



ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL
MINUTES

16 DECEMBER 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY	2
2	DECLARATION OF OPENING.....	2
3	ATTENDANCE.....	2
4	RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE.....	3
5	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	3
6	APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE	6
7	PETITIONS, DEPUTATIONS OR PRESENTATIONS.....	6
8	DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	7
9	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING – AS CIRCULATED	8
10	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR (WITHOUT DISCUSSION).....	9
11	REPORTS OF COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE	10
	<i>CI081 RFT 05 1415 – SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF CRUSHED METAL ROADBASE.....</i>	<i>10</i>
	<i>CI082 RFT 06 1415 – SUPPLY, SUPPLY AND LAY BITUMINOUS ASPHALT</i>	<i>14</i>
	<i>CI083 RFT 07 1415 – SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF READY MIXED CONCRETE</i>	<i>20</i>
	<i>CI085 RFT 09 1415 – SUPPLY, SUPPLY LAY BITUMINOUS PRODUCTS.....</i>	<i>25</i>
	<i>CI086 RFT 11 1415 – SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF DRAINAGE PRODUCTS</i>	<i>30</i>
	<i>CI084 RFT 08 1415 – SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF LATERITE GRAVEL, SAND, TOPSOIL AND LOAM.....</i>	<i>41</i>
	<i>CI087 MULTI USER FACILITY PRELIMINARY DESIGN AND REVISED BUDGET</i>	<i>46</i>
12	REPORTS OF CORPORATE & COMMERCIAL SERVICES.....	51
	<i>CCS091 COUNCIL POLICY CP053 LIVE STREAMING OF MEETINGS and COUNCIL POLICY CP013 RECORD KEEPING POLICY.....</i>	<i>51</i>
	<i>CCS092 2013/2014 ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE CITY OF GREATER GERALDTON.....</i>	<i>56</i>
	<i>CCS093 STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY.....</i>	<i>59</i>
13	REPORTS OF CREATIVE COMMUNITIES.....	62
	<i>CC191 AMENDMENTS TO DISABILITY ACCESS AND INCLUSION PLAN.....</i>	<i>62</i>
	<i>CC192 PROVISION OF FUNDS FOR PROPOSED 2015 ANZAC CENTENARY PROGRAM</i>	<i>66</i>
	<i>CC194 CORPORATE PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM.....</i>	<i>71</i>
14	REPORTS OF OFFICE OF THE CEO	74
15	REPORTS OF SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES.....	75
	<i>SC192 PROPOSED TOWN PLANNING SCHEME AMENDMENT – R30 REZONING, BERESFORD</i>	<i>75</i>
	<i>SC193 FINAL ADOPTION OF TOWN PLANNING SCHEME AMENDMENT NO. 73 – HIGHWAY COMMERCIAL REZONING, WONTHELLA.....</i>	<i>79</i>
	<i>SC194 FINAL ADOPTION OF TOWN PLANNING SCHEME AMENDMENT NO. 72 – HIGHWAY COMMERCIAL REZONING, WONTHELLA.....</i>	<i>85</i>
16	REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED.....	92
17	ELECTED MEMBERS MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN.....	94
18	QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN	94
19	URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY PRESIDING MEMBER OR BY DECISION OF THE MEETING	94
20	CLOSURE	94
	APPENDIX 1 – ATTACHMENTS AND REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED	95

CITY OF GREATER GERALDTON
ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL
HELD ON TUESDAY, 16 DECEMBER 2014 AT 5.30PM
CHAMBERS, CATHEDRAL AVENUE

M I N U T E S

DISCLAIMER:

The Chairman advises that the purpose of this Council Meeting is to discuss and, where possible, make resolutions about items appearing on the agenda. Whilst Council has the power to resolve such items and may in fact, appear to have done so at the meeting, no person should rely on or act on the basis of such decision or on any advice or information provided by a Member or Officer, or on the content of any discussion occurring, during the course of the meeting. Persons should be aware that the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995 (Section 5.25(e)) and Council's Standing Orders Local Laws establish procedures for revocation or rescission of a Council decision. No person should rely on the decisions made by Council until formal advice of the Council decision is received by that person. The City of Greater Geraldton expressly disclaims liability for any loss or damage suffered by any person as a result of relying on or acting on the basis of any resolution of Council, or any advice or information provided by a Member or Officer, or the content of any discussion occurring, during the course of the Council meeting.

1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

I would like to acknowledge the traditional owners of the land on which we meet, pay respect to the Elders and to the knowledge embedded forever within the Aboriginal Custodianship of Country.

2 DECLARATION OF OPENING

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 5.30pm.

3 ATTENDANCE

Present:

Mayor I Carpenter
Cr D Brick
Cr D J Caudwell
Cr J Clune
Cr R deTrafford
Cr S Douglas
Cr P Fiorenza
Cr L Graham
Cr R D Hall
Cr S Keemink
Cr N McIlwaine
Cr V Tanti
Cr T Thomas
Cr S Van Styn

Officers:

K Diehm, Chief Executive Officer
P Melling, Director of Sustainable Communities

B Davis, Director of Corporate and Commercial Enterprises
 A Selvey, Director of Creative Communities
 N Arbuthnot, Director of Community Infrastructure
 S Moulds, PA to the Chief Executive Officer
 L Taylor, Executive Support Secretary
 G Sherlock, Manager Project Delivery and Infrastructure Management,
 J Kopplhuber, Coordinator Community Engagement
 M Chadwick, Manager Environmental Health and Sustainability
 J Graham, Manager Corporate Services
 M McGinity, Manager, Corporate Communications
 T Jeeves, Community Engagement Officer Special Projects
 C Budhan, Manager Arts Culture & Events
 M Hattingh, Building Maintenance Officer

Others:

Members of Public: 4
 Members of Press: 1

Apologies:

Nil.

Leave of Absence:

Cr J Critch

4 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil.

5 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Questions provided in writing prior to the meeting or at the meeting will receive a formal response. Please note that you cannot make statements in Public Question Time and such statements will not be recorded in the Minutes.

Our Local Laws and the Local Government Act require questions to be put to the presiding member and answered by the Council. No questions can be put to individual Councillors.

Public question time commenced at 5.31pm

Kerry Wheat – 204 Place Road, Geraldton WA 6530

Question

What has / is being done to protect residents / residences from noise & safety issues on Place Road and First Street?

Response

Any new buildings will be designed in accordance with the required standards relating to noise emissions etc.

Any road upgrades will also have to address noise, access etc. And be dealt

with by the relevant authorities at the time. At this stage there are no timings for the upgrade of North West Coastal Highway by Main Roads.

Max Correy, 52 Bayview Street, Mount Tarcoola WA 6530

Question

As a result of the Director of Community Services on-site inspection of Lot 219 do Council now admit that the answers given to previous correspondence by Mr Wing, Mr Seidel and CEO Mr Diehm in that Lot 219 complies with the firebreak regulations is at odds with the purpose of the regulations to protect adjacent landowners properties from fire originating from neighbouring property?

Response

The short answer is that the property concerned did comply with the firebreak requirements. However in the site visit with Mr Correy it was apparent that the site needs special consideration given its topography and vegetation on the steep but easily erodible banks. In addition at the inspection it was agreed with Mr Correy that further work needed to be done on the verge area on the Brand Highway frontage of the property and this work has been completed.

In dealing with the vegetation on the steep sloped section of the site this will require a shared response above the minimum requirements as there are several issues:

- The terrain is such that any work will need to be done by hand;
- Residents in some areas have added to the risk via depositing lawn clippings, tree pruning's and other material which needs to be addressed;
- Residents also hold a variety of views from those wanting the site cleared totally through to others wanting to retain the vegetation at least near their properties. The owner of the site took heed of some of the requests when clearing a three metre wide break at the rear of the properties (undertaken by hand).

City officers will also be working with the Department of Fire & Emergency Services on mitigation solutions for the site (as the area is within the gazetted fire district, serviced by the red fire trucks). The City in this process will work with the owner and the abutting owners to arrive at a preferred solution(s) for dealing with this land.

The City does acknowledge the work the owner of Lots 219 & 220 has undertaken to date that already goes beyond the minimum requirements. It is timely that owners are encouraged to consider the bush fire risk of their individual properties and work on mitigation measures that assist in reducing the chance of large bushfires.

Question

Has the Verita Road project bridge tender been awarded? i.e. over the railway line and airport road?

If so was the tender awarded to the lowest conforming tenderer?

Response

This is the same response as per the Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes of the 25 November 2014

A Contract has not been awarded for the construction of the bridge. The Council has delegated authority to the CEO to award the contract to the preferred tenderer subject to further negotiations regarding risk mitigation.

The Council is not obligated to award tenders to the lowest confirming tenderer. In this case all tenderers were advised that their tender would be assessed according to the following criteria:

- Relevant experience
- Key Personnel
- Tenderer's resources
- Demonstrated understanding
- Pricing

An evaluation panel comprising from senior staff from Main Roads, Brookfield Rail, Public Transport Authority, GHD Project Design Consultants and the City assessed the tenders and their recommendation of the preferred tenderer was unanimous.

Contract negotiation are currently proceeding with the preferred tenderer in accordance with the Council decision from the meeting held on the 28th October 2014.

Public question time concluded at 5.40pm

6 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Existing Approved Leave

Councillor	From	To (inclusive)
Cr J Critch	12 December 2014	10 January 2015
Cr P Fiorenza	18 January 2015	25 January 2015
Cr T Thomas	3 February 2015	22 February 2015

COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR HALL, SECONDED CR THOMAS

Cr S Douglas requested leave of absence for the period 14 January 2015 to 22 January 2015.

Cr S Keemink requested leave of absence for the period 20 January 2015 to 20 January 2015.

CARRIED 14/0

5:40:46 PM

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. McIlwaine	YES
Cr. Van Styn	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Hall	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Thomas	YES
Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. Critch	N/V
Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES
Cr. Tanti	YES
Cr. deTrafford	YES
Cr. Clune	YES

7 PETITIONS, DEPUTATIONS OR PRESENTATIONS

Mark Chadwick presented to the Mayor a certificate of commendation he received from the Public Health Advocacy Institute of WA recognising the City for its strategies to prevent disease. The award was presented on 8 December 2014 at Curtin University Shenton Park Campus by Dr Melissa Stoneham, Deputy Director of the Public Health Advocacy Institute WA, President of WALGA Troy Pickard and Executive Director of Healthway, David Malone.

8 DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Deputy Mayor Cr N McIlwaine – declared a Direct Financial Interest in Item CI081 RFT 051415 – Supply and Delivery of Crushed Metal Road base as he is a salaried employee of one of the tenderers.

Deputy Mayor Cr N McIlwaine declared a Direct Financial Interest in Item CI082 RFT 061415 – Supply, Supply and Lay Bituminous Asphalt, as he is a salaried employee of the company supplying products to the tenderers.

Deputy Mayor Cr N McIlwaine declared a Direct Financial Interest in Item CI083 RFT 07 1415 – Supply and Delivery of Ready Mixed Concrete as he is a salaried employee of one of the tenderers.

Deputy Mayor Cr N McIlwaine declared a Direct Financial Interest in Item CI085 RFT 09 1415 – Supply, Supply and Lay Bituminous Products as he is a salaried employee of the company supplying products to the tenderers.

Deputy Mayor Cr N McIlwaine declared a Direct Financial Interest in Item CI086 RFT 11 1415 – Supply and Delivery of Drainage Products as he is a salaried employee of the company supplying products to the tenderers.

Cr R de Trafford declared a Indirect Financial Interest in Item CC192 - Provision of Funds for Proposed 2015 ANZAC Centenary Program as he works for UWA.

9 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING – as circulated

RECOMMENDED that the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 25 November 2014, as previously circulated, be adopted as a true and correct record of proceedings.

COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR DETRAFFORD, SECONDED CR THOMAS

RECOMMENDED that the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 25 November 2014, as previously circulated, be adopted as a true and correct record of proceedings.

