

# ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL MINUTES

22 OCTOBER 2013

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY	2
2	DECLARATION OF OPENING	2
3	ATTENDANCE	2
4	RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE	3
5	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	3
6	APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE	11
7	PETITIONS, DEPUTATIONS OR PRESENTATIONS	11
8	DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	12
9	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING – CIRCULATED	
10	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)	14
11	SIGNIFICANT STRATEGIC MATTERS	16
	CCS007 LOCAL GOVERNMENT REFORMSC122 FINAL ADOPTION OF THE LOCAL BIODIVERSITY STRATEGY	
12	AUDIT AND RISK MANAGEMENT	31
13	STRATEGIC & POLICY MATTERS	32
14	OPERATIONAL MATTERS	33
	SC121 2013 CHRISTMAS RETAIL TRADING HOURS SC120 REVISED OUTLINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN – ALEXANDER AND MOLONEY STREETS, UTAKARRA	
	CCSO11 THE GERALDTON-GREENOUGH RIFLE CLUB (INC) – REQUEST FOR DONATION TO COVER RATES	
15	REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED	50
16	ELECTED MEMBERS MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS B	
17	QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN	53
18	URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY PRESIDING MEMBER OR BY DECISION THE MEETING	
19	CLOSURE	53
A DD	DENDIY 1 _ ATTACHMENTS AND DEPOPTS TO BE DECEIVED	51

#### CITY OF GREATER GERALDTON

# ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL HELD ON TUESDAY, 22 OCTOBER 2013 AT 5.30PM CHAMBERS, CATHEDRAL AVENUE

# MINUTES

#### DISCLAIMER:

The Chairman advises that the purpose of this Council Meeting is to discuss and, where possible, make resolutions about items appearing on the agenda. Whilst Council has the power to resolve such items and may in fact, appear to have done so at the meeting, no person should rely on or act on the basis of such decision or on any advice or information provided by a Member or Officer, or on the content of any discussion occurring, during the course of the meeting. Persons should be aware that the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995 (Section 5.25(e)) and Council's Standing Orders Local Laws establish procedures for revocation or recision of a Council decision. No person should rely on the decisions made by Council until formal advice of the Council decision is received by that person. The City of Greater Geraldton expressly disclaims liability for any loss or damage suffered by any person as a result of relying on or acting on the basis of any resolution of Council, or any advice or information provided by a Member or Officer, or the content of any discussion occurring, during the course of the Council meeting.

#### 1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

The Mayor acknowledge the traditional owners of the land on which we meet, and pay respect to the Elders and to knowledge embedded forever within the Aboriginal Custodianship of Country.

#### 2 DECLARATION OF OPENING

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 5.30pm.

#### 3 ATTENDANCE

#### Present:

Mayor I Carpenter

Cr D Brick

Cr D J Caudwell

Cr J Clune

Cr J Critch

Cr R deTrafford

Cr S Douglas

Cr P Fiorenza

Cr L Graham

Cr R D Hall

Cr S Keemink

Cr V Tanti

Cr T Thomas

#### Officers:

K Diehm, Chief Executive Officer

P Melling, Director of Sustainable Communities

B Davis, Director of Corporate and Commercial Enterprises

N Arbuthnot, Director of Community Infrastructure S Moulds, PA to the Chief Executive Officer A Seaman, Executive Support Secretary

#### Others:

Members of Public: 13 Members of Press: 1

### Apologies:

Cr S Van Styn

A Selvey, Director of Creative Communities

#### Leave of Absence:

Cr N McIlwaine

# 4 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil.

#### 5 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Questions provided in writing prior to the meeting or at the meeting will receive a formal response. Please note that you cannot make statements in Public Question Time and such statements will not be recorded in the Minutes.

Our Local Laws and the Local Government Act require questions to be put to the presiding member and answered by the Council. No questions can be put to individual Councillors.

# Ms Anne Smith (e-mail address supplied) Item SC121 2013 Christmas Retail Trading Hours

#### Question

Could council advise what is the final date to make submission for extended 2013 Christmas trading hours to the Minister for Commerce?

#### Response

The final date for the City's submission for the extended 2013 Christmas trading hours to the Department of Commerce would be on the 15 November 2013. Should Council resolve to lodge an application at the Council meeting today the City intends to finalise and submit to the department within 48 hours (2 days).

#### Question

Could the Councillors advise their intent to make application to the Minister of Commerce for Extended Christmas Trading Hours?

#### Response

The Executive recommendation is for the City to lodge an application to the Minister for Commerce via the Department of Commerce, however the decision to/ or not to lodge an application rests with full Council.

#### Question

Will councillors please consider the following motion number 5 as being the most viable option for 2013?

#### Response

It is noted for Councillors and community reference that the only difference between the Executive Recommendation and the Option 5 (as proposed by Centro Stirlings) is on Boxing Day, the 26 December 2013.

The MWCCI has surveyed their members and their survey result indicated that there is insufficient support for the Chamber to endorse the extended 2013 Christmas trading hours for 26 December 2013 (Boxing Day Public Holiday).

### Wayne Brown, 9 Starboard Way, Drummond Cove WA 6531

#### Question

Why is there such a large proportion of vacant residential land in the CGG?

### Response

The amount of vacant lots is driven by market forces and there are many reasons why a lot has remained vacant, some lots are owned by investors others can be people saving to build a home.

#### Question

Why are build time limits not imposed to encourage urban infill and deter investor land banking?

#### Response

Subdividers of land are reluctant to impose time limitations on buildings and again this is a market driven aspect to land development.

### Question

What are the staff and resources required to police the thousands of vacant blocks in the City every year and what is the final financial cost to ratepayers?

#### Response

This question will be taken on notice.

#### Question

Considering landowners are clearly continuing to not meet their obligations, as the relevant authority what plan does Council have to

mitigate this, at best, very unattractive, at worst, potentially lethal fire threat?

#### Response

The City utilises a number of strategies and measures to ensure compliance with Bush Fire Act requirements. The City has a zero tolerance approach on those that do not comply and enforcement including infringements and work orders are utilised.

### Sean Hickey, PO Box 2966, Geraldton WA 6530

Mr Hickey asked the following questions which were taken on notice. The answers are supplied as follows:

#### Question

Does Council propose to save the water tap at 'water's edge', St Georges Beach?

#### Response

The tap will be relocated and the works have been programmed into the operational works schedule

#### Question

Will the tap and the play equipment and lawn be protected with a rock barrier wall? Indeed you might well ask why do we have a road and a car park between parkland and a narrow strip of beach – with no dune left?

#### Response

No. The car park provides a means by which community members and visitors can attend and enjoy the beach and parkland at St Georges Beach.

#### Question

Will the walls be similar to that amassed along over a kilometre of Chapman Road foreshore, including the Dean Street location where our press report of a palm tree is receiving the utmost attention to it's preservation?

#### Response

See response above.

#### Question

Does the car park/hardstand viewing platform on top of the sand dune at ocean's edge at Separation Point also fall into the must retain at any cost category? Why? There are many timber lookout decks successfully established at many WA and other locations. The dune by its very nature is unstable as is the beach at its base.

#### Response

The vistas enjoyed at Separation Point represent an important community value. Set-back of the lookout at Separation Point is the most appropriate action to be undertaken at this location.

#### Question

The locations of Gray's Beach, Champion Bay and now Drummonds all have rock piles as protection. All of these piles apparently to protect 'ill placed infrastructure'. A basketball court on a dune/beach, why?

#### Response

A review of coastal management options for Greys Beach to Separation Point sector will be initiated in the current financial year. The Beresford Foreshore (aka Champion Bay) is home to vital community infrastructure as a key arterial vehicle corridor, key service and utility infrastructure and an important community connectivity pathway. Due consideration should therefore be given to the management option likely to succeed in protecting these assets. The facilities at Drummond Cove are valued by the community. Due consideration will be given to the long term provision of a community hall and associated facilities in and around this location.

#### Question

Does Council have a policy that 'protection' of built infrastructure, ill placed or not is more valuable than retaining a beach? Where do you draw the line?

#### Response

No, the City has worked with the various State Agencies that have responsibility/oversight for the coastline and solutions to issues vary from managed retreat through to some form of physical protection. The decision to put in place some form of physical protection is undertaken in consultation with those agencies and depends on the circumstances in each particular coastal location and the various coastal studies and recommendations thereto.

#### Question

Surely Council should have a policy that protects its wonderful coastal assets for future generations. Many claims are made about planning for the future, 2020 and Beyond. How much beach are you planning for?

#### Response

The City is committed to managing its coastal reserves that provide beach areas of value to the community now and in the future.

#### Question

What sort of ethics and values do we have? Are the material world values better than the natural ones? We can't all share the material assets of the place but we can certainly share nature.

#### Response

The City appreciates the thoughts and point of view on this matter. There is always a need to balance public risk with the built and natural environment. There is no standard answer to the issues raised.

### Question

With the increased erosion – go north of Dean Street to and including St Georges – and look along the scoured out beach north of Mitchell and Brown. Surely you can see the erosion from rock recharge and northerly sea movements scouring process. Or have you as a new Council inherited a wonderful plan to spend millions and achieve? What? The Council of Australian Coastal Engineers advises prudent evaluative, long term planning not the kneejerk mammoth environmental wrecking plan that Council have adopted under the guise of added new parkland, fishing locations, bbq's and more.

