Reports - Corporate and Commercial Services;
i. CCS107 - Confidential Report - List of Accounts Paid Under Delegation February 2015.

CARRIED 10/0
In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed

## 16 ELECTED MEMBERS MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

```
NOTICE OF MOTION - IMPACTS BY THE NEW LEASE COST RECOVERY
POLICY
```

| AGENDA REFERENCE: | D-15-16920 |
| :--- | :--- |
| AUTHOR: | Cr L Graham |
| DATE OF REPORT: | 24 March 2015 |
| FILE REFERENCE: | GO/6/0008 |
| APPLICANT / PROPONENT: | Council |
| ATTACHMENTS: | No |

## Councillor Comment

The decision of Council to adopt a new policy that provides for recouping of Council expenses for services within leased areas has raised a number of concerns (with the Geraldton Tennis Club being the first one where the policy change will impact in a dramatic way on the Club on the Club finances). The proposal for the Rover Football Club Lease in Tuesday's agenda does not address the issue of any recoup of Council expenses on maintaining the Greenough Oval and that is likely to be the case that the Club does not hold a lease over the oval. I assume it pays a head levy on playing members who use the oval but what percentage of Council's costs does that recoup.

I fully supported \& voted for the new policy but the devil is sometimes in the detail and therefore I would like Council to be made aware by a report to the April round of meetings the impact the new policy has on current Lessee's of Council facilities that have adjoining grassed ovals or land leased or used by the Lessee's (Regardless of whether the adjoining area is within the lease). I am seeking confirmation that the basis of Council recouping costs in the future will be fair and equitable between all Lessee's.

Whilst this matter could be addressed at the April Concept forum (behind closed doors) I wish to see the matter discussed by Council so that Clubs who will be affected by the new policy in the future \& Councillors fully understand the likely future impact of any new leases.

I understand that Cr Douglas is likely to be moving an alternative motion on item Cl 190 as it affects the Geraldton Tennis Club (inc).

## Executive Comment

There seems to be considerable inequity in relation to the assistance the City provides (or doesn't provide) to sporting groups. This is largely a legacy of decisions of previous Councils prior to amalgamation.

The CEO has already asked staff to start prepare a report of the kind requested and is confident that the City can produce this report for the April round of meetings.

## VOTING REQUIREMENTS:

Simple Majority

## COUNCILLOR MOTION:

That Council by Simple Majority RESOLVES to:

1. REQUEST Council staff prepare a report for the April Agenda Forum and Ordinary Meeting of Council that sets out the Leases likely to be impacted by the new lease cost recovery policy in the future for services that have been traditionally met by Council, the likely implementation date of the policy and the budget cost for 2014/15.

## COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR GRAHAM, SECONDED CR DOUGLAS
That Council by Simple Majority RESOLVES to:

1. REQUEST Council staff prepare a report for the April Agenda Forum and Ordinary Meeting of Council that sets out the Leases likely to be impacted by the new lease cost recovery policy in the future for services that have been traditionally met by Council, the likely implementation date of the policy and the budget cost for 2014/15.

CARRIED 10/0

## 17 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN Nil.

18 URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY PRESIDING MEMBER OR BY DECISION OF THE MEETING Nil.

19 CLOSURE
There being no further business the Presiding Member closed the Council meeting at 2.45 pm.

APPENDIX 1 - ATTACHMENTS AND REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED
Attachments and Reports to be Received are available on the City of Greater Geraldton website at: http://www.cgg.wa.gov.au/council-meetings/


[^0]:    Cr Fiorenza returned to Chambers at 2.18pm.