CARRIED 14/0

5:45:10 PM

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. McIlwaine	YES
Cr. Van Styn	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Hall	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Thomas	YES
Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. Critch	N/V
Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES
Cr. Tanti	YES
Cr. deTrafford	YES
Cr. Clune	YES

10 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)*Events attended by the Mayor or his representative*

DATE	FUNCTION	REPRESENTATIVE
28 November 2014	Photographs with Peter Janczyk and Geraldton Police for Road Ribbon Safety	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
28 November 2014	Individual Citizenship Ceremony	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
28 November 2014	Meredith Wills Farewell Sundowner	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
1 December 2014	Pollinators Catalyst Celebration	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
1 December 2014	Nagle Catholic College Presentation Ceremony	Mayor Ian Carpenter
2 December 2014	Morning Tea with Cynthia Griffin, US Consul. General for WA	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
2 December 2014	Concept Forum	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
3 December 2014	Wreath Laying – HMAS Sydney with US Consul. General	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
3 December 2014	Presentation of Certificates to Graduates of HIPPY Program	Cr Bob Hall
4 December 2014	Birthday Celebration for His Majesty the Emperor of Japan	Cr Bob Hall
4 December 2014	Our Lady of Mt. Carmel Primary School – 6/7 Graduation Presentation	Cr Tarleah Thomas & Cr Jennifer Critch
5 December 2014	Presentation of Certificates – Rangeway Gardens Art Project	Cr Laurie Graham
5 December 2014	Regular Meeting with Local Members	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
5 December 2014	Individual Citizenship Ceremony	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
5 December 2014	National Thank a Volunteer Day	Cr Victor Tanti
6 December 2014	Councillors Strategic Workshop	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
9 December 2014	Agenda Forum	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
11 December 2014	Meeting with Hon. Paul Brown MLC	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
12 December 2014	Community Nursery Lunch – Greenough Regional Prison Project End	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
14 December 2014	Opening Ceremony for Carols by Candlelight	Deputy Mayor Neil Mcllwaine
16 December 2014	Ordinary Meeting of Council	

11 REPORTS OF COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

CI081	RFT 05 1415 – SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF CRUSHED METAL ROADBASE
-------	---

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-74843
AUTHOR:	P Faraone, Acting Operations Manager
EXECUTIVE:	N Arbuthnot, Director Community Infrastructure
DATE OF REPORT:	20 October 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	RO/6/0014
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x 2 Confidential)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The objective of this report is to gain Council approval to award the supply and/or delivery of approved double washed MRD specification crushed aggregate to sites within the City. The successful Contractor will be appointed for a two year period. Should the Contractor be unable to meet a requirement by the Principal (including timeframes), the Principal reserves the right to seek quotes from other suppliers for that requirement.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 as amended RESOLVES to:

1. AWARD RFT 05 1415 supply and/or delivery of approved double washed MRD specification crushed aggregate to sites within the City to the preferred tenderer; and
2. RECORD the tender details in the minutes.

PROponent:

The proponent is The City of Greater Geraldton.

BACKGROUND:

The tender was advertised in The West Australian on Saturday 13 September 2014. The tender was also advertised on the WALGA TenderLink e-Tendering Portal. Tender details were also available on the City's website. The closing date for the tender was 1pm Tuesday 30 September 2014. Ten suppliers registered to receive copies of the tender; only one submission was received as shown in the confidential attachment.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:

Economic:

The two yearly supply tenders allow Community Infrastructure Managers and Supervisors to cost effectively purchase goods and services from approved quality assured suppliers at known costs.

Social:

There are no social impacts.

Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Two yearly supply Contracts have been used for a number of years and have been proven to be an effective method of obtaining goods and services at competitive rates.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Tenders are called to determine a preferred supplier to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995 and with Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

Prices tendered are recorded and utilised for future project pricing. The table in the Confidential Attachment indicates prices of all materials and products.

Budget allocation is as a materials supply and delivery which is within the annual construction (budget projects) and maintenance budget provisions

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Economy	Transportation
Strategy 4.2.1	Developing more efficient transport options that are secure and safe to sustain our lifestyle

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

To develop a functional network of roads, paths and drainage

RISK MANAGEMENT

As part of the procurement process a risk rating analysis was undertaken. The project was allocated a risk rating of significant.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Staff have considered the following alternative options:

1. NOT PROCEED with this RFT 05 1415 Supply and/or delivery of crushed metal roadbase and retain the existing process where no contract is in place
2. RE-TENDER to source another supplier.

Alternative 1 was discounted as the two yearly tender process allows the City to obtain goods and services at current and competitive rates for the construction and maintenance of roads, drains and footpaths within the City

Alternative 2 was discounted as there were no other tenderers.

Deputy Mayor Cr N McIlwaine – declared a Direct Financial Interest in Item C1081 RFT 051415 – Supply and Delivery of Crushed Metal Road base as he is a salaried employee of one of the tenderers.

The Deputy Mayor left Chambers at 5.46pm

COUNCIL DECISION**MOVED CR HALL, SECONDED CR GRAHAM**

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 as amended RESOLVES to:

1. **AWARD RFT 05 1415 supply and/or delivery of approved double washed MRD specification crushed aggregate to sites within the City to Pirone's Sand Supplies; and**
2. **RECORD the tender details in the minutes.**

Sealing Aggregate	Price per tonne (deliver within the City of Greater Geraldton)	Price per Tonne (loaded at quarry)	Loose Weight T/m ³
	Rate excl GST \$/T	Rate excl GST \$/T	
5mm Crushed Metal	38.00		1.6
7 mm Crushed Metal	74.00		1.43
10mm Crushed Metal	63.00		1.43
14mm Crushed Metal	55.00		1.43
20mm Crushed Metal	54.00		1.43
Road base 'A' class	39.00		1.85
Road base 'B' Class	29.00		1.85
Scalps	28.00		1.85
Metal Dust	30.00		1.8
Spalls	54.00		1.6

CARRIED 13/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

The following items were dealt with 'En bloc'

Council uses 'En Bloc' voting to efficiently address matters that the Elected Members believe can be determined without debate.

CI082 RFT 06 1415 – Supply, Supply and Lay Bituminous Asphalt

CI083 RFT 07 1415 – Supply and Delivery of Ready Mixed Concrete

CI085 RFT 09 1415 – Supply, Supply Lay Bituminous Products

CI086 RFT 11 1415 – Supply and Delivery of Drainage Products

Deputy Mayor Cr N McIlwaine declared a Direct Financial Interest in the above items which are noted prior to each Council Decisions. He was not present during the debate, as previously noted.

CI082	RFT 06 1415 – SUPPLY, SUPPLY AND LAY BITUMINOUS ASPHALT
-------	---

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-74825
AUTHOR:	P Traylen, Principal Works Manager
EXECUTIVE:	N Arbuthnot, Director Community Infrastructure
DATE OF REPORT:	27 October 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	RO/6/0014
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x 2 Confidential)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The objective of this report is to gain Council approval to award the supply, supply and lay bituminous asphalt to sites within the City. The successful Contractor will be appointed for a two year period. Should the Contractor be unable to meet a requirement by the Principal (including timeframes), the Principal reserves the right to seek quotes from other suppliers for that requirement.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 as amended RESOLVES to:

1. AWARD RFT 06 1415 supply, supply and lay bituminous asphalt to sites within the City to the preferred tenderer; and
2. RECORD the tender details in the minutes.

PROPONENT:

The proponent is The City of Greater Geraldton.

BACKGROUND:

The tender was advertised in The West Australian on Saturday 13 September 2014. The tender was also advertised on the WALGA TenderLink e-

Tendering Portal. Tender details were also available on the City's website. The closing date for the tender was 1pm Wednesday 1 October 2014. Six suppliers registered to receive copies of the tender. Three submissions were received from Catwest Pty Ltd, Kruize Asphalt & Contracting and Downer EDI Works.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:

Economic:

The two yearly supply tenders allow Community Infrastructure managers and supervisors to cost effectively purchase goods and services from approved quality assured suppliers at known costs.

Social:

There are no social impacts.

Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Two yearly supply Contracts have been used for a number of years and have been proven to be an effective method of obtaining goods and services at competitive rates.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Tenders are called to determine a preferred supplier to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995 and with Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

Prices tendered are recorded and utilised for future project pricing. The table in the Confidential Attachment indicates prices of all materials and products.

Budget allocation is as a materials supply and delivery which is within the annual construction (budget projects) and maintenance budget provisions

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Economy	Transport
Strategy 4.2.1	Developing more efficient transport options that are secure and safe to sustain our lifestyle

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

To develop a functional network of roads, paths and drainage.

RISK MANAGEMENT

As part of the procurement process a risk rating analysis was undertaken. The project was allocated a risk rating of significant.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Staff have considered the following alternative options:

1. Not proceed with this RFT 06 1415 Supply, supply and lay bituminous asphalt and remain with the existing tenderer.
2. That the City re-tender to source another supplier.

Alternative 1 was discounted as the two yearly tender process allows the City to obtain goods and services at current and competitive rates for the construction and maintenance of roads, drains and footpaths within the City.

Alternative 2 was discounted as the submissions received through this tender process were compliant and competitive. The submissions gave the City an excellent cross section of availability of price, supply and service of the required goods and services.

Deputy Mayor Cr N McIlwaine declared a Direct Financial Interest in Item CI082 RFT 061415 – Supply, Supply and Lay Bituminous Asphalt, as he is a salaried employee of the company supplying products to the tenderers.

Carried En Bloc see Page 13

COUNCIL DECISION**MOVED CR HALL, SECONDED CR THOMAS**

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 as amended RESOLVES to:

1. **AWARD RFT 06 1415 supply, supply and lay bituminous asphalt to sites within the City to Catwest Pty Ltd; and**
2. **RECORD the tender details in the minutes.**

1.1.1 Price Schedule - Catwest Pty Ltd

OPTION A - SUPPLY AND LAY

Item	Mix Type	Marshall Blow	Load Size (t)				
			0 – 25	25 – 50	50 – 100	100 – 200	200+
			Cost \$/tonne excl. GST	Cost \$/tonne excl. GST	Cost \$/tonne excl. GST	Cost \$/tonne excl. GST	Cost \$/tonne excl. GST
1	AC20	50	336.00	335.00	327.00	268.00	268.00
2	AC20	75	333.00	329.00	324.00	265.00	265.00
3	AC14	50	268.00	265.00	261.00	240.00	240.00
4	AC14	75	266.00	262.00	259.00	240.00	240.00
5	RAC14	35	269.00	266.00	262.00	244.00	244.00
6	RAC14	50	268.00	265.00	261.00	241.00	241.00
7	AC10	50	269.00	266.00	262.00	240.00	240.00
8	AC10	75	266.00	262.00	259.00	240.00	240.00
9	RAC10	35	274.00	271.00	267.00	248.00	248.00
10	RAC10	50	272.00	269.00	265.00	246.00	246.00
11	AC7	35	279.00	275.00	271.00	251.00	251.00
12	AC7	50	276.00	273.00	269.00	240.00	240.00
13	AC5	35 (Handwork)	529.00	529.00	529.00	529.00	529.00
14	AC5	50 (Handwork)	529.00	529.00	529.00	529.00	529.00
15	SMA14	50	376.00	375.00	373.00	373.00	373.00
16	SMA10	50	379.00	378.00	376.00	375.00	375.00
17	SMA7	50	383.00	380.00	379.00	377.00	377.00
18	Red Asphalt AC7 Granite	1%	280.00	276.00	272.00	252.00	252.00
19	Red Asphalt AC7 Laterite	1%	365.00	361.00	357.00	337.00	337.00
20	Red Asphalt AC7 Granite	2%	300.00	296.00	292.00	272.00	272.00
21	Red Asphalt AC7 Laterite	2%	380.00	376.00	372.00	352.00	352.00
22	Red Asphalt AC7 Granite	3%	320.00	316.00	312.00	292.00	292.00
23	Red Asphalt AC7 Laterite	3%	395.00	391.00	387.00	357.00	357.00
24	Red Asphalt AC10 Granite	1%	280.00	276.00	272.00	252.00	252.00
25	Red Asphalt AC10 Laterite	1%	365.00	361.00	357.00	337.00	337.00
26	Red Asphalt AC10 Granite	2%	300.00	296.00	292.00	272.00	272.00
27	Red Asphalt AC10 Laterite	2%	380.00	376.00	372.00	352.00	352.00
28	Red Asphalt AC10 Granite	3%	320.00	316.00	312.00	292.00	292.00
29	Red Asphalt AC10 Laterite	3%	395.00	391.00	387.00	357.00	357.00
30	Traffic management	Included in asphalt price					
31	Profiling (Indicative)	Per Metre	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80

OPTION B - SUPPLY ON COUNCIL TRUCKS

			Load Size (t)				
			0 – 25	25 – 50	50 – 100	100 – 200	200+
			Cost \$/tonne excl. GST	Cost \$/tonne excl. GST	Cost \$/tonne excl. GST	Cost \$/tonne excl. GST	Cost \$/tonne excl. GST
Item	Mix Type	Marshal Blow					
1	AC7	50 blow	160.00	155.00	150.00	145.00	145.00
2	AC10	75 blow	160.00	155.00	150.00	145.00	145.00
3	AC14	75 blow	160.00	155.00	150.00	145.00	145.00
4	Red Asphalt AC7 Granite	1%	180.00	175.00	170.00	170.00	170.00
5	Red Asphalt AC7 Laterite	1%	265.00	261.00	257.00	237.00	237.00
6	Red Asphalt AC7 Granite	2%	200.00	195.00	190.00	190.00	190.00
7	Red Asphalt AC7 Laterite	2%	280.00	276.00	272.00	252.00	252.00
8	Red Asphalt AC7 Granite	3%	220.00	215.00	210.00	210.00	210.00
9	Red Asphalt AC7 Laterite	3%	295.00	291.00	287.00	257.00	257.00
10	Red Asphalt AC10 Granite	1%	180.00	175.00	170.00	170.00	170.00
11	Red Asphalt AC10 Laterite	1%	265.00	261.00	257.00	237.00	237.00
12	Red Asphalt AC10 Granite	2%	200.00	195.00	190.00	190.00	190.00
13	Red Asphalt AC10 Laterite	2%	280.00	276.00	272.00	252.00	252.00
14	Red Asphalt AC10 Granite	3%	220.00	215.00	210.00	210.00	210.00
15	Red Asphalt AC10 Laterite	3%	295.00	291.00	287.00	257.00	257.00

COVERAGE

Depth	Coverage (m ² /tonne)
15mm	27.78
20mm	20.84
25mm	16.67
30mm	13.89
35mm	11.91
40mm	10.42

OTHER

	Cost (excl GST)

Regulation Course (per tonne)	200.00
Tack Coat (deduction) (per m ²)	0.00

MOBILISATION

	Cost
Perth – Geraldton – Perth	0.00
Local Relocation – Per km	0.00

CARRIED 13/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

CI083	RFT 07 1415 – SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF READY MIXED CONCRETE
-------	---

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-74848
AUTHOR:	P Traylen, Principal Works Manager
EXECUTIVE:	N Arbuthnot, Director Community Infrastructure
DATE OF REPORT:	20 October 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	RO/6/0014
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x2 confidential)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The objective of this report is to gain Council approval to award the supply and delivery of ready mixed concrete to sites within the City. The successful Contractor will be appointed for a two year period. Should the Contractor be unable to meet a requirement by the Principal (including timeframes), the Principal reserves the right to seek quotes from other suppliers for that requirement.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 as amended RESOLVES to:

1. AWARD RFT 07 1415 supply and delivery of ready mixed concrete to sites within the City to the preferred tenderer; and
2. RECORD the tender details in the minutes.