### Response

The City has produced a concept design for the protection of the Beresford Foreshore, and will be developing the design in collaboration with other stakeholders in the project (Department of Transport, Geraldton Port Authority). The City is following a prudent evaluative approach which started in 2008 with the establishment of the Coastal Vulnerability and Risk Assessment Programme.

#### Question

Where is all the land-based parkland anyway? Sold it or plans haven't included suitable places? Really?

#### Response

There are over 100 park and public open space areas in Greater Geraldton

#### Question

How closely does Council monitor the coast? Over the last 12 months it has been inferred here in the Council room or Greenough offices that all boxes have been ticked, that there is no concern about insurance issues relating to climate change, that the back beach area is acreating and no concerns for a pattern of rising sea levels, also that this beach is going against the trend.

#### Response

City officers monitor the Geraldton coastline on a regular basis. The City also supports the Geraldton Beach Monitoring Programme, the most recent photos for Back Beach showing no erosion.

The coast is dynamic and there are areas where erosion and accretion occurs irrespective of climate change considerations. The City works with the respective agencies in relation to monitoring and trends along the coast as it is not just Greater Geraldton that experiences coastal changes.

#### Question

Well the last few weeks have put that to bed. In some locations 3 meter sheer fall was commonplace, with ten plus meters lost. Of course the same cycle of erosion took out more of the loan Separation Point sand dune causing a further collapse of the hardstand some 4 metres above.

#### Response

The beach to which Mr Hickey refers is Tarcoola Beach, not Back Beach. The photo provided by Mr Hickey shows the effects of seasonal accretion and erosion in this location (accretion – buried beach shelter, erosion – erosion of accreted sand). Over the course of the year this beach is classified as stable.

#### Question

What is the point of developing compressed seaweed tracks to the beach that erode, leaving a shear fall of over 3 metres? This was certainly a potential accident in the making. A natural dune would have caused little issue as it easily collapses under foot.

#### Response

The beach access-ways are there to facilitate access to the beach to as many community members as possible. Seasonal erosion events occur as part of natural coastal processes and affect beach access ways irrespective of whether they are sand-based, or seaweed stabilised.

#### Question

Why aren't some of these coastal management assessed for their worth? The off road enthusiasts love the tracks they make exits and entry's so easy. Another lot of rate money gone wanting?

#### Response

Many older or less-abled people value the stabilised beach accessways the City provide. The community value that this provides must be assessed against the resources required to provide it. The issues of inappropriate off-road vehicle use is being addressed in the amalgamation of local laws. Further information about this process can be obtained by contacting the City's Manager Community Law and Safety team.

#### Question

Why are the rates wasted this way? It is not uncommon to see excavators and loaders digging out north pipe spending hours only to be seen returning day after day to reopen the channel silted up by the in-coming tide.

#### Response

The City balances the needs of the community against the resources required to provide it. Extending north pipe is included for consideration in the City's 10 year capital plan.

#### Question

Likewise why is it necessary to grade away the dune base as it forms in front of the surf club? Is this part of an agreement the council has with the club? At a meeting with lots of Council staff it was resolved that the Surf Club could have flat dunes so they could grow lawn and have views of the ocean. Does council still support these type of requests?

#### Response

The City has established sand trapping fences at the dune base and is revegetating the dune front. The City has an agreement with the club to provide surf life-saving services during the summer season. The City supported the Back Beach consultation exercise where a list of functional criteria for the precinct identity and enhancement were democratically voted on by community members. One such functional criteria was for views for surf life-saving activities from the surf club tower to be retained. No agreement on growing lawns in the dunes or providing purely aesthetic views was proposed.

# Mr Thompson, (address not provided) questions were not supplied in writing, a summary of the questions are as follows:

#### Question

I asked at a Council meeting previously for the commission of an independent productivity audit of the City. Is this going to take place?

#### Response

The CEO advised that the City is currently undergoing a change program (#changesCGG) that includes a review of the 10 year Capital Works plan the range and level of services provided by the City. This review will include wide spread community participation and engagement. The CEO advised that there is also an internal review of the organisations effectiveness over 19 business drivers that will identify areas where change is required. He further advised that #changesCGG was launched to the public last week and will address the concerns raised by Mr Thompson.

#### Question

Mr Thomspon circulated two tables highlighting his comparisons on rates collected in the City projected out over 10 years based on rates increases of 5.2% over a 10 year period would equal to \$73.48 million more in rates collected versus the amount that would be collected if the "state average" of 5% was used. Mr Thompson asked whether

the Council was aware of this cumulative impact of rate increases as provided in his handout?

#### Response

The CEO said he could not respond to Mr. Thompsons question as he had only just received the figures and has not had time to verify its source or accuracy, and accordingly would take the question on notice.

#### Question

With regard to the article in the Geraldton Guardian on Town Towers and the state of the West End in the CBD, \$20 million was spent in the region when out of town investors brought up all of the properties in the West End. Why has the development of this stalled? Mr Thompson advised that he had heard that the City had placed conditions on development along with expensive restoration of the former radio theatre (and its use then being restricted community use) which had caused the development not to proceed, was this true?

#### Response

The CEO advised that the state of the West End and CBD is an important issue for the City, and that a meeting has been organised with City Centre landowners, investors, and developers to help identify barriers to the revitalisation of the City Centre and to then work through with them possible solutions to bring about positive enhancement of the area.

Mr Melling further advised that Council had in fact adopted Design Guidelines for the West End project, put together by the proponents and had relaxed a number of requirements to facilitate redevelopment. All that has subsequently occurred has been the amalgamation and re-subdivision of lots, The City never actually received a development application for any new development so therefore never had any opportunity to place conditions on any approval. Mr Melling also advised that the former Radio Theatre is in fact on the State Heritage Register and any redevelopment/ refurbishment of that building requires State Heritage approval. The City did consider options for the former Radio Theatre including community use but via acquisition of the site/ buildings by the City but this did not progress beyond feasibility stage.

#### 6 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

#### **Existing Approved Leave**

Councillor	From	To (inclusive)
Cr Neil McIlwaine	17 October 2013	25 November 2013

#### **COUNCIL DECISION**

#### MOVED CR HALL, SECONDED CR THOMAS

Cr B Hall request for leave of absence for the period 18 November 2013 to 1 December 2013 and for the period 13 December 2013 to 20 January 2014 be approved.

Cr D Brick request for leave of absence for the period 23 October 2013 to 27 October 2013 be approved.

Mayor I Carpenter request for leave of absence for the period 26 December 2013 to 12 January 2014 be approved.

Cr J Critch request for leave of absence for the 10 December 2013 be approved.

# **CARRIED 13/0**

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Clune	YES
Cr. Critch	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES
Cr. Thomas	YES
Cr. Tanti	YES
Cr. Hall	YES
Cr. McIlwaine	N/V
Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. deTrafford	YES
Cr. Van Styn	N/V

# 7 PETITIONS, DEPUTATIONS OR PRESENTATIONS

The Mayor extended his warm welcome to Mr Richie Maslen, a Freeman of the Shire of Greenough and now by virtue of the amalgamation, now of the City of Greater Geraldton.

The Mayor had the pleasant task of making another special Presentation to Mr Maslen, just a short time ago he presented an over 50 years'

service medal to Richie for his service to the bush Fire Brigade Movement.

This Medal of Merit has been conferred by Resolution of the Executive Bureau of UCLG ASPAC on Mr Richard (Rich) Maslen in recognition of his leadership in International Local Government. This award was instituted to commemorate the 100<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the establishment of the first international democratic Local Government Association in 1913.

Mr Maslen was the Vice President of the International Union of Local Authorities Asia Pacific Region (IULA-ASPAC) between 1989-1993.

Mr Peter Woods, OAM, Ambassador United Cities and Local Governments Asia Pacific, sends his congratulations to Rich Maslen for his great contribution to world Local Government and as a representative from Australia and through his experience in WA.

Mr Maslen thanked the Mayor and Councillors for the award.

# 8 DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST Nil.

# 9 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING – as circulated

RECOMMENDED that the minutes of the ordinary meeting of Council of the City of Greater Geraldton held on 24 September 2013 and that the minutes of the special meeting of Council held on the 15 October 2013 as previously circulated, be adopted as a true and correct record of proceedings.

#### **COUNCIL DECISION**

#### MOVED CR HALL, SECONDED CR DETRAFFORD

RECOMMENDED that the minutes of the ordinary meeting of Council of the City of Greater Geraldton held on 24 September 2013 and that the minutes of the special meeting of Council held on the 15 October 2013 as previously circulated, be adopted as a true and correct record of proceedings.