PROPONENT:

The proponent is The City of Greater Geraldton.

BACKGROUND:

The tender was advertised in The West Australian on Saturday 13 September 2014. The tender was also advertised on the WALGA TenderLink e-Tendering Portal. Tender details were also available on the City's website. The closing date for the tender was 1pm Tuesday 30 September 2014. Five suppliers registered to receive copies of the tender, two submissions were received with one tender assessed as non-compliant as the required documentation was not provided.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:**Economic:**

The two yearly supply tenders allow Community Infrastructure managers and supervisors to cost effectively purchase goods and services from approved quality assured suppliers at known costs.

Social:

There are no social impacts.

Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Two yearly supply Contracts have been used for a number of years and have been proven to be an effective method of obtaining goods and services at competitive rates.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Tenders are called to determine a preferred supplier to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995 and with Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

Prices tendered are recorded and utilised for future project pricing. The table in the Confidential Attachment indicates prices of all materials and products.

Budget allocation is as a materials supply and delivery which is within the annual construction (budget projects) and maintenance budget provisions

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Economy	Transportation
Strategy 4.2.1	Developing more efficient transport options that are secure and safe to sustain our lifestyle

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

To develop a functional network of roads, paths and drainage

RISK MANAGEMENT

As part of the procurement process a risk rating analysis was undertaken. The project was allocated a risk rating of significant.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Staff have considered the following alternative options:

1. NOT PROCEED with this RFT 07 1415 Supply and delivery of ready mixed concrete and remain with the existing supplier.
2. RE-TENDER to source another supplier.

Alternative 1 was the two yearly tender process allows the City to obtain goods and services at current and competitive rates for the construction and maintenance of roads, drains and footpaths within the City.

Alternative 2 was discounted as one of the submissions received through this tender process were compliant and competitive. The submission gave the city an excellent cross section of availability of price, supply and service of the required goods and services.

Deputy Mayor Cr N McIlwaine declared a Direct Financial Interest in Item CI083 RFT 07 1415 – Supply and Delivery of Ready Mixed Concrete as he is a salaried employee of one of the tenderers.

Carried En Bloc see Page 13

COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR HALL, SECONDED CR THOMAS

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 as amended RESOLVES to:

- 1. AWARD RFT 07 1415 supply and delivery of ready mixed concrete to sites within the City to Holcim; and**
- 2. RECORD the tender details in the minutes.**

1.1.1 Price Schedule - Holcim

N25

Load Size (m ³)	10mm		14mm		20mm				
	Delivered \$/m ³ excl GST	Pick Up \$/m ³ excl GST	Delivered \$/m ³ excl GST	Pick Up \$/m ³ excl GST	Delivered \$/m ³ excl GST	Pick Up \$/m ³ excl GST	10mm	14mm	20mm
0.1									
0.2									
0.3									
0.4	436.00		433.00		430.00		174.40	173.20	172.00
0.5									
0.6	311.00		308.00		305.00		186.60	184.80	183.00
0.7									
0.8	249.00		246.00		243.00		199.20	196.80	194.40
0.9									
1 - 1.8	226.00		223.00		220.00				
2 - 2.8	212.00		209.00		206.00				
1.1 – 3.0									
>3.0	198.00		195.00		192.00				

N32

Load Size (m ³)	10mm		14mm		20mm	
	Delivered \$/m ³ excl GST	Pick Up \$/m ³ excl GST	Delivered \$/m ³ excl GST	Pick Up \$/m ³ excl GST	Delivered \$/m ³ excl GST	Pick Up \$/m ³ excl GST
0.1						
0.2						
0.3						
0.4	449.00		446.00		443.00	
0.5						
0.6	324.00		321.00		318.00	
0.7						
0.8	262.00		259.00		256.00	
0.9						
1 - 1.8	239.00		236.00		233.00	
2 - 2.8	225.00		222.00		219.00	
1.1 – 3.0						
>3.0	211.00		208.00		205.00	

N32		
10mm	14mm	20mm
179.60	178.40	177.20
194.40	192.60	190.80
209.60	207.20	204.80

N40

Load Size (m ³)	10mm		14mm		20mm	
	Delivered \$/m ³ excl GST	Pick Up \$/m ³ excl GST	Delivered \$/m ³ excl GST	Pick Up \$/m ³ excl GST	Delivered \$/m ³ excl GST	Pick Up \$/m ³ excl GST
0.1						
0.2						
0.3						
0.4	463.00		460.00		457.00	
0.5						
0.6	338.00		335.00		332.00	
0.7						
0.8	276.00		273.00		270.00	
0.9						
1 - 1.8	253.00		250.00		247.00	
2 - 2.8	239.00		236.00		233.00	
1.1 – 3.0						
>3.0	225.00		222.00		219.00	

N40		
10mm	14mm	20mm
185.20	184.00	182.80
202.80	201.00	199.20
220.80	218.40	216.00

Mobilisation / demobilisation rates (additional to metreage rate)

	Mob - km / m3	Demob
City to 7km radius	0.00	0.00
8 - 200 km	1.60	0.00
50 – 100 km		
100 – 150 km		

Decorative and coloured - POA

CARRIED 13/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

CI085	RFT 09 1415 – SUPPLY, SUPPLY LAY BITUMINOUS PRODUCTS
-------	--

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-74876
AUTHOR:	P Traylen, Principal Works Manager
EXECUTIVE:	N Arbuthnot, Director Community Infrastructure
DATE OF REPORT:	27 October 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	RO/6/0014
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x2 Confidential)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The objective of this report is to gain Council approval to award the supply, supply lay bituminous products to sites within the City. The successful Contractor will be appointed for a two year period. Should the Contractor be unable to meet a requirement by the Principal (including timeframes), the Principal reserves the right to seek quotes from other suppliers for that requirement.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 as amended RESOLVES to:

1. AWARD RFT 09 1415 supply, supply lay bituminous products to sites within the City to the preferred tenderer; and
2. RECORD the tender details in the minutes.

PROPONENT:

The proponent is The City of Greater Geraldton.

BACKGROUND:

The tender was advertised in The West Australian on Saturday 13 September 2014. The tender was also advertised on the WALGA TenderLink e-Tendering Portal. Tender details were also available on the City's website. The closing date for the tender was 1pm Tuesday 30 September 2014.

Eight suppliers registered to receive copies of the tender, three submissions were received from:

- Catwest Pty Ltd
- Downer EDI Works Pty Ltd
- Fulton Hogan Industries Pty Ltd

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:**Economic:**

The two yearly supply tenders allow Community Infrastructure managers and supervisors to cost effectively purchase goods and services from approved quality assured suppliers at known costs.

Social:

There are no social impacts.

Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Two yearly supply Contracts have been used for a number of years and have been proven to be an effective method of obtaining goods and services at competitive rates.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Tenders are called to determine a preferred supplier to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995 and with Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

Prices tendered are recorded and utilised for future project pricing. The table in the Confidential Attachment indicates prices of all materials and products.

Budget allocation is as a materials supply and delivery which is within the annual construction (budget projects) and maintenance budget provisions

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Economy	Transportation
Strategy 4.2.1	Developing more efficient transport options that are secure and safe to sustain our lifestyle

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

To develop a functional network of roads, paths and drainage.

RISK MANAGEMENT

As part of the procurement process a risk rating analysis was undertaken. The project was allocated a risk rating of significant.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Staff have considered the following alternative options:

1. NOT PROCEED with this RFT 09 1415 Supply, supply lay bituminous products and remain with the existing supplier.
2. RE-TENDER to source another supplier.

Alternative 1 was discounted as the two yearly tender process allows the City to obtain goods and services and current and competitive rates for the construction and maintenance of roads, drains and footpaths within the City.

Alternative 2 was discounted as the submissions received through this tender process were compliant and competitive. The submissions gave the City an excellent cross section of price, supply and service of the required goods and services.

Deputy Mayor Cr N McIlwaine declared a Direct Financial Interest in Item C1085 RFT 09 1415 – Supply, Supply and Lay Bituminous Products as he is a salaried employee of the company supplying products to the tenderers.

Carried En Bloc see Page 13

COUNCIL DECISION**MOVED CR HALL, SECONDED CR THOMAS**

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 as amended RESOLVES to:

1. **AWARD RFT 09 1415 supply, supply lay bituminous products to sites within the City to Fulton Hogan; and**
2. **RECORD the tender details in the minutes.**

**Price Schedule - Fulton
Hogan
OPTION A – SUPPLY OF GOODS AND
SERVICES**

Type	\$/L based on Total L/visit											
	0 - 5000		5001 - 9500		9501 – 14000		14001 – 20000		20001 – 28000		28001<	
	Rate ex GST	Rate GST inc	Rate ex GST	Rate GST inc	Rate ex GST	Rate GST inc	Rate ex GST	Rate GST inc	Rate ex GST	Rate GST inc	Rate ex GST	Rate GST inc
Polymer Modified Bitumen	11.60		4.60		3.40		2.90		2.45		2.25	
Polymer Modified Interlayer (SAM)	11.75		4.75		3.55		3.05		2.60		2.40	
Emulsion	9.68		4.02		2.79		2.30		1.86		1.67	
Enrichment	3.72		1.81		1.42		1.30		1.30		1.30	
Hot Bitumen	9.91		4.25		3.02		2.53		2.09		1.90	
Cationic Emulsion Rapidset	9.68		4.02		2.79		2.30		1.86		1.67	
Double washed aggregate 7 mm	72.00											
Double washed aggregate 10 mm	40.00											
Double washed aggregate 14 mm	40.00											

Type	\$/L Adjustment per % cutback											
	0 - 5000		5001 - 9500		9501 – 14000		14001 – 20000		20001 – 28000		28001<	
	Rate ex GST	Rate GST inc	Rate ex GST	Rate GST inc	Rate ex GST	Rate GST inc	Rate ex GST	Rate GST inc	Rate ex GST	Rate GST inc	Rate ex GST	Rate GST inc
Polymer Modified Bitumen												
Polymer Modified Interlayer (SAM)												
Emulsion												
Enrichment												
Hot Bitumen	0.03		0.03		0.03		0.03		0.03		0.03	
Cationic Emulsion Rapidset												
Double washed aggregate												

Additional cost for per-coating \$/L			
Type	Rate ex GST	GST	Rate inc GST
Polymer Modified Bitumen	0.20		
Polymer Modified Interlayer (SAM)	0.20		
Emulsion	-		
Enrichment	-		
Hot Bitumen	0.20		
Cationic Emulsion Rapidset	-		
Double washed aggregate			

	Rate ex GST	GST	Rate inc GST
Additional Cost for Design Service (\$/10000L)	0.20		

		Rate per m ³ (\$/m ³)	GST	Rate inc GST
Mobilisations Each	From distance less than 25km from Geraldton Post Office	750.00		
	From distance greater than 25km and less than 50km packaged with other works (eg Shire of Chapman Valley etc)	2000.00		
	Separate Mobilisation (distances greater than 50km)	8500.00		

CARRIED 13/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed

CI086	RFT 11 1415 – SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF DRAINAGE PRODUCTS
-------	--

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-74899
AUTHOR:	P Traylen, Principal Works Manager
EXECUTIVE:	N Arbuthnot, Director
DATE OF REPORT:	27 October 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	RO/6/0014
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes x 2 confidential

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The objective of this report is to gain Council approval to award the supply and delivery of drainage products to sites within the City. The successful Contractor will be appointed for a two year period. Should the Contractor be unable to meet a requirement by the Principal (including timeframes), the Principal reserves the right to seek quotes from other suppliers for that requirement.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 as amended RESOLVES to:

1. AWARD RFT 11 1415 supply and delivery of drainage products to sites within the City to the preferred tenderer; and
2. RECORD the tender details in the minutes.

PROPONENT:

The proponent is The City of Greater Geraldton.

BACKGROUND:

The tender was advertised in The West Australian on Saturday 13 September 2014. The tender was also advertised on the WALGA Tender Link e-Tendering Portal. Tender details were also available on the City's website. The closing date for the tender was 1pm Tuesday 30 September 2014. Fifteen suppliers registered to receive copies of the tender, two compliant submissions were received from:

- Humes (Holcim)
- GNC Concrete & Precast

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:**Economic:**

The two yearly supply tenders allow Community Infrastructure managers and supervisors to cost effectively purchase goods and services from approved quality assured suppliers at known costs.

Social:

There are no social impacts.

Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Two yearly supply Contracts have been used for a number of years and have been proven to be an effective method of obtaining goods and services at competitive rates.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Tenders are called to determine a preferred supplier to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995 and with Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

Prices tendered are recorded and utilised for future project pricing. The table in the Confidential Attachment indicates prices of all materials and products.

Budget allocation is as a materials supply and delivery which is within the annual construction (budget projects) and maintenance budget provisions

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Economy	4.2 Transportation
Strategy 4.2.1	Developing more efficient transport options that are secure and safe to sustain our lifestyle

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

To develop a functional network of roads, paths and drainage

RISK MANAGEMENT

As part of the procurement process a risk rating analysis was undertaken.

The project was allocated a risk rating of significant.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Staff have considered the following alternative options:

1. NOT PROCEED with this RFT 11 1415 Supply and delivery of drainage products and remain with the existing supplier.
2. RE-TENDER to source another supplier.

Alternative 1 was discounted as the two yearly tender process allows the City to obtain goods and services and current and competitive rates for the construction and maintenance of roads, drains and footpaths within the City.

Alternative 2 was discounted as the submissions received through this tender process were compliant and competitive. The submissions gave the City an excellent cross section of price, supply and service of the required goods and services

Deputy Mayor Cr N McIlwaine declared a Direct Financial Interest in Item C1086 RFT 11 1415 – Supply and Delivery of Drainage Products as he is a salaried employee of one of the tenderers.

Carried En Bloc see Page 13

COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR HALL, SECONDED CR THOMAS

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 as amended RESOLVES to:

- 1. AWARD RFT 11 1415 supply and delivery of drainage products to sites within the City to Westcoast Precast Pty Ltd T/A GNC Concrete & Precast; and**
- 2. RECORD the tender details in the minutes.**

Westcoast Precast Pty LTd T/A GNC Concrete & Precast

Supply and Deliver

Class 2

Pipes

Pipe Diameter	Pipe Length	kg per metre	kg/pipe	\$ per m GST Excl	\$ per Pipe GST Excl	GST	\$ per Pipe GST Incl
225	2.44	0.061	148	53.70	131.04	13.10	144.14
300	2.44	0.098	230	41.70	97.57	9.76	107.33
375	2.44	0.132	310	55.67	130.26	13.03	143.29
450	2.44	0.192	450	80.82	189.13	18.91	208.04
525	2.44	0.226	530	100.42	234.99	23.50	258.49
600	2.44	0.278	650	123.13	288.12	28.81	316.93
675	2.44	0.344	840	149.75	365.39	36.54	401.93
750	2.44	0.407	994	176.68	431.10	43.11	474.21
900	2.44	0.577	1350	255.76	598.47	59.85	658.32
1050	2.44	0.812	1900	319.14	746.79	74.68	821.47
1200	2.44	0.983	2300	411.37	962.60	96.26	1058.86
1350	2.44	1.060	2480	722.45	1690.54	169.05	1859.59
1500	2.44	1.517	3550	895.70	2095.94	209.59	2305.53
1650	2.44	1.709	4000	1241.87	2905.98	290.60	3196.58
1800	2.44	1.944	4550	1222.87	2861.50	286.15	3147.65

**Class 3
Pipes**

Pipe Diameter	Pipe Length	kg per metre	kg/pipe	\$ per m GST Excl	\$ per Pipe GST Excl	GST	\$ per Pipe GST Incl
225	2.44	0.061	148	54.22	132.30	13.23	145.53
300	2.44	0.098	230	46.85	109.62	10.96	120.58
375	2.44	0.132	310	63.75	149.17	14.92	164.09
450	2.44	0.192	450	92.55	216.56	21.66	238.22
525	2.44	0.226	530	113.20	264.88	26.49	291.37
600	2.44	0.278	650	140.14	327.92	32.79	360.71
675	2.44	0.579	1350	190.71	446.25	44.63	490.88
750	2.44	0.553	1350	221.09	539.47	53.95	593.42
900	2.44	0.718	1680	301.53	705.59	70.56	776.15
1050	2.44	0.855	2000	400.12	936.28	93.63	1029.91
1200	2.44	0.991	2320	526.07	1231.00	123.10	1354.10
1350	2.44	1.218	2850	941.42	2202.92	220.29	2423.21
1500	2.44	1.603	3750	1142.61	2673.70	267.37	2941.07
1650	2.44	1.680	4100	1565.53	3819.90	381.99	4201.89
1800	2.44	2.017	4720	1564.33	3660.54	366.05	4026.59

**Class 4
Pipes**

Pipe Diameter	Pipe Length	kg per metre	kg/pipe	\$ per m GST Excl	\$ per Pipe GST Excl	GST	\$ per Pipe GST Incl
225	2.44	0.061	148	54.74	133.56	13.36	146.92
300	2.44	0.098	230	56.44	132.07	13.21	145.28
375	2.44	0.132	310	76.08	178.03	17.80	195.83
450	2.44	0.192	450	109.37	255.97	25.60	281.57
525	2.44	0.226	530	134.09	313.76	31.38	345.14
600	2.44	0.278	650	164.44	384.78	38.48	423.26
675	2.44	0.577	1350	209.88	491.11	49.11	540.22
750	2.44	0.577	1350	240.54	562.87	56.29	619.16
900	2.44	0.718	1680	358.23	838.27	83.83	922.10
1050	2.44	0.949	2220	460.62	1077.85	107.79	1185.64
1200	2.44	1.077	2520	589.89	1380.33	138.03	1518.36
1350	2.44	1.329	3110	1068.91	2501.25	250.13	2751.38
1500	2.44	1.752	4100	1297.75	3036.73	303.67	3340.40
1650	2.44	1.967	4800	1866.10	4553.28	455.33	5008.61
1800	2.44	2.282	5340	1800.40	4212.94	421.29	4634.23

SUPPLY AND DELIVER BOX CULVERTS – (to include base slabs)**Small Span**

Nominal Sizes	Kg/Unit	@ per Unit GST Excl	GST	\$ per Unit GST Incl
300 x 150	156	86.44	8.64	95.08
Base slab	88	49.32	4.93	54.25
600mm link slab	114	63.00	6.30	69.30
375 x 225	204	113.54	11.35	124.89
Base slab	103	56.84	5.68	62.52
600mm link slab	134	91.71	9.17	100.88
450 x 150			#VALUE!	#VALUE!
450 x 225	243	134.61	13.46	148.07
450 x 300	275	153.04	15.30	168.34
450 x 375	300	184.00	18.40	202.40
450 x 450	332	187.33	18.73	206.06
450 x 600	450	299.00	29.90	328.90
Base slab	122	67.88	6.79	74.67
600mm link slab	170	115.89	11.59	127.48
600 x 225	307	170.38	17.04	187.42
600 x 300	342	190.09	19.01	209.10
600 x 375	372	206.21	20.62	226.83
600 x 450	404	224.64	22.46	247.10
600 x 600	461	255.60	25.56	281.16
Base slab	144	80.21	8.02	88.23
600 mm link slab	230	156.92	15.69	172.61
750 x 300	375	208.59	20.86	229.45
750 x 375	421	233.38	23.34	256.72
750 x 450	456	253.09	25.31	278.40
750 x 600	513	285.14	28.51	313.65
Base slab	187	103.72	10.37	114.09
600mm link slab	159	184.53	18.45	202.98
900 x 300	473	261.82	26.18	288.00
900 x 375	508	3282.63	328.26	3610.89
900 x 450	543	301.26	30.13	331.39
900 x 600	610	339.41	33.94	373.35
900 x 750	672	372.86	37.29	410.15
900 x 900	729	404.91	40.49	445.40
Base slab	254	140.77	14.08	154.85
600mm link slab	351	239.04	23.90	262.94
1200 x 300	732	406.19	40.62	446.81
1200 x 375	772	428.41	42.84	471.25
1200 x 450	812	450.63	45.06	495.69
1200 x 600	889	493.79	49.38	543.17
1200 x 750	958	532.06	53.21	585.27
1200 x 900	1025	569.12	56.91	626.03

1200 x 1200	1145	635.78	63.58	699.36
Base slab	497	276.47	27.65	304.12
1200 mm link slab	633	432.10	43.21	475.31

Large Span

Nominal Sizes	Kg/Unit	@ per Unit GST Excl	GST	\$ per Unit GST Incl
1500 x 750	1835	875.30	87.53	962.83
1500 x 900	1928	919.66	91.97	1011.63
1500 x 1200	2196	1047.49	104.75	1152.24
1500 x 1500	2464	1175.33	117.53	1292.86
1800 x 900	2150	1025.55	102.56	1128.11
1800 x 1200	2419	1153.86	115.39	1269.25
1800 x 1500	2687	1281.70	128.17	1409.87
1800 x 1800	2955	1409.54	140.95	1550.49
2100 x 1200	3775	1696.00	169.60	1865.60

SUPPLY AND DELIVER MISCELLANEOUS PRODUCTS

Chambers

Size	Cost GST Excl	GST	GST Incl	Weight kg
1050 x 1200	336.96	33.70	370.66	550
1050 x 900	252.72	25.27	277.99	420
1050 x 600	168.48	16.85	185.33	280
1050 x 300	84.70	8.47	93.17	140
1500 x 1200	280.80	28.08	308.88	1080
1500 x 900	248.40	24.84	273.24	810
1500 x 600	185.76	18.58	204.34	540
1500 x 300	137.50	13.75	151.25	290

Spacer Rings (to size)

Size	Cost GST Excl	GST	GST Incl	Weight kg
65mm	93.50			
100mm	95.70			
150mm	124.30			

Other Items

Item	Cost GST Excl	GST	GST Inc	Weight kg	Information
Manhole Cover with Lid (trafficable)	525.00	52.50	577.50	524	
Manhole Cover with Lid (non - trafficable)	220.00	22.00	242.00	386	
Soak wells 600	115.50	11.55	127.05	188	
Soak wells 900	147.00	14.70	161.70	300	
Soak wells	262.50	26.25	288.75	740	

1200					
Soak wells 1500	367.50	36.75	404.25	1100	
Soak wells 1800	420.00	42.00	462.00	1294	
Head walls 300	324.50	32.45	356.95	420	
Head walls 375	339.25	33.93	373.18	450	
Head walls 450	354.00	35.40	389.40	480	
Head walls 525	368.75	36.88	405.63	510	
Head walls 750	523.25	52.33	575.58	800	
Head walls 900	1331.70	133.17	1464.87	2400	
PVC/Plastic Stormwater Pipe 150mm – 900mm (600mm cover rating- trafficable) <i>StormPro or similar</i>	26.13 - 245.09 / m				
Slotted Pipe Heavy Duty 300mm – 900mm (trafficable)	35.00 - 205.00 / m				
Pit Base	120.00	12.00	132.00	378	
Combination Slab Complete	726.00	72.60	798.60	660	
Heavy Duty Gatic Lids (trafficable)	550.00	55.00	605.00	524	
(Solid Lid or Grated)	550.00	55.00	605.00	524	
Gully Grate with Frame(class 'D') <i>Wave Grate</i>	450.00	45.00	495.00	428	

Westcoast Precast Pty LTd T/A GNC Concrete & Precast

SUPPLY ONLY PIPES

Class 2 Pipes

Pipe Diameter	Pipe Length	kg per metre	kg/pipe	\$ per m GST Excl	\$ per Pipe GST Excl	GST	\$ per Pipe GST Incl
225	2.44	0.061	148	54.22	132.30	13.23	145.53
300	2.44	0.098	230	36.91	86.36	8.64	95.00
375	2.44	0.132	310	49.74	116.39	11.64	128.03
450	2.44	0.192	450	72.22	169.00	16.90	185.90
525	2.44	0.226	530	90.55	211.89	21.19	233.08
600	2.44	0.278	650	111.04	259.82	25.98	285.80
675	2.44	0.344	840	134.28	327.63	32.76	360.39
750	2.44	0.407	994	158.35	386.38	38.64	425.02
900	2.44	0.577	1350	230.64	539.70	53.97	593.67
1050	2.44	0.812	1900	277.38	649.06	64.91	713.97
1200	2.44	0.983	2300	361.55	846.02	84.60	930.62
1350	2.44	1.06	2480	669.71	1567.13	156.71	1723.84
1500	2.44	1.517	3550	817.51	1912.98	191.30	2104.28
1650	2.44	1.709	4000	1166.67	2730.00	273.00	3003.00
1800	2.44	1.44	4550	1126.80	2636.72	263.67	2900.39