#### **CARRIED 13/0**

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Clune	YES
Cr. Critch	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES
Cr. Thomas	YES
Cr. Tanti	YES

Cr. Hall	YES
Cr. McIlwaine	N/V
Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. deTrafford	YES
Cr. Van Styn	N/V

# **ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)** *Events attended by the Mayor or his representative* 10

DATE	FUNCTION	REPRESENTATIVE
25/09/2013	CEO Performance Review Committee Meeting	Mayor Ian Carpenter
25/09/2013	WA Museum Geraldton Community Advisory Committee Meeting	Mayor Ian Carpenter
25/09/2013	Welcome Reception – The Consul- General of Japan, Mr Koichi Funayama and Mrs Miho Funayama	Chris Gabelish
26/09/2013	Mid-West Investment Plan (MWIP) Meeting	Mayor Ian Carpenter
26/09/2013	Regular Mayor / CEO Discussion	Mayor Ian Carpenter
26/09/2013	Tourism & Mid-West Development Commission (MWDC) Blueprint Meeting	Mayor Ian Carpenter
27/09/2013	Mumbida Wind Farm Official Opening	Mayor Ian Carpenter
27/09/2013	Welcome Australasian Safari Competitors	Mayor Ian Carpenter
27/09/2013	Midnight Basketball Grand Final	Mayor lan Carpenter
28/09/2013	Welcome Noeline Brown, Ambassador for Ageing visit to Geraldton	Mayor Ian Carpenter
29/09/2013	Greenough Museum Open Day and Garden Naming Ceremony	Mayor Ian Carpenter
1/10/2013	Regional Capitals Australia - Teleconference	Mayor Ian Carpenter
1/10/2013	Citizenship Ceremony	Mayor Ian Carpenter
1/10/2013	Concept Forum 2013	Mayor Ian Carpenter
2/10/2013	Rotary Address	Mayor Ian Carpenter
4/10/2013	Aquarena Renewal Tour	Mayor Ian Carpenter
4/10/2013	711 Squadron 20th Anniversary Dining In Night	Mayor Ian Carpenter
4/10/2013	Regular Mayor / CEO Discussion	Mayor Ian Carpenter
5/10/2013	Freedom of Entry parade - 711 Squadron AAFC	Mayor Ian Carpenter
5/10/2013	Official Opening of the 2013 Geraldton Greenough Sunshine Festival	Mayor Ian Carpenter
7/10/2013	Regular Mayor / CEO Discussion	Mayor Ian Carpenter
7/10/2013	Vic Bowers - Batavia Coast Car Club Meeting	Mayor Ian Carpenter
7/10/2013	Regular meeting with Mr Ian Blayney MLA	Mayor Ian Carpenter
7/10/2013	Eastern Breakwater Visit	Mayor Ian Carpenter
8/10/2013	Public Launch of #changesCGG	Mayor Ian Carpenter

14

		1
9/10/2013	CEO Meeting Regarding Old  Museum Building	Mayor Ian Carpenter
9/10/2013	Meeting with Anthony QUAHE	Mayor Ian Carpenter
10/10/2013	Rates Incentive Prize Presentation	Mayor Ian Carpenter
11/10/2013	Regional Capitals Australia Teleconference Board Meeting 2013	Mayor Ian Carpenter
11/10/2013	Meeting with David l'Anson - Geraldton Volunteer Tour Guides	Mayor Ian Carpenter
11/10/2013	Presidential Dinner – Shire of Chapman Valley President Cr John Collingwood	Mayor Ian Carpenter
14/10/2013	Regular Mayor / CEO Discussion	Mayor Ian Carpenter
15/10/2013	Agenda Forum 2013	Mayor Ian Carpenter
15/10/2013	Special meeting of Council	Mayor Ian Carpenter
15/10/2013	Breast Cancer Morning Tea	Mayor Ian Carpenter
17/10/2013	Willoughby City Council / City of Greater Geraldton Meeting	Mayor Ian Carpenter
17/10/2013 - 18/10/13	Regional Capitals Alliance Meeting - Melbourne	Mayor Ian Carpenter
17/10/2013	Geraldton Airport Hotel & Batavia Coast Marina stage 2	Mayor Ian Carpenter
18/10/2013	Regional Achievement and Community Awards Gala Presentation	Cr Chris Gabelish
19/10/2013	Master Builders Association Geraldton / Midwest Region - Housing Excellence Awards 2013	Mayor Ian Carpenter
21/10/2013	Special Meeting of Council to swear in new Councillors and Election of Deputy Mayor	Mayor Ian Carpenter
22/10/2013	Ordinary Meeting of Council	Mayor Ian Carpenter

#### 11 SIGNIFICANT STRATEGIC MATTERS

CCS007 LOCAL GOVERNMENT REFORM

AGENDA REFERENCE: D-13-68482

AUTHOR: T Mbirimi, Manager Governance, Risk &

Strategy

EXECUTIVE: B Davis, Director Corporate &

**Commercial Services** 

DATE OF REPORT: 4 October 2013 FILE REFERENCE: GO/6/0006

**APPLICANT / PROPONENT:** Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale

ATTACHMENTS: Yes (x1)

#### SUMMARY:

The City of Greater Geraldton has received a request for support from the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale to publicly object to the State Government's plans to seek a number of amendments to the Local Government Act 1995 as part of the reform program.

#### **PROPONENT:**

The proponent is the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

The State Government has announced plans for widespread changes to local government arrangements in Metropolitan Perth. The plans, which include reducing the number of local governments from 30 to 14 from 1 July 2015, are aimed at building a stronger, more effective and financially secure Local Government sector.

The State Government proposes to seek a number of amendments to the Local Government Act 1995 to facilitate the implementation of the proposed model for metropolitan local government reform.

The proposed changes include amendments to the current poll provisions, expanding the membership of the Local Government Advisory Board and clarifying other parts of the legislation.

#### **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION:**

There has been no community consultation on this matter.

### **COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:**

There has been no Councillor consultation on this matter.

#### STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS:

There are no statutory implications.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

There are no policy implications.

#### FINANCIAL AND BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

There are no financial and budget implications.

#### STRATEGIC & REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

# **Strategic Community Plan Outcomes:**

Goal 5: Governance

Outcome 5.2: Planning and Policy

Strategy 5.2.6: Supporting decisions to create a long term

sustainable city

## **Regional Outcomes:**

There are no regional outcomes.

### **ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:**

#### **Economic:**

There are no economic issues associated with this matter.

#### Social:

There are no social issues associated with this matter.

#### **Environmental:**

There are no environmental issues associated with this matter.

### **Cultural & Heritage:**

There are no cultural & heritage issues associated with this matter.

#### **RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:**

There are no relevant precedents.

#### **DELEGATED AUTHORITY:**

There is no delegated authority.

#### **VOTING REQUIREMENTS:**

Simple Majority is required.

#### **OPTIONS:**

#### Option 1:

As per Executive Recommendation in this report.

Option 2:

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 5.20 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

- 1. DEFER considering the request for support received from the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale; and
- 2. MAKES the determination based on the following reason:
  - a. to be determined by Council.

#### Option 3:

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 5.20 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

- 1. NOT provide support to the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale; and
- 2. MAKES the determination based on the following reason:
  - a. to be determined by Council.

#### **CONCLUSION:**

The letter attached is a request from the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale for support to publicly object to the State Government's plans to seek a number of amendments to the Local Government Act 1995 as part of the reform program. This is a matter for Councils' consideration.

#### **EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:**

A matter for Councils' consideration.

#### **COUNCIL DECISION**

MOVED CR CLUNE, SECONDED CR HALL

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 5.20 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

- 1. NOT provide support to the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale; and
- 2. MAKES the determination based on the following reason:
  - a. that WALGA is the appropriate body to determine our position.

#### CARRIED 13/0

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Clune	YES
Cr. Critch	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES
Cr. Thomas	YES
Cr. Tanti	YES
Cr. Hall	YES
Cr. McIlwaine	N/V

Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. deTrafford	YES
Cr. Van Styn	N/V

SC122 FINAL ADOPTION OF THE LOCAL BIODIVERSITY STRATEGY

AGENDA REFERENCE: D-13-62854

AUTHOR: R Nicholas, Senior Environmental

Sustainability Officer/M Connell, Manager

**Urban & Regional Development** 

**EXECUTIVE:** P Melling, Director Sustainable

**Communities** 

DATE OF REPORT: 23 September 2013

FILE REFERENCE: LP/9/0024

APPLICANT / PROPONENT: City of Greater Geraldton

ATTACHMENTS: Yes (x3)

#### SUMMARY:

The advertising period has concluded for the 'Local Biodiversity Strategy' (LBS) and this report recommends final approval of the LBS (subject to minor modifications).

#### **PROPONENT:**

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

In November 2009 the City met with representatives from the Department of Planning and Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) to initiate scoping of the LBS.

The LBS has been prepared by the Perth Biodiversity project with the assistance of consultants Ecological Australia Pty Ltd and We Are Arising. Additional support has come from the City, the Shire of Chapman Valley and the State NRM program.

The LBS has been overseen by a Steering Committee comprising representatives from:

- City of Greater Geraldton;
- Shire of Chapman Valley;
- Department of Planning;
- Department of Environment and Conservation; and
- Perth Biodiversity Group/Western Australian Local Government Association.

Geographically, the LBS covers 32,410 ha including the coastal portion of the City of Greater Geraldton, the Shire of Chapman Valley and small portion of the Shire of Northampton. The area is approximately bounded by Coronation Beach Road to the north, Devlin Pool Road to the south and Moresby Range to the east.

The Geraldton area is included in one of only 34 global biodiversity hotspots, being both very high biodiversity value, yet also under significant threat. The

City of Greater Geraldton and the Shire of Chapman Valley's policies and strategies show a commitment to environmental values, and to halting and reversing the observed trends towards collapse of local ecosystems. Recent surveys and forums have also shown community support for strong commitments to conservation to preserve social, economic, cultural and intrinsic values and ecosystem services provided by natural areas.

Only 6,041 ha of vegetation remains in the LBS study area, representing only 18% of pre-European extent of native vegetation, and well under the 30% threshold recognised at which species loss appears to accelerate exponentially at an ecosystem level. More than 30% of that remaining is land identified for future development and an additional 20% is land where potential future development could result in further vegetation clearing. Less than 1.8% of the original extent of vegetation in the study area has some level of protection.