Class 3 Pipes

Pipe Diameter	Pipe Length	kg per metre	kg/pipe	\$ per m GST Excl	\$ per Pipe GST Excl	GST	\$ per Pipe GST Incl
225	2.44	0.061	148	55.08	134.40	13.44	147.84
300	2.44	0.098	230	42.74	100.01	10.00	110.01
375	2.44	0.132	310	58.13	136.10	13.61	149.71
450	2.44	0.192	450	84.44	197.58	19.76	217.34
525	2.44	0.226	530	104.01	243.39	24.34	267.73
600	2.44	0.278	650	128.76	301.31	30.13	331.44
675	2.44	0.577	1350	155.40	363.63	36.36	399.99
750	2.44	0.553	1350	215.29	525.30	52.53	577.83
900	2.44	0.718	1680	268.94	629.32	62.93	692.25
1050	2.44	0.855	2000	347.55	813.28	81.33	894.61
1200	2.44	0.991	2320	463.61	1084.84	108.48	1193.32
1350	2.44	1.218	2850	864.69	2023.37	202.34	2225.71
1500	2.44	1.603	3750	1042.61	2439.70	243.97	2683.67
1650	2.44	1.68	4100	1525.82	3723.00	372.30	4095.30
1800	2.44	2.017	4720	1438.47	3366.01	336.60	3702.61

Class 4 Pipes

Pipe Diameter	Pipe Length	kg per metre	kg/pipe	\$ per m GST Excl	\$ per Pipe GST Excl	GST	\$ per Pipe GST Incl
225	2.44	0.061	48	58.65	143.10	14.31	157.41
300	2.44	0.098	230	52.77	123.49	12.35	135.84
375	2.44	0.132	310	71.14	166.47	16.65	183.12
450	2.44	0.192	450	102.29	239.35	23.94	263.29
525	2.44	0.226	530	126.00	294.84	29.48	324.32
600	2.44	0.278	650	154.52	361.53	36.15	397.68
675	2.44	0.577	1350	174.22	407.68	40.77	448.45
750	2.44	0.577	1350	215.36	503.95	50.40	554.35
900	2.44	0.817	1680	330.00	772.19	77.22	849.41

1050	2.44	0.949	2220	401.99	940.66	94.07	1034.73
1200	2.44	1.077	2520	523.33	1224.60	122.46	1347.06
1350	2.44	1.329	3110	985.98	2307.19	230.72	2537.91
1500	2.44	1.752	4100	1189.47	2783.35	278.34	3061.69
1650	2.44	1.967	4800	1839.34	4488.00	448.80	4936.80
1800	2.44	2.282	5340	1800.40	4212.94	421.29	4634.23

SUPPLY ONLY BOX CULVERTS (To include base slabs)

Nominal Sizes	Kg/Unit	@ per Unit GST Excl	GST	\$ per Unit GST Incl
750 x 600	513	254.10	25.41	279.51
Base slab	187	92.40	9.24	101.64
600mm linked slab	159	174.90	17.49	192.39
900 x 300	473	233.20	23.32	256.52
900 x 375	508	251.90	25.19	277.09
900 x 450	543	268.40	26.84	295.24
900 x 600	610	302.50	30.25	332.75
900 x 750	672	332.20	33.22	365.42
900 x 900	729	360.80	36.08	396.88
Base slab	254	125.40	12.54	137.94
600mm linked slab	351	217.80	21.78	239.58
1200 x 300	732	361.90	36.19	398.09
1200 x 375	772	381.70	38.17	419.87
1200 x 450	812	401.50	40.15	441.65
1200 x 600	889	440.00	44.00	484.00
1200 x 750	958	474.10	47.41	521.51
1200 x 900	1025	507.10	50.71	557.81
1200 x 1200	1145	566.50	56.65	623.15
Base slab	497	246.40	24.64	271.04
600mm linked slab	633	393.80	39.38	433.18

Large Span

Nominal Sizes	Kg/Unit	@ per Unit GST Excl	GST	\$ per Unit GST Incl
1500 x 750	1835	875.30	87.53	962.83
1500 x 900	1928	919.66	91.97	1011.63
1500 x 1200	2196	1047.49	104.75	1152.24
1500 x 1500	2464	1175.33	117.53	1292.86
1800 x 900	2150	1025.55	102.56	1128.11
1800 x 1200	2419	1153.86	115.39	1269.25
1800 x 1500	2687	1281.70	128.17	1409.87
1800 x 1800	2955	1409.54	140.95	1550.49
2100 x 1200	3775	1696.00	169.60	1865.60

SUPPLY ONLY MISCELLANEOUS PRODUCTS**Chambers**

Size	Cost GST Excl	GST	GST Incl	Weight kg
1050 x 1200	336.96	33.70	370.66	550

1050 x 900	252.72	25.27	277.99	420
1050 x 600	168.48	16.85	185.33	280
1050 x 300	84.70	8.47	93.17	140
1500 x 1200	280.80	28.08	308.88	1080
1500 x 900	248.40	24.84	273.24	810
1500 x 600	185.76	18.58	204.34	540
1500 x 300	137.50	13.75	151.25	290

Spacer Rings (to size)

Size	Cost GST Excl	GST	GST Incl	Weight kg
65mm	93.50	9.35	102.85	180
100mm	95.70	9.57	105.27	220
150mm	124.30	12.43	136.73	330

Other Items

Manhole Cover with Lid (trafficable)	525.00	52.50	577.50	524	
Soak wells 600	115.50	11.55	127.05	188	
Soak wells 900	147.00	14.70	161.70	300	
Soak wells 1200	262.50	26.25	288.75	740	
Soak wells 1500	367.50	36.75	404.25	1100	
Soak wells 1800	420.00	42.00	462.00	1294	
Head walls 300	324.50	32.45	356.95	420	
Head walls 375	339.25	33.93	373.18	450	
Head walls 450	354.00	35.40	389.40	480	
Head walls 525	368.75	36.88	405.63	510	
Head walls 750	523.25	52.33	575.58	800	
Head walls 900	1331.70	133.17	1464.87	2400	
PVC/Plastic Stormwater Pipe 150mm – 900mm (600mm cover rating-trafficable) StormPro or similar	26.13 - 245.09/m			3 - 28.53 / m	
Slotted Pipe Heavy Duty 300mm – 900mm (trafficable)	35.00 - 205.00 / m			3 - 28.53 / m	
Pit Base	120.00	12.00	132.00	378	
Combination Slab Complete	726.00	72.60	798.60	660	

Heavy Duty Gatic Lids (trafficable)	550.00	55.00	605.00	524	
(Solid Lid or Grated)	550.00	55.00	605.00	524	
Gully Grate with Frame(class 'D') Wave Grate	450.00	45.00	495.00	428	
Manhole Cover with Lid (non trafficable)	220.00	22.00	242.00	386	

CARRIED 13/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

Cr McIlwaine returned to Chambers at 5.48pm

CI084	RFT 08 1415 – SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF LATERITE GRAVEL, SAND, TOPSOIL AND LOAM
-------	--

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-74867
AUTHOR:	P Traylen, Principal Works Manager
EXECUTIVE:	N Arbuthnot, Director Community Infrastructure
DATE OF REPORT:	20 October 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	RO/6/0014
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x2 Confidential)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The objective of this report is to gain Council approval to award the supply and delivery of laterite gravel, sand, topsoil and loam to sites within the City. The successful Contractor will be appointed for a two year period. Should the Contractor be unable to meet a requirement by the Principal (including timeframes), the Principal reserves the right to seek quotes from other suppliers for that requirement.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 as amended RESOLVES to:

1. AWARD RFT 08 1415 supply and delivery of laterite gravel, sand, topsoil and loam to sites within the City to the preferred tenderer; and
2. RECORD the tender details in the minutes.

PROPONENT:

The proponent is The City of Greater Geraldton.

BACKGROUND:

The tender was advertised in The West Australian on Saturday 13 September 2014. The tender was also advertised on the WALGA TenderLink e-Tendering Portal. Tender details were also available on the City's website. The closing date for the tender was 1pm Tuesday 30 September 2014.

Five suppliers registered to receive copies of the tender, three submissions were received from:

- BJ Catalano
- Pirone Sand Supplies
- Tremor Sarb

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:**Economic:**

The two yearly supply tenders allow Community Infrastructure managers and supervisors to cost effectively purchase goods and services from approved quality assured suppliers at known costs.

Social:

There are no social impacts.

Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Two yearly supply Contracts have been used for a number of years and have been proven to be an effective method of obtaining goods and services at competitive rates.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Tenders are called to determine a preferred supplier to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995 and with Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

Prices tendered are recorded and utilised for future project pricing. The table in the Confidential Attachment indicates prices of all materials and products.

Budget allocation is as a materials supply and delivery which is within the annual construction (budget projects) and maintenance budget provisions

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Economy	Transportation
Strategy 4.2.1	Developing more efficient transport options that are secure and safe to sustain our lifestyle

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

To develop a functional network of roads, paths and drainage

RISK MANAGEMENT

As part of the procurement process a risk rating analysis was undertaken. The project was allocated a risk rating of significant.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Staff have considered the following alternative options:

1. NOT PROCEED with this RFT 08 1415 Supply and delivery of laterite gravel, sand, topsoil and loam and remain with the existing supplier.
2. RE-TENDER to source another supplier.

Alternative 1 was discounted as the two yearly tender process allows the City to obtain goods and services and current and competitive rates for the construction and maintenance of roads, drains and footpaths within the City.

Alternative 2 was discounted as the submissions received through this tender process were compliant and competitive. The submissions gave the City an excellent cross section of price, supply and service of the required goods and services.

COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR THOMAS, SECONDED CR GRAHAM

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.18 of the Local Government Act 1995 as amended RESOLVES to:

1. **AWARD RFT 08 1415 supply and delivery of laterite gravel, sand, topsoil and loam to sites within the City to Pirone's Sand Supplies; and**
2. **RECORD the tender details in the minutes**

1.1.1 Price Schedule - Pirone's Sand Supplies

Item	Conversion Factors	Load Size							
		\$ / Tonne excl GST							
		0 – 25t		25 – 100t		100 – 500t		>500t	
Ex Pit	Delivery to Council	Ex Pit	Delivery to Council	Ex Pit	Delivery to Council	Ex Pit	Delivery to Council		
Laterite Gravel – Natural	1.5-1.6								10.50
Laterite Gravel – Processed	1.5-1.6								14.00
Sand	1.3-1.4								9.00
Softfall Sand	1.2-1.4								10.50
Loam	1.3-1.4								10.00
Landscaping blend	1.3-1.4								12.00

Variation Delivery Rate for other Locations (within 15kms)	\$/Tonne/km Ex GST
Location of Gravel pit	
Distance to Gravel pit to Council Depot	
Location of Sand pit	
Distance of Sand pit to Council Depot	
Landscaping blend to job	
Variation Delivery Rate for other Locations (15-50kms)	\$/Tonne/km Ex GST
Location of Gravel pit	
Distance to Gravel pit to Council Depot	
Location of Sand pit	
Distance of Sand pit to Council Depot	
Landscaping blend to job	

Variation Delivery Rate for other Locations(50-100kms)	\$/Tonne/km Ex GST
Location of Gravel pit	
Distance to Gravel pit to Council Depot	
Location of Sand pit	
Distance of Sand pit to Council Depot	
Landscaping blend to job	

CARRIED 14/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

CI087	MULTI USER FACILITY PRELIMINARY DESIGN AND REVISED BUDGET
-------	---

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-81943
AUTHOR:	G Sherlock, Manager Project Delivery & Infrastructure Management
EXECUTIVE:	N Arbuthnot, Director Community Infrastructure
DATE OF REPORT:	4 December 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	PM/4/0030
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	The City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x1 Confidential)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The objective of this report is to seek Council endorsement of the revised budget to deliver the Multi-User Facility (MUF) & Youth Precinct.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Absolute Majority pursuant to Section 6.8(1)(b) of the Local Government Act RESOLVES to:

1. ENDORSE the revised budget \$10,580,000 for the design and delivery of the Multi-User Facility and Youth Precinct; and
2. AUTHORISE the calling of tenders for the construction of the Multi-User Facility (MUF) and Youth Precinct in accordance with the design outcomes of the Concept Design Report issued 5 September 2014.

PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

BACKGROUND:

The Redevelopment of the Geraldton Foreshore commenced in the early 2,000's with the aim of turning an industrial brownfield site, with a rail line and fence separating the ocean from the CBD, into an activated landscaped public area with facilities to accommodate the needs of the community. Phase One has been completed and has become a highly valued community asset. Phase two known as the West End is currently underway. This consists of four separate, yet interconnected elements:

1. The Esplanade/Eastern Breakwater (Completed)
2. Original Railway Station Refurbishment (Completed)
3. The Multi User Facility (Design Stage)
4. The Youth Precinct (Design Stage)

While all four elements are separate projects they are also interconnected. It is therefore essential that each element is mindful of the others to give an overall cohesion to the West End, facilitating a holistic and seamless appearance, use and identity. The two elements that are the most closely aligned are the Multi User Facility and the Youth Precinct, as such these two

projects were awarded to one contractor, CHRISTOU Design Group, as one design project.

The City of Greater Geraldton working with CHRISTOU Design Group and the project stakeholders has progressed with the development of the design for the Multi User Facility & Youth Precinct based on the design outcomes of the Concept Design Report issued 5 September 2014. The project is currently at the completion of design development (80% design) and it is anticipated that all documentation will be completed by mid-January 2015.

Initial funding for the delivery of both elements has been provided by Lotterywest, Regional Development Australia (RDAF) and the City of Greater Geraldton totalling \$4,750,000 for the MUF and \$1,940,000 for the Youth Precinct. The total funds available for the project are \$6,690,000. Of the foregoing amount the City's contribution totals \$1,520,000.

The current design total estimate including a 25% contingency, design costs, and project management totals \$10,580,000. This leaves a current shortfall in available funds of \$3,890,000.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:

Economic:

The project will create a new Multi User Facility & Youth precinct to house various stakeholders together with an open stage area and public open space for small to large events. There will be provisions for outdoor retail opportunities and outlets for the community. The project will complete the redevelopment of the foreshore, activate the West End and assist with the promotion of Geraldton as a must visit destination for the growing tourist market.

Social:

This project will provide connectivity for the West End Projects and will be purpose built to cater for the needs and requirement of the current stakeholders occupying the immediate area. The stage area will be the main event space for small and large gatherings.

The Youth Precinct will provide for identified needs of the Geraldton youth from age 10 to young adults through the promotion of group and individual physical activities along with spaces for social gatherings.

Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

Cultural & Heritage:

There will be no cultural or heritage impacts as these have been addressed in the current Railway Building Refurbishment Project and the Merry Go Round is being refurbished and reinstated in the same location as a fixed structure.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

There are no relevant precedents.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

This project has been brought before Council for discussion on a number of occasions at Concept Forums.

There has also been a considerable amount of engagement on this project. All primary stakeholders (TS Morrow, Geraldton & Districts Offshore Fishing Club, Geraldton Volunteer Marine & Sea Rescue and the Youth of Geraldton) have been engaged on 3 separate occasions and all primary stakeholders fully support the project.

Secondary stakeholders (Geraldton Angling Club, Geraldton Senior College, Geraldton Yacht Club and Events Committees & Groups) have been engaged on 1-2 separate occasions (depending on availability). All groups again fully support the project.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

There are no legislative or policy implications.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

The project budget that was originally established by the City of Greater Geraldton for the delivery of the project was \$6.69M (Exc GST). The original budget was established without the aid of preliminary designs and associated estimates.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Economy	4.1 Lifestyle and Vibrancy
Strategy 4.1.3	Revitalising the CBD through economic, social and culture vibrancy

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

The completion of the project in its current form would see a positive impact on the region with additional attractions and facilities being provided for tourists, sporting groups and the youth.

RISK MANAGEMENT

There is a risk in trying to accommodate the project within the current budget as this would compromise on the need and requirements of the stakeholders and the community and would not provide a facility that is commensurate with the high quality of the other elements of the Foreshore.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Alternative options considered included three concepts presented by the design Architect. The concept that met the functional requirements for the MUF was chosen as the preferred option. This proposal was then reworked by downsizing the building to fit within the budget constraints. The reworked

designs lost functionality of the building and reduced opportunities for concurrent multiple use of the building.

The current design allows multiple concurrent use of the building in conjunction with the stage and outdoor spaces. Staging of the building is neither desirable nor recommended as the impact would be identical to downsizing the building footprint.

Staging of the youth precinct has been considered and while this is possible the impact and vitality of the entire project would be compromised. Staging of the youth precinct would require additional funding of \$2,245,000 over current available funding and add to the funding required for future stages. This option substantially reduces the identity, functionality and activities proposed for the youth precinct while retaining the building envelope and functionality for the MUF.

COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR DOUGLAS, SECONDED CR VAN STYN

That Council by Absolute Majority pursuant to Section 6.8(1)(b) of the Local Government Act RESOLVES to:

- 1. ENDORSE the design of the Multi-User Facility and Youth Precinct in accordance with the design outcomes of the Concept Design Report issued 5 September 2014;**
- 2. AUTHORISE the calling of tenders for the construction of the Multi-User Facility (MUF) and Youth Precinct as four separable portions The separable portions being : -**
 - a) Civil and bulk earthworks.**
 - b) Multi User Facility Building and services works.**
 - c) Youth Precinct Stage 1.**
 - d) Youth Precinct Stage 2; and**
- 3. DIRECT the Chief Executive Officer, following analysis of Tenders received, to provide a report for Council decision identifying the works option that best fits with the City's long term financial plan and Capital works program having regard for the available funding and any impact on future capital works and services.**

CARRIED 12/2

6:17:13 PM

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. McIlwaine	YES
Cr. Van Styn	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Hall	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Thomas	NO
Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. Critch	N/V

Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES
Cr. Tanti	YES
Cr. deTrafford	YES
Cr. Clune	NO

REASON FOR VARIATION TO THE EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION: To endorse the design of the Multi-user Facility and Youth precinct, and the calling of and review of tenders, without committing the City to a financial commitment.

12 REPORTS OF CORPORATE & COMMERCIAL SERVICES

CCS091	COUNCIL POLICY CP053 LIVE STREAMING OF MEETINGS and COUNCIL POLICY CP013 RECORD KEEPING POLICY
--------	---

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-79914
AUTHOR:	D Duff, Manager ICT Services
EXECUTIVE:	B Davis, Director Corporate and Commercial Services
DATE OF REPORT:	21 November 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	GO/14/0001
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x2)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This report seeks adoption of Council of revised editions of existing Council Policies CP053 Live Streaming of Meetings and CP013 Record Keeping Policy.

The purpose of policy CP 053 is to provide the principles for the live streaming of meetings. It is suggested in the policy that the meetings that would be streamed would be council ordinary meetings, special meetings, agenda briefing forums and electors' meetings

The purpose of policy CP013 Record Keeping Policy is to establish a framework for the City of Greater Geraldton to effectively fulfil its obligations and statutory requirements under the State Records Act.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 5.20 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT the revised edition of its existing Council Policy CP053 Live Streaming of Meetings; and
2. ADOPT the revised edition of its existing Council Policy CP013 Record Keeping Policy.

PROponent:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton

BACKGROUND:

Council Policy CP053: The City is committed to engaging with its community and recognises that providing opportunities for the community to attend council meetings remotely will result in more informed and engaged residents. The policy has been reviewed and updated. Minor changes made to the policy are:

- **Deleted:** *Definitions- Mayor means the Mayor elected by electors of the district of the City of Greater Geraldton.*

CEO means the Chief Executive Officer of the City.

- **Added:** Definitions – Council means the Council of City of Greater Geraldton.
- **Amended:** Roles and Responsibilities – Change from Manager Governance and Risk to Manager ICT Services.

Council Policy CP013: Council has legal obligations in relation to records management and records are themselves subject to legislation, such as the Freedom of Information Act 1991, and legal processes, such as discovery and subpoenas.

Changes made to the policy are:

- **Section Amended from:** *Objectives* – Council has legal obligations in relation to records management and records are themselves subject to legislation, such as the Freedom of Information Act 1991, and legal processes, such as discovery and subpoenas.

Records may also be required by external parties such as:

- a. Royal Commission inquiry;
- b. Ombudsman;
- c. Courts;
- d. Auditors;
- e. Crime and Corruption Commission (CCC); and
- f. Other people or bodies to whom or which they may be subject.

The City operates in an accountable and community orientated environment and is committed to maintaining a records management system that meets its business needs and accountability requirements.

- **Section Amended to:** *Objectives*- To establish a framework for the City of Greater Geraldton to effectively fulfil its obligations and statutory requirements under the State Records Act. Council has an obligation to maintain official records in its custody in good order and condition. This includes the capture, storage, maintenance and disposal of physical records and also records in electronic format.
- **Deleted:** *Definitions* - CHUCK is an acronym of CGG Holistic Universal Corporate Knowledge and refers to the City's electronic management system.
- **Deleted :** *Roles and Responsibilities* –

Chief Executive Officer

The Chief Executive Officer is to ensure that an organisational system for the capture and management of records is established that is compliant with legislative requirements and best practice standards.

Directors and Managers

All Directors and Managers are to ensure record keeping policy and procedures are known and adhered to in their area of responsibility

Records Supervisor / Records Staff

Responsibilities for operational activities of the Records Department:
Ensuring that official records are managed in accordance with the State Records Act & Record Keeping Plan;

- a. Establishing records management policies and procedures for the Council as a whole;
- b. Establishing corporate standards for Records Management;
- c. The efficient day to day management of Councils Records (hardcopy & electronic);
- d. Effective management and system administration of Councils primary record keeping system;
- e. Working with other accountability stakeholders, including FOI officers and Senior Management, to ensure record keeping systems support organisational and public accountability;
- f. Providing Council staff and Council members with appropriate training and tools to allow them to meet their records management responsibilities;
- g. Implementing this Policy and all other Record Keeping Plans, Procedures and Strategies.

Council Staff

All Council staff and Council members need to be aware of record keeping requirements that affect the performance and exercise of their duties and functions. The record keeping obligations on Council staff and Council members include:

- a. Learning how and where records are kept within Council through induction processes;
- b. Making records to support the conduct of their business activities;
- c. Creating records as evidence of Council operations that otherwise not created;
- d. Not destroying Council records but forwarding to records for action;
- e. Following records management procedures;
- f. Making records to support the conduct of their business activities as evidence of Council operations and saving them into CHUCK; and
- g. Capturing work related incoming and outgoing emails into CHUCK.

Mayor and Councillors

The State Records Commission has a policy regarding the creation and retention of records received by elected members.

Elected members **must** create and keep records of communications or transactions, which convey information relating to local government business or functions. These records should be forwarded to the City's

Records Department for capture into the official recordkeeping system. This policy applies regardless of a record's format or where it was received.

- **Added:** Roles and Responsibilities - The Manager ICT Services is responsible for implementing this policy.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:

Economic:

There are no economic impacts.

Social:

There are no social impacts.

Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

This report proposes renewal of Council's previous policies.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Council Policy CP053: Currently Council's Meeting Procedures Local Law allows the recording of proceedings of the Council meeting only with the permission of the Mayor.

Council Policy CP013:

State Records Act: Offences - "A government organisation employee who does not keep a government record in accordance with the Record Keeping Plan of the organisation commits an offence". Penalty \$10,000

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

There are no financial or resource implications.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Governance Strategy 5.1.3	Community Engagement Fostering a more trusting relationship between the Council, City staff and the community to build trust through the engagement process
Title: Governance Strategy 5.2.7	Planning and Policy Ensuring efficient and effective delivery of service

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

There are no impacts to regional outcomes.

RISK MANAGEMENT

There are no specific risks associated with these policies.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

There were no alternative options considered.

COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR HALL, SECONDED CR BRICK

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 5.20 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. **ADOPT the revised edition of its existing Council Policy CP053 Live Streaming of Meetings; and**
2. **ADOPT the revised edition of its existing Council Policy CP013 Record Keeping Policy.**

CARRIED 12/2
6:24:57 PM

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. McIlwaine	YES
Cr. Van Styn	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Hall	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Thomas	NO
Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. Critch	N/V
Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES
Cr. Tanti	YES
Cr. deTrafford	YES
Cr. Clune	NO

CCS092	2013/2014 ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE CITY OF GREATER GERALDTON
--------	---

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-79899
AUTHOR:	P Radalj, Manager of Treasury & Finance
EXECUTIVE:	B Davis, Director of Corporate & Commercial Services
DATE OF REPORT:	26 November 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	GO/3/0002
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x2)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The purpose of this report is to seek Council's adoption of the 2013/2014 Audited Financial Statements and Annual Report for the City of Greater Geraldton.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Absolute Majority pursuant to Section 5.54 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT the City of Greater Geraldton Annual Financial Statements as audited, for the financial year ending 30 June 2014;
2. ADOPT the City of Greater Geraldton Annual Report (including the Annual Financial Report and the Auditors Report) for the financial year ending 30 June 2014; and
3. REQUIRE the CEO to make the Annual Report publicly available and to give public notice of an Annual Electors Meeting to be held on 3rd February 2015 pursuant to section 5.27(2) of the Act.

PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

BACKGROUND:

The 2013/2014 Annual Report (attached) has been prepared in accordance with Section 5.53 of the Local Government Act and includes the Audited Annual Financial Report.