Achieving the Goals of the LBS will require stronger action from government in policy, planning and compliance, and business in natural area assessment, urban design, and use of offsets. Actions must also provide stronger support for:

- local community groups working on the coast, in the Moresby Range and in the Chapman River;
- planners working to implement innovative policies in areas such as Waggrakine; and
- private landholders wanting to conserve their bushland.

The LBS takes all these trends and aspirations into account and, using detailed spatial and policy analysis, suggests a prioritised list of natural areas for conservation action.

On 28 August 2012, Council resolved to:

- 1. ADOPT the draft 'Local Biodiversity Strategy' for the purpose of seeking public comment;
- ADVERTISE the draft strategy for a period of 42 days;
- 3. ADOPT for final approval the 'Local Biodiversity Strategy' should no objections be received during the advertising period; and
- 4. REQUIRE a further report to Council should there be any objections received during the advertising period.

Objections were received during the advertising period and hence this report is presented to Council. Extracts from the LBS are included as Attachment No. SC122A and a full copy of the LBS is available to Council upon request.

#### **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION:**

The LBS has been formulated within a Stakeholder Engagement Plan designed by the City to identify, educate, and involve stakeholders in the biodiversity planning process through 3 phases.

1) Collating Survey Results: The LBS Steering Group conducted a review of previous community consultation and surveys, (e.g. NACC Coastal Communities Study, 2029 and Beyond Deliberative Survey, existing management plans etc.), and conducted a new survey of community values, issues and visions for biodiversity.

25 responses were received through the online and hard-copy forms, and these responses directly informed the content of the LBS, as well as guided the structure and focus of the subsequent workshops. One aim of the community consultation was to identify meaningful and practical actions that could be implemented as 'early wins'.

- 2) <u>Information Session and Background Briefing:</u> In May 2010, a LBS information session involved:
  - introducing the timeline and process for strategy development;
  - feeding back values, visions, and concerns identified from previous surveys; and
  - identifying additional information required for meaningful stakeholder participation.

Attendees were also involved in small group conversations on the same topics as the survey: values, issues, solutions and useful additional information.

3) Setting goals and prioritising actions: In July 2010, more than 30 people attended a 'goal-setting' and 'action-planning' workshop in Geraldton. Participants were presented with a background briefing and engaged in discussions about goals for biodiversity conservation. Steering group members facilitated small group conversations to develop goals for the strategy, and brainstorm actions to achieve those goals. The end result was a set of goals and list of prioritised actions.

The advertising period was originally for 42 days, however taking into consideration that the advertising period fell over the festive season, the City extended the advertising period to 66 days. Further to this, submissions were received and accepted up until 19 February 2013. Therefore, the advertising period was for 85 days (2 months and 25 days) and involved the following:

- A notice appeared in the Midwest Times on Thursday,
   29 November 2012 advertising the public comment period;
- 2. The LBS was publicly displayed at the Civic Centre;
- 3. A brochure was compiled in November 2012 and distributed widely throughout the community. The brochure provided an explanation of the LBS and seeks to answer commonly asked questions about the planning process (and included as Attachment No. SC122B);
- 4. A community survey was conducted online and in hard copy;

- 5. Project updates were emailed out to over 173 community members who had registered an interest in the project;
- 6. Throughout the above, a public consultation page remained available on the City's website. It contained information on upcoming consultation events, a section for community members to lodge new comments and view past results from community consultations on the LBS;
- 7. The LBS was available on the City's website; and
- 8. The LBS was referred to the following:
  - Department of Regional Development and Lands;
  - Department of Agriculture and Food;
  - Department of Environment and Conservation;
  - Department of Planning;
  - DFES (Previously FESA);
  - NACC;
  - Western Power;
  - Yamatji Land and Sea Council;
  - Shire of Irwin;
  - Shire of Northampton;
  - Department of Water;
  - Department of Indigenous Affairs;
  - Progress Associations:
  - All major land developers and planning consultancies; and
  - Mr Ian Blayney MLA.

The City of Greater Geraldton recently received a Biodiversity Milestone Award from WALGA's Local Biodiversity Program for preparing and endorsing for public consultation a draft LBS.

#### **Submissions:**

As a result of the advertising, a total of 11 submissions were received with only 1 submission formally objecting to the LBS. Listed below is a summation of the comments/concerns raised from the public comment period:

- Private landholders/developers concerned that the burden of active management for conservation should not be placed solely on the landholder/developer to implement the recommendations but rather implementation should be collaborative between the City and other agencies.
- Retention of native vegetation should be shared amongst landholdings in Areas of Conservation Value.
- Lack of consideration for the financial ramifications of the LBS (devaluation of land, loss of land use, and cost of managing biodiversity assets) on private landholders.
- Compensation for private landholders.
- All stock should be removed from denigrated rural residential land and the land be regenerated, rather than expecting a small portion of responsible landowners to carry the burden of conservation.

- Support the initiatives to protect and retain natural areas wherever possible, but where it does not impact negatively on the existing development potential of an area.
- Concern for the management of ACV on private land. Who will determine which ACV will be managed? What will the management actions be? Will the City provide assistance or compensation for managing the ACV?
- Questioned the City's capacity to implement the LBS.
- Supported the regeneration of degraded land to achieve biodiversity targets.
- Concern of the increase in fuel load and fire risk in achieving the biodiversity conservation targets.
- Why the City has authority to determine biodiversity on private land and placing extra burden on rate payers when state government already has control of native vegetation on private land.
- Steering Committee had no private landholder representation and no formal invitation was offered to private landholders.
- The concept of the LBS for Geraldton is supported, particularly if the strategy allows more consistency and clarity on the expectation of private developers on biodiversity management and retention through the planning process.
- Assumptions have been made on the basis of regional information to determine and prioritise conservation significance and should not replace site specific assessments.
- Concern of the prioritisation methodology used to identify and rank Areas of Conservation Value within the project area.
- Opportunities for retention and management of high value natural areas within the development should be encouraged; however these opportunities should be assessed on a site by site basis.
- Further analysis of the Areas of Conservation Value should be undertaken to take into account the actual viability of ecological linkages, and the current and future zonings of landholdings.
- The LBS should be amended to clearly state and imply that in respect to land use planning for areas of potential conservation on private landholdings, that the LBS is only a high level guidance document, and site specific surveys and information will be the primary source of decision making for those landholdings subject to development projects.
- Definition and function of ecological linkages.
- The City provided the full added cost to ratepayers of this project to all Geraldton ratepayers formally.
- The LBS focuses on plant communities, excludes animals and makes no reference to micro-organisms.

A 'Schedule of Submissions' is included as Attachment No. SC122C and copies of the actual submissions are available to Council upon request.

In addition to the above, six (6) submissions were received by the Shire of Chapman Valley specific to land parcels in that local authority, with 2 specifically objecting to the LBS.

#### **COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:**

Councillor Des Brick was appointed as the Biodiversity Champion at the Council Meeting held on the 25 May 2010 and Councillors Tarleah Thomas, Jerry Clune and Ilse Middleton are the NRM Champions.

#### STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS:

It is proposed that the LBS be endorsed as a local planning policy under Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton) and Local Planning Scheme No. 5 (Greenough).

It is expected that the LBS will inform the future Local Planning Strategy which will then be endorsed by the WA Planning Commission.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

A Local Planning Policy is not part of the Scheme and does not bind the local government in respect of any application for planning approval but the local government is to have due regard to the provisions of the Policy and the objectives which the Policy is designed to achieve before making its determination.

#### FINANCIAL AND BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

The LBS has a series of recommendations only which are not presently costed or included in the current budget. Should Council determine to implement any of the recommendations the financial and budget implications will be detailed at that time.

It should be noted that adoption of the LBS does not commit Council to any of the recommendations but does allow for the recommendations to be funded out of the annual budgeting process.

#### STRATEGIC & REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

#### **Strategic Community Plan Outcomes:**

Goal 2: Environment – A sustainable built form and natural

environment

Outcome 2.1: Revegetation-Rehabilitation-Preservation

Strategy 2.1.3: Preserving, rehabilitating and enhancing natural

flora and fauna corridors

Goal 5: Governance – Inclusive civic and community

engagement and leadership

Outcome 5.2: Planning and Policy

Strategy 5.2.1: Responding to community aspirations by providing

creative yet effective planning and zoning for future

development

#### **Regional Outcomes:**

The Geraldton Regional Flora and Vegetation Survey:

The Geraldton Regional Flora and Vegetation Survey was recently completed and adopted by the EPA. This LBS is a follow-on project to implement some recommendations of the survey. Further, a conservation plan for the region is being funded by the state and will link with the LBS.

## **Local Rural Strategy:**

This strategy guides future land use and subdivision decisions for rural areas. It includes objectives to retain native vegetation, create vegetation corridors as well as specific management directives for vegetation management.

#### **Local Planning Strategy:**

The purpose of this document is to identify the likely land uses that will be established and indicate the preferred location for these land uses. The LBS includes the Greenough and Chapman River foreshores into areas with significant environmental value. The promotion of foreshore reserves, foreshore management plans, and revegetation along these rivers will provide a basis for linking other environmentally significant areas to these rivers. The LBS states that foreshore reserves, foreshore management plans and/or revegetation along these rivers are required as conditions of subdivision to create lots for more intensive use and, where possible, efforts should be made to provide links between these river corridors and other environmentally significant areas (e.g. Moresby Range).

### Geraldton Region Plan and Greater Geraldton Structure Plan:

This plan seeks to provide a framework for the future management, protection and coordination of regional planning in the region. The Region Plan incorporates a structure plan for the Greater Geraldton area that recommends inclusion of ecologically significant areas in the conservation estate, protection of areas of agricultural significance and the establishment of landscape committees to protect areas of landscape value.

#### **ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:**

Through the 2029 and Beyond process the residents of the City have identified a range of economic, social, environmental and cultural characteristics of the Greater Geraldton area which make it attractive. The draft LBS has been prepared, cognisant of these.

### **Economic:**

The economic impacts of the LBS may include providing more certainty for business and investors through consistent policies regarding land use and biodiversity conservation.

#### Social:

Refer to Goal 4 in the 'Environmental' section of this report below.

#### **Environmental:**

Goals for local biodiversity conservation were decided through careful consideration of the biodiversity assets, their regional and local significance within the context of opportunities, constraints and community aspirations.

5 goals were identified, each focusing on retention, protection, management, engagement and regeneration. The 5 Goals are:

### Goal 1: Retention - Retain Natural Areas:

Given current constraints to natural area retention, this goal translates into 10% of the original extent of native vegetation in Geraldton, as a minimum:

- Retention of at least 3,334 ha of the remaining 6,041 ha of natural areas remaining; and
- Requirement to offset and revegetate as compensation where losses are unavoidable.

# <u>Goal 2: Protection – Protect Natural Areas and Specific Biodiversity</u> <u>Features:</u>

This goal translates into:

- Protection of at least 5% of the original extent of natural areas;
- Protection of an additional 1058 ha of areas of conservation value; and
- Protection of Threatened Ecological Communities, Declared Rare Flora, Priority flora and fauna, and riparian and coastal vegetation.

# <u>Goal 3: Management – Manage Protected Natural Areas for Conservation:</u> This goal translates into:

- Active management of 100% of Local Government natural areas of conservation value;
- Active management of 50% of all other retained natural areas (1,659 ha), through provision of incentives for private land conservation and restoration; and
- Valuation of biodiversity assets and implementation of asset management plans.

# Goal 4: Engagement – Increased Community Contributions to Biodiversity Conservation:

This goal translates into:

- Observable change in public and institutional language, values and priorities;
- Measured decrease in behaviors identified as threats;
- Measured increase in the time, money or resources contributed to biodiversity conservation;
- Observable increase in the biodiversity 'proofing' of policies; and
- Increase in evidence that the community are 'acting as stewards for the environment'.

# Goal 5: Regeneration - Ensure the Rate of Regeneration Exceeds the Rate of Degradation:

This goal translates into:

- Restoration of more than 1,500 ha of natural areas in the City;
- Measurable improvement in connectivity between natural areas and along ecological linkages; and
- Use of local offsets to over-compensate any future clearing of native vegetation.

#### **Cultural & Heritage:**

Natural areas are of cultural heritage value.

#### **RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:**

The author is not aware of any relevant precedent set by previous Council or Executive.

#### **DELEGATED AUTHORITY:**

There is no delegated authority.

#### **VOTING REQUIREMENTS:**

Simple Majority required.

#### **OPTIONS:**

#### Option 1:

As per Executive Recommendation in this report.

#### Option 2:

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to clause 2.2 of both Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton and Local Planning Scheme No. 5 (Greenough) RESOLVES to:

- REFUSE to adopt for final approval the 'Local Biodiversity Strategy'; and
- 2. MAKES the determination on the grounds that:
  - a. To be determined by Councillors.

# Option 3:

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to clause 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995. RESOLVES to:

- 1. DEFER the final approval the 'Local Biodiversity Strategy'; and
- 2. MAKES the determination based on the following reason:
  - a. To be determined by Council.

#### CONCLUSION:

The Geraldton area is included in one of only 34 global biodiversity hotspots, being both very high biodiversity value, yet also under significant threat. The City of Greater Geraldton and the Shire of Chapman Valley's policies and strategies show a commitment to environmental values, and to halting and reversing the observed trends towards collapse of local ecosystems. Recent surveys and forums have also shown community support for strong commitment towards conservation to preserve social, economic, cultural and intrinsic values and ecosystem services provided by natural areas.

The development of the LBS included substantial community and stakeholder engagement, and was formulated within a Stakeholder Engagement Plan designed to involve stakeholders in the planning process. The LBS notes that achieving the vision will require stronger action from government and must also provide for stronger support for local community groups and private landowners.

The LBS does not place any specific burden on any one individual or part of the community, and indeed an action of the LBS is to develop and support an incentive program for private landholder conservation.

Option 2 is not supported as the City's policies, strategies and results of community engagement show that the natural environment is highly valued and the reality is that less than 18% of native vegetation remains in the study area and nearly half of that may be lost through planned developments. It should also be noted that there are a number of private landowners who actively seek improved biodiversity outcomes on their own land and the LBS will assist those people.

Option 3 is not supported as the consideration of biodiversity is now fundamental in land use planning and the LBS provides the City with a strategic way of addressing this requirement. Additionally the LBS will be used to inform the preparation of the new Local Planning Strategy and Scheme and this process has recently commenced.

#### **EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to clause 2.2 of both Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton and Local Planning Scheme No. 5 (Greenough) RESOLVES to:

- 1. DETERMINE the submissions outlined in the 'Schedule of Submissions'; and
- 2. ADOPT for final approval the 'Local Biodiversity Strategy', subject to the modifications outlined in the 'Schedule of Submissions'.

# COUNCIL DECISION MOVED CR HALL, SECONDED CR THOMAS

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to clause 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995, RESOLVES to:

- 1. DEFER the final approval the 'Local Biodiversity Strategy'; and
- 2. MAKES the determination based on the following reason:
  - a. to allow new Councillors sufficient time to review the 'Local Biodiversity Strategy' until the next Ordinary Meeting of Council.

### CARRIED 13/0

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Clune	YES
Cr. Critch	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES
Cr. Thomas	YES
Cr. Tanti	YES
Cr. Hall	YES
Cr. McIlwaine	N/V
Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. deTrafford	YES
Cr. Van Styn	N/V

# 12 AUDIT AND RISK MANAGEMENT Nil.

# 13 STRATEGIC & POLICY MATTERS Nil.

#### 14 OPERATIONAL MATTERS

SC121 2013 CHRISTMAS RETAIL TRADING HOURS

AGENDA REFERENCE: D-13-66662

AUTHOR: J Ho, Coordinator, Economic

Development

EXECUTIVE: P Melling, Director of Sustainable

**Communities** 

DATE OF REPORT: 25 September 2013

FILE REFERENCE: ED/3/0003

APPLICANT / PROPONENT: City of Greater Geraldton

ATTACHMENTS: Yes (x4)

#### **SUMMARY:**

The purpose of this report is to seek Council's endorsement of the application for extension of trading hours for Christmas 2013.

If there is no application from the City, the metropolitan Christmas/New Year trading hours, endorsed by the Department of Commerce, will by default apply to the City of Greater Geraldton.

#### **PROPONENT:**

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

In combination with the deregulation of the retail trading hours for Perth Metropolitan area on 26 August 2012, and the extended week night trading which commenced since 2010, general retail shops across the Perth metropolitan area can now trade throughout the year as follows:

Monday to Friday	8.00am to 9.00pm	
Saturday	8.00am to 5.00pm	
Sundays and Public Holidays (other than on	11.00am to 5.00pm	
Christmas Day, Good Friday and ANZAC Day)		

These expanded trading arrangements ensure that there is no longer a package of metropolitan area Christmas trading extensions that can be offered to regional Local Government Authorities, as was the case in the past.

Accordingly, regional Local Government Authorities that seek to extend their retail trading hours over the 2013/2014 Christmas/New Year period will need to submit a temporary/short term retail trading hours adjustments application to the Department of Commerce for approval. The letter from the Department of Commerce is included as Attachment No. SC121A.

#### **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION:**

On 5 September 2013, the City wrote to the Midwest Chamber of Commerce and Industry (MWCCI), Centro Stirlings Shopping Centre and Northgate Shopping Centre informing them of the 2013 Christmas Trading Hours

Extension for Regional Government Authorities, with recommended trading hours based on the hours adopted by the Council in the 2012 Christmas period. The City invited them to consult their members/tenants and provide comment on the proposed Christmas trading hours' extension package at their earliest convenience.

On 10 September 2013, <u>Centro Stirlings</u> Shopping Centre wrote to the City and the letter is included as Attachment No. SC121B, suggesting the following extensions be adopted:

15 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am – 4.00pm
22 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am – 4.00pm
23 December 2013 (Monday)	8.00am – 9.00pm
26 December 2013 (Thursday)	10.00am - 5.00pm

On 19 September 2013, <u>MWCCI</u> wrote to the City and the letter is included as Attachment No. SC121C, suggesting the following extensions be adopted:

15 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am - 4.00pm
22 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am – 4.00pm

Based on MWCCI members' survey, there has not been sufficient support for the Chamber to endorse the trading hours' extension proposed on Friday, 20 December 2013 and Thursday, 26 December 2013 (Boxing Day Public Holiday).

On the same day, <u>Northgate Shopping Centre</u> has also put forward the following recommendation for Council's consideration which is included as Attachment No. SC121D:

1 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am – 4.00pm
8 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am - 4.00pm
15 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am - 4.00pm
18 December 2013 (Wednesday)	8.00am – 9.00pm
22 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am - 4.00pm
23 December 2013 (Monday)	8.00am – 9.00pm
26 December 2013 (Thursday)	10.00am - 5.00pm

#### **COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:**

There has been no councillor consultation.

#### STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS:

Retail Trading Hours Act 1987.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

There are no policy implications.

#### FINANCIAL AND BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

There are no financial or budget implications.

#### STRATEGIC & REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

#### **Strategic & Plan for the Future Outcomes:**

Key Result Area: Economy.

Outcome 4.1: Lifestyle and Vibrancy.

Strategy 4.1.3: Revitalising the CBD through economic, social and

cultural vibrancy.

### **Regional Outcomes:**

Opening the additional hours will allow residents from towns in the surrounding region increase opportunity to spend within the City of Greater Geraldton retail sector and contribute to the City of Greater Geraldton economic pool.

#### **ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:**

#### **Economic:**

Opening retail outlets for the additional hours during the Christmas/New Year period may have the following economic impacts:

- 1. There may be increased opportunity for income within the retail outlets that wish to open the additional hours; and
- Opening the additional hours will allow residents from towns in the surrounding region increased opportunity to spend within the City of Greater Geraldton retail sector and contribute to the City of Greater Geraldton economic pool.

Retail outlets that believe opening the additional hours will not be economically viable are invited to exercise their individual discretion as to whether they choose to trade during these additional hours.

#### Social:

There are no social issues.

#### **Environmental:**

There are no environmental issues.

#### **Cultural & Heritage:**

There are no cultural or heritage issues.

### **RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:**

Precedent was set in 2009, by adopting a package of Christmas/New Year trading hours that was a compromise between recommendations from the Department of Commerce, MWCCI, Centro Stirlings and Northgate Shopping Centre. This was continued in 2011 and 2012.

## 2009 Adopted Package:

Sunday 13 Dec 2009	10.00am - 5.00pm	
Sunday 20 Dec 2009	10.00am – 5.00pm	
Wednesday 23 Dec 2009	8.00am – 9.00pm	
Thursday 24 Dec 2009	8.00am - 6.00pm	
Sunday 27 Dec 2009	10.00am - 5.00pm*	
Tuesday 29 Dec 2009	8.00am – 9.00pm*	
Wednesday 30 Dec 2009	8.00am – 9.00pm*	
Thursday 31 Dec 2009	8.00am - 6.00pm*	
Sunday 3 Jan 2010	10.00am - 5.00pm*	

<sup>\*</sup> These dates were adopted with the knowledge that the Clipper 09/10 yacht race would be stopped in Geraldton during this period.

## 2010 adopted package:

Sunday 12 Dec 2010	10.00am - 5.00pm	
Sunday 19 Dec 2010	10.00am – 5.00pm	
Monday 20 Dec 2010	8.00am – 9.00pm	
Tuesday 21 Dec 2010	8.00am – 9.00pm	
Wednesday 22 Dec 2010	8.00am – 9.00pm	
Friday 24 Dec 2010	8.00am - 6.00pm	
Tuesday 28 Dec 2010 (public holiday)	8.00am - 5.00pm	

## 2011 adopted package:

Sunday 11 December 2011	10.00am – 4.00pm
Sunday 18 December 2011	10.00am – 4.00pm
Friday 23 December 2011	8.00am – 9.00pm
Tuesday 27 December 2011 (Boxing 8.00am – 5.00pm	
Day, Public Holiday)	

## 2012 adopted package:

Sunday 16 December 2012	10.00am – 4.00pm	
Friday 21 December 2012	8.00am – 9.00pm	
Sunday 23 December 2011	10.00am - 4.00pm	

## **DELEGATED AUTHORITY:**

There is no delegated authority.

## **VOTING REQUIREMENTS:**

Simple Majority is required.

## **OPTIONS:**

## Option 1:

As per Executive Recommendation in this report.

## Option 2:

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to the Retail Trading Hours Act 1987 RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT an alternate package of retail trading extensions to the Christmas/New Year trading hours, as follows:

a.	
b.	
C	

- 2. APPLY to the Minister for Commerce to reflect this adoption; and
- 3. MAKES this determination based on the following:
  - a. To be determined by Council.

## Option 3:

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to the Retail Trading Hours Act 1987 RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT the 2013 Christmas retail trading package as per the deregulated trading hours in Perth Metropolitan areas adopted on the 26 August 2012 by the Minister for Commerce, as follows:

a) Monday to Friday	8.00am - 9.00pm
b) Saturday	8.00am – 5.00pm
c) Sunday & Public Holidays (other	11.00am – 5.00pm
than on Christmas Day, Good	
Friday & ANZAC Day)	

- 2. MAKES this determination based on the following:
  - a. To be determined by Council.

## Option 4:

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to the Retail Trading Hours Act 1987 RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT the 2013 Christmas retail trading package as recommended by the Midwest Chamber for Commerce and Industry:

15 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am - 4.00pm
22 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am – 4.00pm

- 2. APPLY to the Minister for Commerce to reflect this adoption; and
- 3. MAKES this determination based on the following:
  - a. To be determined by Council.

#### Option 5:

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to the Retail Trading Hours Act 1987 RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT the 2013 Christmas retail trading package as recommended by Centro Stirlings Shopping Centre:

 15 December 2013 (Sunday)
 10.00am - 4.00pm

 22 December 2013 (Sunday)
 10.00am - 4.00pm

 23 December 2013 (Monday)
 8.00am - 9.00pm

 26 December 2013 (Thursday)
 10.00am - 5.00pm

- 2. APPLY to the Minister for Commerce to reflect this adoption; and
- 3. MAKES this determination based on the following:
  - a. To be determined by Council.

## Option 6:

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to the Retail Trading Hours Act 1987 RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT the 2013 Christmas retail trading package as recommended by the Northgate Shopping Centre:

1 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am - 4.00pm
8 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am – 4.00pm
15 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am – 4.00pm
18 December 2013 (Wednesday)	8.00am - 9.00pm
22 December 2013 (Sunday)	10.00am – 4.00pm
23 December 2013 (Monday)	8.00am - 9.00pm
26 December 2013 (Thursday)	10.00am - 5.00pm

- 2. APPLY to the Minister for Commerce to reflect this adoption; and
- 3. MAKES this determination based on the following:
  - a. To be determined by Council.

## **CONCLUSION:**

The package suggested by the MWCCI offers little option for traders to exercise their discretion to trade additional hours during the 2013 Christmas period. On the other hand, the package recommended by the Northgate Shopping Centre may put additional pressure to the local/small retailers and their employees to work extra hours during this festive season.

For these reasons the executive recommendation is an adaptation of the packages recommended by the MWCCI and Centro Stirling Shopping Centre. It also takes into consideration that all retailers are able to exercise their individual discretion regarding whether or not to trade during the approved hours.

Due to the required timeline for applications to the Minister for Commerce, deferral of this item will result in automatic approval of the Department of Commerce recommended package.

#### **EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to the Retail Trading Hours Act 1987 RESOLVES to:

- 1. ADOPT the following package of extensions to the City of Greater Geraldton Christmas/New Year retail trading hour:
  - a. 15 December 2013 (Sunday) 10.00am to 4.00pm;
  - b. 22 December 2013 (Sunday) 10.00am to 4.00pm;
  - c. 23 December 2013 (Monday) 8.00am to 9.00pm; and
- 2. APPLY to the Minister for Commerce to reflect this adoption.

#### COUNCIL DECISION

## MOVED CR DETRAFFORD, SECONDED CR HALL

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to the Retail Trading Hours Act 1987 RESOLVES to:

- 1. ADOPT the following package of extensions to the City of Greater Geraldton Christmas/New Year retail trading hour:
  - a. 15 December 2013 (Sunday) 10.00am to 4.00pm;
  - b. 22 December 2013 (Sunday) 10.00am to 4.00pm;
  - c. 23 December 2013 (Monday) 8.00am to 9.00pm; and
- 2. APPLY to the Minister for Commerce to reflect this adoption.

### CARRIED 13/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

SC120 REVISED OUTLINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN – ALEXANDER AND MOLONEY STREETS, UTAKARRA

AGENDA REFERENCE: D-13-66628

AUTHOR: M Thomson, Strategic Planning Officer EXECUTIVE: P Melling, Director Sustainable

**Communities** 

DATE OF REPORT: 24 September 2013

FILE REFERENCE: LP/11/0014

APPLICANT / PROPONENT: Peter D Webb and Associates

ATTACHMENTS: Yes (x2)

#### **SUMMARY:**

The City has received an application to modify the existing Outline Development Plan (ODP) over Lot 2185 Alexander Street and Lot 1 Moloney Street, Utakarra.

This report recommends final approval of the revised ODP and that it be forwarded to the WA Planning Commission for its endorsement.

#### PROPONENT:

The proponent is Peter D Webb and Associates on behalf of the owner Mary Donald Nominees Pty Ltd.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

In August 2007 the land was rezoned to the 'Residential Development' zone and subsequently in March 2008 an ODP was endorsed by the WA Planning Commission. The existing ODP is included as Attachment SC120A.

The proponent now seeks to modify the ODP by introducing a more appropriate range of lot densities, including R12.5 and R20 for single residential development and R30 for grouped dwelling development, in order to provide a greater choice of housing for the community. The revision also proposes to reinstate the future Neighbourhood Connector Road reserve (formerly known as the "Utakarra Bypass").