The Annual Report highlights the City of Greater Geraldton's achievements in 2013/2014 under each of the five key goal areas contained within the City's Strategic Community Plan.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:**Economic:**

There are no economic impacts.

Social:

There are no social impacts.

Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

The acceptance of the Annual Report is a standard statutory requirement.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

Council's Audit Committee reviewed and recommended the adoption of the Annual Financial Report at its meeting held on 25 November 2014. The Audit Committee Minutes are tabled as a report to be received at this meeting. Once the Annual Report has been adopted by Council, the CEO will give local public notice of its availability to the public and will call an annual electors meeting to be held on 3 February 2015.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Section 5.53 of the Local Government requires the preparation of an Annual Report and details what has to be contained within.

Section 5.54 requires that the Annual Report for a financial year be accepted no later than 31 December after that financial year or within 2 months of receiving the auditor's report. Council is required to hold an Annual Electors Meeting within 56 days of acceptance of the Annual Report. Pending adoption of the Annual Report, the Annual Electors Meeting will be scheduled for 3 February 2015.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

There are no financial or resource implications.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Governance	Planning & Policy
Strategy 5.2.7	Ensuring efficient and effective delivery of service

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

There are no impacts to regional outcomes.

RISK MANAGEMENT

The related risk is associated with complying with the timelines identified within Section 5.54 of the Local Government Act.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Options are limited as the Annual Report is required by legislation to be adopted by Council by 31 December 2014.

COUNCIL DECISION**MOVED CR VAN STYN, SECONDED CR DOUGLAS**

That Council by Absolute Majority pursuant to Section 5.54 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. **ADOPT** the City of Greater Geraldton Annual Financial Statements as audited, for the financial year ending 30 June 2014;
2. **ADOPT** the City of Greater Geraldton Annual Report (including the Annual Financial Report and the Auditors Report) for the financial year ending 30 June 2014; and
3. **REQUIRE** the CEO to make the Annual Report publicly available and to give public notice of an Annual Electors Meeting to be held on 3rd February 2015 pursuant to section 5.27(2) of the Act.

CARRIED 14/0

6:30:32 PM

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. McIlwaine	YES
Cr. Van Styn	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Hall	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Thomas	YES
Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. Critch	N/V
Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES
Cr. Tanti	YES
Cr. deTrafford	YES
Cr. Clune	YES

CCS093 STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-81468
AUTHOR:	T Machukera, Management Accountant
EXECUTIVE:	B Davis, Director of Corporate and Commercial Services
DATE OF REPORT:	3 December 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	FM/17/0001
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x1)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The attached financial reports provide a comprehensive report on the City's finances to 30 November 2014. The statements include no matters of variance considered to be of concern.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Regulation 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 RESOLVES to:

1. RECEIVE the November 2014 monthly financial activity statements as attached.

PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

BACKGROUND:

The financial position at the end of November 2014 is detailed in the attached report and summarised as follows relative to year-to-date budget expectations:

Operating Income	\$30,866	0.1%	Positive Variance
Operating Expenditure	\$1,579,590	5.1%	Positive Variance
Net Operating	\$1,548,724		
Capital Expenditure	\$1,958,815	9.1%	Positive Variance
Capital Revenue	\$96,120	2.6%	Positive Variance
Cash at Bank - Municipal	\$18,600,522		
Cash at Bank – Reserve	\$16,256,002		
Total Funds Invested	\$29,406,305		
Net Rates Collected	79.10%		
Receivables Outstanding	\$1,770,149		

The attached report provides explanatory notes for items greater than 10% or \$50,000. This commentary provides Council with an overall understanding of how the finances are progressing in relation to the adopted budget.

The financial position represented in the November financials shows a positive variance of \$1,548,724 in the net operating result.

The closing funding surplus is due to year to date Capital expenditure being less than YTD budget, as a result of timing of works for buildings, roads, plant & equipment

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:

Economic:

There are no economic impacts.

Social:

There are no social impacts.

Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Council is provided with financial reports each month.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

There has been no community/councillor consultation.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Section 6.4 of the Local Government Act 1995 and Regulation 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 require that as a minimum Council is to receive a Statement of Financial Activity.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

Any issues in relation to expenditure and revenue allocations or variance trends are identified and addressed each month.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Governance	Planning and Policy
Strategy 5.2.7	Ensuring efficient and effective delivery of service

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

There are no impacts to regional outcomes.

RISK MANAGEMENT

There are no risks to be considered.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

There are no alternative options to consider.

COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR VAN STYN, SECONDED CR THOMAS

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Regulation 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 RESOLVES to:

- 1. RECEIVE the November 2014 monthly financial activity statements as attached.**

CARRIED 14/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

13 REPORTS OF CREATIVE COMMUNITIES

CC191	AMENDMENTS TO DISABILITY ACCESS AND INCLUSION PLAN
-------	--

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-81747
AUTHOR:	S Davidson, Community Development Officer
EXECUTIVE:	A Selvey, Director Creative Communities
DATE OF REPORT:	16 December 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	GO/6/0015
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x2)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The purpose of this report is to seek a Council resolution on the amendments to the 'Disability Access and Inclusion Plan 2013-18' and the 'Disability Access and Inclusion Policy' to include employment strategies for people with disabilities.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 5.20 of the Local Government Act RESOLVES to:

1. ADVERTISE the 'draft amendments to the Disability Access and Inclusion Plan' and CP025 'Disability Access and Inclusion Policy' for public comment for a period of 42 days; and
2. ADOPT the changes to the Disability Access and Inclusion Plan 2013-18 and the Disability Access and Inclusion Policy if no objections are received during the advertising period;

PROponent:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

BACKGROUND:

On 27 August 2013 Council adopted the Disability Access and Inclusion Plan and the Disability Access and Inclusion Policy.

COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR MIDDLETON, SECONDED CR CLUNE

That Council by Simple Majority in accordance with Section 5.20 of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to:

1. *ADOPT the Disability Access and Inclusion Plan 2013-18 and the Disability Access and Inclusion Policy.*

The Disability Services Commission (WA) advised all public authorities that an additional outcome requirement that states 'People with disability have the same opportunities as other people to obtain and maintain employment with a public authority' is required to be incorporated into the Disability Access and Inclusion Plan and submitted to the Commission by June 2015 to ensure all current plans are compliant with state legislation.

Following consultation with relevant stakeholders, The DAIP has been amended to include the following:

- 7.1 *Provide for the needs of people with disability to obtain and maintain employment with the City of Greater Geraldton.*
- 7.1.a *Develop, implement and promote access processes for the needs of people with disability in obtaining employment.*
- 7.1.b *Develop, implement and promote access processes for the needs of staff with disability to be supported in their employment.*
- 7.2 *Advocate to the community and commercial sectors to provide for the needs of people with disability to obtain and maintain employment*
- 7.2.a *Provide best practice information to the community and commercial sectors to develop provisions for the needs of people with disability to obtain and maintain employment.*

Policy amendment – addition of the following:

Outcome 7: People with disability have the same opportunities as other people to obtain and maintain employment with the City of Greater Geraldton.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:

Economic:

There are no economic impacts.

Social:

By amending and implementing the additional DAIP strategies the City can enhance the needs of people with disability by committing to accessible processes and alleviating physical barriers to obtaining and maintaining employment.

Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage impacts.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

There are no relevant precedents.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

The Community Development and Empowerment Team hosted a workshop with relevant sector service providers and City staff members where employment strategies for people with disability were developed to meet the new Disability Services Commission WA requirement. These strategies were later amended by the Disability Working Group (comprised of key staff members) and incorporated into the Disability Access and Inclusion Plan and Policy.

Stakeholders consulted included the following:

- Disability Services Commission;
- Mid-West Community Living Association;
- Regional Home Care Services;
- Advanced Personnel Management;
- ATLAS (Access to Leisure and Sport);
- Durack TAFE; and
- City of Greater Geraldton officers.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

It is a requirement of the WA Disability Services Act 2004 (WA), that all local government authorities develop and implement a Disability Access and Inclusion Plan. The Disability Services Commission (WA) advised all public authorities that an additional outcome requirement that states 'People with disability have the same opportunities as other people to obtain and maintain employment with a public authority' is required to be incorporated into the Disability Access and Inclusion Plan and submitted to the Commission by June 2015.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

This report recommends Council adopt the amendment to the Disability Access and Inclusion Policy to include employment opportunities for people with disability.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

Any financial impacts resulting in the amendments made to the Disability Access and Inclusion Plan 2013-18 will continue to be brought before Council as part of the annual budget process for Council consideration.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Social	Community Health and Safety
Strategy 3.5.1	Creating a city that supports family living, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities, multicultural groups, people with disabilities, young people and seniors.

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

All improvements to making our City more accessible and inclusive will have an accumulative impact on enhancing our standing as a liveable city, a major regional service centre and a tourism destination.

RISK MANAGEMENT

The City is required by legislation to comply with the reporting requirements of the Disability Services Commission under the Disability Services Act (WA) 1993. Non-compliance would contravene the legislation and place the City in disrepute.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Should Council resolve not to include the amendment, the City's DAIP will not be compliant with current State legislation, therefore the Executive recommendation is to adopt the amendments as recommended by the City's Disability Working Group.

COUNCIL DECISION**MOVED CR HALL, SECONDED CR BRICK**

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 5.20 of the Local Government Act **RESOLVES** to:

1. **ADVERTISE** the 'draft amendments to the Disability Access and Inclusion Plan' and CP025 'Disability Access and Inclusion Policy' for public comment for a period of 42 days; and
2. **ADOPT** the changes to the Disability Access and Inclusion Plan 2013-18 and the Disability Access and Inclusion Policy if no objections are received during the advertising period;

CARRIED 14/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

CC192 PROVISION OF FUNDS FOR PROPOSED 2015 ANZAC CENTENARY PROGRAM

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-81750
AUTHOR:	C Budhan, Manager Arts, Culture & Events
EXECUTIVE:	A Selvey, Director Creative Communities
DATE OF REPORT:	1 December 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	GO/6/0015
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	No

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This report seeks a Council resolution on the provision of funds for the City's proposed 2015 Anzac Centenary Program.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 6.3 of the Local Government Act RESOLVES to:

1. PROVIDE \$15,000 in funds for the City to participate in the Camp Gallipoli initiative and enter into an agreement with Camp Gallipoli to deliver the events detailed herein; and
2. SUPPORT a \$10,000 maximum contribution via use of venues and marketing for the City to partner with the University of Western Australia to deliver the UWA Centenary Program in Geraldton, and enter into an agreement with the University of WA to deliver the events as detailed herein.

PROponent:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

BACKGROUND:

Between 2014 and 2018 Australia is commemorating the Anzac Centenary, marking 100 years since the nation's involvement in the First World War. The Anzac Centenary includes commemoration of the original Anzacs who served at Gallipoli and the Western Front, and the past century of service by Australian servicemen and women generally.

At the time the 2014-15 budget was being developed and considered by Council, a specific concept for the implementation of a 2015 Anzac Centenary Program for the Greater Geraldton community had not been fully developed, therefore an amount of \$10,000, consistent with the annual Anzac Day budget, was included as part of the budget process.

Subsequent to the 2014-15 budget process, the City has been approached by Camp Gallipoli (hereafter "CG") and the University of Western Australia (hereafter "UWA") to present or partner in the presentation of Anzac Centenary commemoration events in Greater Geraldton. These events constitute the proposed 2015 Anzac Centenary Program.

CG has invited the City to commemorate the centenary of the 25th April 1915 landing at Anzac Cove by presenting a camping event, in which schools and families sleep out under the stars as the original Anzacs did. As part of this, they seek a fee of \$5,000 plus GST or 15% of ticket sales (whichever is greater) to provide the following licensing and materials:

- An audio-visual package tailored to Greater Geraldton, constituting an educational, respectful and moving tribute (includes pre-recorded footage and messages from the last surviving Anzacs along with messages and stories from Victoria Cross recipients);
- Licensing and public viewing rights to a new release feature film (currently being negotiated);
- Presentation of the RSL Anzac Flame and a replica torch to be kept by the local RSL Sub Branch;
- Advertising and marketing material;
- Negotiation and arrangement of regional visits by Camp Gallipoli Ambassadors to promote the significance of Anzac Day and the Camp Gallipoli event;
- Event program templates;
- Access to and support from Camp Gallipoli partners and suppliers (Target, Woolworths, Bendigo Bank, CUB, Atkins Family Wines), some of which have offered services direct to the organising committee in way of finances and staff to physically assist on each committee;
- Event signage;
- Access to CG Event staff to provide direction and advice on event hosting; and
- Camp Gallipoli to organize an umbrella event insurance policy, details of which are in the process of being finalised.

It is estimated that the total cost of the event will be approximately \$15,000, including the aforementioned fee paid to CG, equipment and infrastructure, insurance, marketing, security, and associated staff time. The City has held preliminary discussions with BUNDIYARRA Aboriginal Community Aboriginal Corporation regarding the possibility of holding the event on their property. To ensure broad accessibility, it is recommended that the event be free of charge to the public; and should Council choose to support this event as per the Executive Recommendation, the event can be offered at no cost for participants.