The subject land has an area of 26.621 hectares, is currently vacant and predominantly cleared. It adjoins the residential area commonly known as "Alexander Parklands".

The revised ODP proposes an approximate total yield of 265 lots, with 150 lots proposed at a density of R12.5 and 115 lots at a density of R20. The proposed yield is slightly higher than the existing endorsed ODP which had a total yield of 204 lots.

The proposed revisions to the ODP seek to ensure a more appropriate range of housing choices are provided to cater for the main demographic choosing to locate in this area, which includes both young families and those members of the community requiring larger land areas to facilitate the storage of boats and caravans etc.

The proposed ODP seeks to retain a large portion of the site for subdivision into R12.5 density lots (800m²), which ensures that a sufficient amount of land is provided for storage. In order to accommodate young families, the ODP has been revised to incorporate R20 density lots (450m²), provide a more affordable housing option. The R20 lots are designed immediately adjacent and nearby the areas of POS, which ensures these young families are provided with easily accessible recreational areas.

The overall design aims to achieve maximum legibility and connectivity, with direct road connections to the residential areas on the eastern side of Moloney Street and to the southern end of Lot 1 Moloney Street to link with Samuel Place and the residential area to the west. In addition, two future road connections to Lot 800 are also provided.

The City's intention is to 'reinstate' the former "Utakarra Bypass" road reserve to the south of the subject land for a 'Neighbourhood Connector'. This road reserve will ultimately link Highbury Street to the west with Polo Road to the east and then further over the Chapman River to the Moresby area.

Two POS areas are proposed comprising a combined total land area of 2.593 hectares. This includes the already constructed POS which is located at the northern end of Lot 2185 fronting Alexander Street. A second, centrally located, POS area is proposed to ensure all residents are provided with accessible recreational areas within 400 metres walking distance, in accordance with the principles of Liveable Neighbourhoods.

A copy of the revised ODP is included as Attachment SC120B.

## **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION:**

There has been no Community Consultation.

In accordance with the requirements of the Scheme (refer to Statutory Implications section of this report) minor modifications are not required to be publicly advertised.

## **COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:**

There has been no Councillor consultation.

#### STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS:

The subject land is zoned 'Residential Development' under Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton). The intent of the zone is to allow for the progressive development for residential, commercial and other uses normally associated with residential development. The 'Residential Development' zone also requires development and subdivision to be in accordance with an Outline Development Plan.

Clause 4.16 (g) of the Scheme provides for the alteration to an ODP as follows:

- (g) If the Council considers that a proposed departure from or alteration to an approved Outline Development Plan will not prejudice the progressive development of an area and is in the interest of orderly and proper planning, the Council may resolve either:
- (i) not to advertise the departure or alteration due to its anticipated minimal impact on the development of the area and forward the departure or alteration to the Commission for adoption; or
- (ii) advertise the departure or alteration in accordance with the provisions of clauses 4.16 (c) to (e) inclusive.

It is considered that the modifications are minor in nature and have minimal impact on development in the area.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

There are no policy implications.

## FINANCIAL AND BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

The revised ODP will facilitate land for future residential development. As part of this future development there will be increased income to the City via rates, and fees associated with the development of land. New roads and public open space will become the responsibility of the City to maintain in the future.

#### STRATEGIC & REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

## **Strategic Community Plan Outcomes:**

Goal 5: Governance – Inclusive civic and community

engagement and leadership.

Outcome 5.2: Planning and Policy.

Strategy 5.2.1: Responding to community aspirations by providing

creative yet effective planning and zoning for future

development.

#### **Regional Outcomes:**

## Geraldton Region Plan and Greater Geraldton Structure Plan:

This plan seeks to provide a framework for the future management, protection and coordination of regional planning in the region. The Region Plan incorporates a structure plan for the Greater Geraldton area. The subject land is identified as 'urban' on the structure plan.

#### Liveable Neighbourhoods:

Liveable Neighbourhoods is a WAPC operational policy for the design and assessment of outline development plans and subdivision for new urban

areas. The ODP provides a contemporary planning framework which is consistent with Liveable Neighbourhoods.

## Residential Development Strategy:

The Strategy is a response to the changing local and regional economic environment and the need to provide a logical, coherent, highly liveable and sustainable model for residential development in the City to meet the needs of all residents and build strong communities. It broadly indicates the extent of residential and future residential land along with existing and proposed rural living areas. The subject land is identified as "Single Density Residential (R10 – R25)".

## **ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:**

## **Economic:**

The ODP will facilitate future residential development.

#### Social:

The proposed revisions to the ODP seek to provide R20 lots for young families seeking more affordable housing options.

#### **Environmental:**

There are no environmental issues.

## **Cultural & Heritage:**

There are no culture and heritages issues.

#### **RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:**

Council at its meeting held on the 14 August 2007 resolved to support the existing ODP which was subsequently endorsed by the WA Planning Commission.

## **DELEGATED AUTHORITY:**

There is no delegated authority.

#### **VOTING REQUIREMENTS:**

Simple Majority required.

#### **OPTIONS:**

#### Option 1:

As per Executive Recommendation in this report.

## Option 2:

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Clause 4.16 of Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton), RESOLVES to:

- 1. REFUSE to adopt the revised Outline Development Plan for Lot 2185 Alexander Street and Lot 1 Moloney Street, Utakarra; and
- 2. MAKES the determination based on the following reason:

a. To be determined by Council

## Option 3:

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Clause 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995, RESOLVES to:

- 1. DEFER the adoption of the revised Outline Development Plan for Lot 2185 Alexander Street and Lot 1 Moloney Street, Utakarra; and
- 2. MAKES the determination based on the following reason:
  - a. To be determined by Council.

#### **CONCLUSION:**

The proposed revisions to the ODP seek to introduce a more appropriate range of lot densities in order to provide a greater choice of housing for the community in this locality as well as to maximise connectivity and legibility.

Option 2 is not supported as the proposed revisions to the ODP provides a more appropriate range of housing choices to cater for the main demographic choosing to locate in this area, which includes both young families and those members of the community requiring larger land areas to facilitate the storage of boats and caravans etc.

There is considered sufficient information for Council to determine the matter and therefore Option 3 is not supported.

## **EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Clause 4.16 of Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton), RESOLVES to:

- ADOPT the revised Outline Development Plan for Lot 2185 Alexander Street and Lot 1 Moloney Street, Utakarra; and
- 2. FORWARD the revised Outline Development Plan to the WA Planning Commission for its endorsement.

## **COUNCIL DECISION**

## MOVED CR HALL, SECONDED CR BRICK

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Clause 4.16 of Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton), RESOLVES to:

- 1. ADOPT the revised Outline Development Plan for Lot 2185 Alexander Street and Lot 1 Moloney Street, Utakarra; and
- 2. FORWARD the revised Outline Development Plan to the WA Planning Commission for its endorsement.

## CARRIED 13/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

CCSO11 THE GERALDTON-GREENOUGH RIFLE CLUB (INC) – REQUEST FOR DONATION TO COVER RATES

AGENDA REFERENCE: D-13-70446

AUTHOR: M Jones, Senior Treasury Officer

EXECUTIVE: B Davis, Director of Corporate 8

**Commercial Services** 

DATE OF REPORT: 10 October 2013 FILE REFERENCE: FM/19/0006

APPLICANT / PROPONENT: Geraldton Greenough Rifle Club Inc.

ATTACHMENTS: Yes

#### **SUMMARY:**

The Geraldton-Greenough Rifle Club (Inc) has requested that Council continue to reimburse payment of rates for its properties at Lots 77 & 1106 McCartney Road, Greenough by way of an annual donation. This report seeks Council to consider if this agreement previously put in place by the Shire of Greenough, in response to transfer of parts of the land holding structures of significant heritage value to the Shire is to continue and for what period.

#### PROPONENT:

The proponent is the Geraldton Greenough Rifle Club Inc.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

In 1992 the West Australian Rifle Association purchased the land at Lots 77 & 1106 McCartney Road, Greenough on a trust basis for the Geraldton Greenough Rifle Club (Inc) (GGRC). Chronological background to the acquisition and subsequent arrangements is provided as an attachment to this report.

The Rifle Association subsequently transferred two parcels of land located on the Range containing structures of heritage value to the Shire of Greenough. Value of the land transferred to the Council exceeded \$100,000. In exchange for the transfer, the Shire of Greenough agreed to waive rates on the land used as the Rifle Range.

From 1992 until 2006 the Shire of Greenough waived rates on the rifle range. Since 2006 the City of Geraldton Greenough and the City of Greater Geraldton have reimbursed the GGRC the cost of their annual rates as a Mayoral Donation. That mode of concession is no longer appropriate, as funds appropriated for the purposes of Mayoral Donations are not intended to be the source of annual recurrent concessions. An application was submitted to fund this reimbursement of the rates via a recurrent grant and at the meeting of the Community Grants Committee on 10<sup>th</sup> October 2013, it was recommended not to support such a request via a recurrent grant on the basis that this request did not fit within the general intent applied to this stream of funding by Council to the Community.

## **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION:**

There has been no community consultation.

#### **COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:**

There has been no specific Councillor consultation.

#### STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS:

Section 6.26(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 defines land that is not rateable land. The land in question does not satisfy any of the provisions of sections 6.26(2) of the Act, and is therefore rateable land. Council is therefore obliged to impose rates on the land.

Section 6.47 of the Act empowers a Council to waive rates or grant other concessions:

#### 6.47. Concessions

Subject to the Rates and Charges (Rebates and Deferments) Act 1992, a local government may at the time of imposing a rate or service charge or at a later date resolve to waive\* a rate or service charge or resolve to grant other concessions in relation to a rate or service charge.

Section 6.8 of the Local Government Act 1995 requires any expenditure not included in the annual budget to be authorised by Absolute Majority.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

There are no policy implications.

## FINANCIAL AND BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

Approval of this request will cost the City \$4,304.66 in 2013-14 if the rates are reimbursed (note: the Association is responsible to pay for the ESL which is a nominal amount – currently \$60). This represents an additional budget expense and will require Council authorisation to amend the Current Budget.

If Council was to decide to grant a concession to waive rates then this would impact on rates revenue for 2013-14 as per the amount noted above.

#### STRATEGIC & REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

## **Strategic Community Plan Outcomes:**

Goal 3 Social

Outcome 3.1 Recreation & Sport

Strategy 3.1.1 Supporting the strong sporting culture that has

shaped Greater Geraldton's identity and lifestyle

## **Regional Outcomes:**

There are no regional outcomes associated with this matter.

<sup>\*</sup> Absolute majority required.

## **ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:**

### **Economic:**

There are no economic impacts associated with this matter.

## Social:

The City notes that the Rifle Club allows use of the range by Federal and State agencies, and makes ongoing provision for use of part of its land by the Archery Club.

## **Environmental:**

There are no environmental impacts associated with this matter.

## **Cultural & Heritage:**

There are no cultural or heritage impacts associated with this matter.

#### **RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:**

There are no directly relevant precedents wherein the Council or its predecessor Councils have resolved to provide for reimbursement of rates or to waive rates, on freehold land held by a sports or recreation body, in return for transfer of certain land portions with structures of heritage value to the Council for the National Trust. This matter, originally determined by the Shire of Greenough in 1992, is unique.

#### **DELEGATED AUTHORITY:**

There is no delegated authority.

#### **VOTING REQUIREMENTS:**

Absolute majority is required to grant a concession on rates and/or reimburse via donation back to the Association.

#### **OPTIONS:**

#### Option 1:

As per Executive Recommendation in this report.

#### Option 2:

That Council by Simple Majority under section 5.20 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

- DEFER consideration of the application by the GGRC for reimbursement of their rates on the properties at Lots 77 & 1106 McCartney Road, Greenough;
- 2. MAKES the determination based on the following reason:
  - a. to be determined by Council.

#### Option 3:

That Council by Absolute Majority under section 6.47 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

- 1. WAIVE rates on the properties at Lots 77 & 1106 McCartney Road, Greenough;
- 2. MAKES the determination based on the following reasons:
  - a. To be determined by Council.

## **CONCLUSION:**

The transfer of land in 1992 to the previous Shire of Greenough for the National Trust has been considered as appropriate justification by Councils since 1992 to waive or reimburse annual rates on the WA Rifle Association properties utilised by the Geraldton Greenough Rifle Club. Whether that justification remains appropriate is a matter for Council determination.

#### **EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council by Absolute Majority under section 6.8 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

- 1. AUTHORISE an annual donation to the Geraldton Greenough Rifle Club equivalent to the rates levied on the properties Lot 77 & Lot 1106 McCartney Road Greenough.
- 2. MAKES the determination to grant this donation for the following period:
  - a. To be determined by Council.

#### **COUNCIL DECISION**

## MOVED CR CLUNE, SECONDED CR FIORENZA

That Council by Absolute Majority under section 6.8 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

- 1. AUTHORISE an annual donation to the Geraldton Greenough Rifle Club equivalent to the rates levied on the properties Lot 77 & Lot 1106 McCartney Road Greenough, subject to the condition that the property in question is used for its current purposes; and
- 2. MAKES the determination to grant this donation for the following period:
  - a. Council felt that the annual donation should continue for the period of five years to recognise the gifting of lots 77 and 1106 McCartney Road by the Geraldton Greenough Rifle Club to the Shire of Greenough who subsequently handed it to the National Trust.

## **CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY 13/0**

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Clune	YES
Cr. Critch	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES

Cr. Thomas	YES
Cr. Tanti	YES
Cr. Hall	YES
Cr. McIlwaine	N/V
Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. deTrafford	YES
Cr. Van Styn	N/V

## 15 REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED

REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED

AGENDA REFERENCE: D-13-69470

AUTHOR: K Diehm, Chief Executive

Officer

DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST: No

FILE REFERENCE: GO/6/0002
DATE OF REPORT: 7 October 2013

#### **BACKGROUND:**

Information and items for noting or receiving (i.e. periodic reports, minutes of other meetings) are to be included in an appendix attached to the Council agenda.

Any reports received under this Agenda are considered received only. Any recommendations or proposals contained within the "Reports (including Minutes) to be Received" are not approved or endorsed by Council in any way. Any outcomes or recommendations requiring Council approval must be presented separately to Council as a Report for consideration at an Ordinary Meeting of Council.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE COMMENT:

The following reports are attached in the Appendix to this agenda:

Reports of Corporate and Commercial Services		
CCS009	Report to be Received – Statement of Financial Activity to 30 September 2013	
CCS010	Confidential – Report to be Received – List of Accounts Paid Under Delegation to 30 September 2013	
Reports of St	ustainable Communities	
SCDD0079	Delegated Determinations	
SCED0051	SCED0051 Minutes of the International Relations Development Advisory Committee (IRDAC) Meeting – 16 September 2013	
Reports of C	reative Communities	
CC130	Report to be Received – Australia Day Committee Meeting – 27 August 2013	
CC131	Report to be Received – Reconciliation Committee Meeting – 17 September 2013	
CC132	Report to be Received – Art Gallery Committee Meeting – 19 June 2013	
CC133	Report to be Received – Heritage Advisory Committee Meeting – 19 September 2013	
CC134	Report to be Received – Art Gallery Committee Meeting – 18 September 2013	
CC135	Report to be Received – Australia Day Committee Meeting – 1 October 2013	

## **CONSULTATION:**

Not applicable.

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT:

Not applicable.

#### **VOTING REQUIREMENTS:**

Simple majority is required.

## **EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:**

## PART A

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 22.(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to

- 1. RECEIVE the following appended reports:
  - a. Reports Sustainable Communities
    - i. SCD079 Delegated Determinations; and
    - ii. SCED0051 Minutes of the International Relations Development Advisory Committee (IRDAC) Meeting – 16 September 2013.
  - b. Reports Creative Communities:
    - i. CC130 Report to be Received Australia Day Committee Meeting – 27 August 2013;
    - ii. CC131 Report to be Received Reconciliation Committee Meeting 17 September 2013;
    - iii. CC132 Report to be Received Art Gallery Committee Meeting – 19 June 2013
    - iv. CC133 Report to be Received Heritage Advisory Committee Meeting - 19 September 2013;
    - v. CC134 Report to be Received Art Gallery Committee Meeting 18 September 2013; and
    - vi. CC135 Report to be Received Australia Day Committee Meeting 1 October 2013

## PART B

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Sections 5.13 and 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 RESOLVES to:

- 1. RECEIVE the following appended reports:
  - a. Reports Department of Treasury and Finance;
    - i. CCS009 Report to be Received Statement of Financial Activity to 30 September 2013; and
    - ii. CCS010Confidential Report to be Received List of Accounts Paid Under Delegation to 30 September 2013.

# COUNCIL DECISION MOVED CR THOMAS, SECONDED CR BRICK PART A

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 22.(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to

- 1. RECEIVE the following appended reports:
  - a. Reports Sustainable Communities
    - i. SCD079 Delegated Determinations; and
    - ii. SCED0051 Minutes of the International Relations Development Advisory Committee (IRDAC) Meeting – 16 September 2013:
  - b. Reports Creative Communities:
    - i. CC130 Report to be Received Australia Day Committee Meeting - 27 August 2013;
    - ii. CC131 Report to be Received Reconciliation Committee Meeting 17 September 2013;
    - iii. CC132 Report to be Received Art Gallery Committee Meeting 19 June 2013
    - iv. CC133 Report to be Received Heritage Advisory Committee Meeting 19 September 2013;
    - v. CC134 Report to be Received Art Gallery Committee Meeting 18 September 2013; and
    - vi. CC135 Report to be Received Australia Day Committee Meeting 1 October 2013

#### PART B

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Sections 5.13 and 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 RESOLVES to:

- 1. RECEIVE the following appended reports:
  - a. Reports Department of Treasury and Finance;
    - i. CCS009 Report to be Received Statement of Financial Activity to 30 September 2013; and
    - ii. CCS010Confidential Report to be Received List of Accounts Paid Under Delegation to 30 September 2013.

CARRIED 13/0

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Clune	YES
Cr. Critch	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES
Cr. Thomas	YES
Cr. Tanti	YES
Cr. Hall	YES

Cr. McIlwaine	N/V
Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. deTrafford	YES
Cr. Van Styn	N/V

## 16 ELECTED MEMBERS MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

## 17 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

## 18 URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY PRESIDING MEMBER OR BY DECISION OF THE MEETING

Nil.

## 19 CLOSURE

There being no further business the Chairman closed the Council meeting at 6.47pm.

## **APPENDIX 1 – ATTACHMENTS AND REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED**

Attachments and Reports to be Received are available on the City of Greater Geraldton website at: <a href="http://www.cgg.wa.gov.au/your-council/meetings">http://www.cgg.wa.gov.au/your-council/meetings</a>