In addition, the City has been approached by UWA to partner in touring elements of their Anzac Centenary program to Greater Geraldton. These elements include: (1) two concerts; (2) a series of public lectures; and (3) a combined exhibition of various aspects of the Anzac tradition.

UWA has offered to provide the content, including fees, travel and accommodation for the performers and speakers, and the transportation of the exhibition. The UWA contribution, as their commitment to regional participation in Anzac Centenary events, is estimated at \$25,000. The City

would be responsible for providing the venues (e.g. the Queens Park Theatre), marketing, and associated staff time. The total cost to the City would be approximately \$10,000.

Both the Camp Gallipoli initiative and the UWA program are two discrete initiatives and therefore can be offered separately. However, both are likely to appeal to different audiences and together offer a more comprehensive program for this significant commemoration.

The total cost to the City to offer both elements for the proposed 2015 Anzac Centenary Program would be a maximum of \$25,000. As there is no capacity in the current budget to meet this cost, this report seeks a Council resolution on the provision of new funds.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:

Economic:

This report seeks a Council resolution on the provision of \$25,000 in funds to the proposed 2015 Anzac Centenary Program. Whilst the proposed 2015 Anzac Centenary Program is principally intended to deliver social and cultural outcomes, it is anticipated that it will attract a limited number of overnight visitors. Assuming 50 overnight visitors at a rate of \$234 per visitor (as per Tourism Research Australia's findings), the economic benefit would be \$11,700.

Social:

It is anticipated that more than 3,000 community members, including veterans and their families, would participate in the proposed 2015 Anzac Centenary Program. Furthermore, as discussed in the Culture & Heritage section, the Anzac Centenary is arguably the most important cultural heritage event of this decade.

Environmental:

There are no environmental impacts.

Cultural & Heritage:

The Anzac Centenary marks 100 years since the nation's involvement in the First World War. It includes commemoration of the original Anzacs who served at Gallipoli and the Western Front, and the past century of service by Australian servicemen and women generally. As such, it is arguably the most important cultural heritage event of this decade. The proposed 2015 Anzac Centenary Program recognises the Centenary's cultural heritage significance.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Council has previously provided funding for events. For example, at the Ordinary Meeting of Council on 28th October 2014, Council committed \$25,000 cash and \$10,000 in kind to Surfing WA's events and programs in the current financial year.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

On 20 March 2014, the City held an Anzac Centenary Workshop with stakeholders, including representatives of the local Returned and Services League of Australia branch, local cultural facilities, and local schools. All attendees were in favour of the City taking an active role in the presentation of Anzac Centenary events.

The City is currently in discussions with Bundiyarra Aboriginal Community Aboriginal Corporation regarding the possibility of holding the Camp Gallipoli event on their property.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

There are no legislative or policy implications.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

This report seeks a Council resolution on the provision of \$25,000 in funds to the proposed 2015 Anzac Centenary Program.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Culture	Our Heritage
Strategy 1.1.1	Recognising and protecting our history and restoring heritage sites and buildings

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

The proposed 2015 Anzac Centenary Program would constitute the most substantial Anzac Centenary commemoration in the Mid West region, and therefore be of regional significance.

RISK MANAGEMENT

To mitigate public relations risk, it is critical that the proposed 2015 Anzac Centenary Program be delivered in a manner that is respectful to the veterans and their families, and is consistent with military and Returned and Services League of Australia practices and protocols. To this end, the City will undertake comprehensive stakeholder consultation in the development and implementation of the Program.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

An alternative option would be to not provide funds for the proposed 2015 Anzac Centenary Program. However, this is not the preferred option, because it would fail to recognise the Centenary's cultural heritage significance.

Another option would be to support only one of the initiatives as the Camp Gallipoli Event and the UWA program are two discrete initiatives and therefore can be offered separately. However, both are likely to appeal to different audiences and together offer a more comprehensive program for this significant commemoration, hence the Executive Recommendation to support both.

Cr R deTrafford declared an Indirect Financial Interest in Item CC192 - Provision of Funds for Proposed 2015 ANZAC Centenary Program as he works for UWA and left Chambers at 6.33pm.

COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR DOUGLAS, SECONDED CR KEEMINK

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 6.3 of the Local Government Act RESOLVES to:

- 1. PROVIDE \$15,000 in funds for the City to participate in the Camp Gallipoli initiative and enter into an agreement with Camp Gallipoli to deliver the events detailed herein; and**
- 2. SUPPORT a \$10,000 maximum contribution via use of venues and marketing for the City to partner with the University of Western Australia to deliver the UWA Centenary Program in Geraldton, and enter into an agreement with the University of WA to deliver the events as detailed herein**

CARRIED 11/2

6:37:12 PM

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. McIlwaine	YES
Cr. Van Styn	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Hall	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Thomas	NO
Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. Critch	N/V
Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES
Cr. Tanti	NO
Cr. deTrafford	N/V
Cr. Clune	YES

Cr deTrafford returned to Chambers at 6.38pm

CC194 CORPORATE PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-81752
AUTHOR:	A Selvey, Director of Creative Communities
EXECUTIVE:	A Selvey, Director of Creative Communities
DATE OF REPORT:	16 December 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	GO/6/0015
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes x2

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This item seeks Council adoption of CP062 Corporate Partnerships Policy and the Everlasting City Partnership Program Prospectus which offer a structured, transparent and equitable approach to identifying, attracting and managing corporate sponsorships across the organisation.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION;

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.1 of the Local Government Act RESOLVES to:

1. ADOPT CP062 Corporate Partnerships Policy; and
2. ENDORSE the Everlasting City Partnership Program Prospectus.

PROPONENT:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton.

BACKGROUND:

Currently various teams within the City approach businesses for support (cash and in-kind) in delivering City owned projects. Excellent examples include the corporate sponsorship of events such as Australia Day, Big Sky Writers Festival, QPT shows and more recently, WA Country Builders, with their commitment to build a community asset and gift it to the City.

While the initiative shown in securing corporate sponsorship for these projects is acknowledged, it is appropriate that a more strategic, focussed, equitable and transparent process is followed. With this in mind, the City has developed a corporate partnerships concept for consideration by Council.

The program aims are:

- To form effective partnerships with the corporate sector that generate increased revenue to deliver initiatives that benefit the community, the City and corporate partners.
- To streamline the City's Corporate Partnerships process by making it more relevant and attractive in the current corporate environment.
- To establish a framework for managing partnerships that is clear, equitable and transparent.

- To ensure projects and initiatives considered for Corporate Partnerships are prioritised according to the Corporate Business Plan; the Long Term Financial Plan and have been through the rigours of the Project Management Framework where applicable.
- To ensure an equitable and consistent approach to seeking and recognising corporate partners.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:

Economic:

There are no economic impacts.

Social:

Many of the initiatives listed for consideration under the Everlasting program are programs and services that will have positive social outcomes for our community. In addition, the Everlasting program will encourage a stronger sense of collaboration and cooperation with the Corporate Sector, further enhancing social cohesion.

Environmental:

Many of the initiatives listed for consideration are those that will ensure environmental benefits.

Cultural & Heritage:

Many of the initiatives listed for consideration under the Everlasting program are programs and services that will have positive cultural outcomes for our community.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

There are no relevant precedents.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

The Everlasting program was discussed at the 2 December Council Concept Forum.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Should Council adopt the Everlasting program, CP062 will become a formal policy position for Council on Corporate Sponsorships/Partnerships.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

A policy principle for CP062 is that the Everlasting program will reduce the cost of facilities, programs and services to ratepayers and residents; therefore all initiatives currently being considered for this program have been included in the 2014/15 budget and corporate funding would aim to reduce the City's contribution without compromising on the delivery of the initiative.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Governance	Planning and Policy
Strategy 5.2.7	Ensuring efficient and effective delivery of service.

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

There are no impacts to regional outcomes.

RISK MANAGEMENT

The Everlasting program provides a structured approach to partnering with the corporate sector, thus reducing the risk of a potential for the perception of a lack of transparency and inequity.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

An alternative option would be to continue working with the corporate sector in the current manner without the structure offered by the Everlasting program.

COUNCIL DECISION**MOVED CR BRICK, SECONDED CR FIORENZA**

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 3.1 of the Local Government Act **RESOLVES** to:

1. **ADOPT CP062 Corporate Partnerships Policy; and**
2. **ENDORSE the Everlasting City Partnership Program Prospectus.**

CARRIED 14/0

6:40:26 PM

Mayor Carpenter	YES
Cr. McIlwaine	YES
Cr. Van Styn	YES
Cr. Graham	YES
Cr. Brick	YES
Cr. Hall	YES
Cr. Fiorenza	YES
Cr. Thomas	YES
Cr. Caudwell	YES
Cr. Critch	N/V
Cr. Douglas	YES
Cr. Keemink	YES
Cr. Tanti	YES
Cr. deTrafford	YES
Cr. Clune	YES

14 REPORTS OF OFFICE OF THE CEO
Nil.

15 REPORTS OF SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES

SC192	PROPOSED TOWN PLANNING SCHEME AMENDMENT – R30 REZONING, BERESFORD
-------	--

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-80472
AUTHOR:	K Elder, City Strategic Planner
EXECUTIVE:	P Melling, Director Sustainable Communities
DATE OF REPORT:	20 November 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	LP/7/0040
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	LandWest Urban and Rural Planning Consultants
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x1)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

An application has been received to initiate a scheme amendment to modify the residential density coding on Lot 9000 (No. 17) Ord Street, Beresford to “R30”.

This report recommends that Council initiate the scheme amendment.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Part 5 of the Planning and Development Act 2005 RESOLVES to:

1. AMEND Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton) by rezoning a portion of Lot 9000 (No. 17) Ord Street, Beresford to the “Residential” zone and modifying the Residential Density Coding to “R30”; and
2. PROCEED with advertising the scheme amendment in accordance with the requirements of the Planning and Development Act 2005.

PROPONENT:

The proponent is LandWest Urban and Rural Planning Consultants on behalf of Sunbelt Pty Ltd.

BACKGROUND:

Lot 9000 is 3.21 ha in area and is located approximately 1.5 km north-east of the Geraldton City Centre, in Beresford. The lot is bounded by the North West Coastal Highway to the east and Ord Street to the south. Nichols Street abuts the west and northern boundary of the lot, however only a portion of this road reserve is constructed. While Lot 9000 abuts North West Coastal Highway; Main Roads WA has advised that vehicle access to the Highway is not permitted.

Lot 9000 is currently zoned ‘Residential R12.5/30/40’ and ‘No Zone’ which reflects the proposed road location of an earlier subdivision plan. The lot contains two dwellings in the south east corner, fronting Ord Street and there are some larger mature trees located onsite.

The Water Corporation sewer infrastructure traverses the lot, running north from Ord Street parallel to the western boundary of the landholding to adjoining Lot 104. An easement in favour of the Water Corporation is over this infrastructure alignment.

This scheme amendment proposes to amend a minor zoning anomaly and modify the Residential Design Code density of Lot 9000 to "R30". An indicative subdivision plan has been included as part of the report to show how the area could be developed.

Relevant extracts of the scheme amendment document are included as Attachment No. SC192.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:

Economic:

The scheme amendment will facilitate infill development within the established Beresford residential area thus utilising existing infrastructure more efficiently.

Social:

The development of Lot 9000 will ultimately provide a range of housing choices and increase the residential amenity of the locality.

Environmental:

As part of the scheme amendment process and prior to public advertising the Environmental Protection Authority is required to assess the amendment under Part IV of the Environmental Protection Act.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural or heritage issues.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

The author is not aware of any relevant precedent set by previous Council or Executive.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

Should Council initiate the scheme amendment, it is required to be publicly advertised in accordance with the requirements of the Planning and Development Act 2005.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

The subject land is currently zoned 'No Zone' and "Residential R12.5/30/40" under Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton).

The scheme amendment proposes to rectify the zoning anomaly and zone all of Lot 9000 to "Residential" and modify the Residential Density Coding to "R30". This will lower the minimum and average lot sizes for green title lots from 700m² and 800m² to 260m² and 300m² and amend a number of other general site requirements. The "R30" zoning remains consistent with the

current zoning permitted for grouped dwellings under the “R12.5/30/40” tri-coding.

The objectives of the ‘Residential’ zone are:

Ensure that the City’s residential areas develop in a manner which provides a range of choice in housing with protection of residential amenity, achieved efficiency in provision of services and accessibility, and enhances townscape values generally.

It is considered that the scheme amendment is in accordance with these objectives as it provides a range of housing choices through an increase in residential density.

Part 5 of the Planning and Development Act 2005 provides for the amendment of a Local Planning Scheme.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

There are no financial or resource implications.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Governance	Planning and Policy
Strategy: 5.2.1	Responding to community aspirations by providing creative yet effective planning and zoning for future development.

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

Liveable Neighbourhoods (2007):

Liveable Neighbourhoods is an operational policy for the design and assessment of structure plans and subdivision for new urban areas.

Element 1 R18 states:

The lot layout should provide a mix of housing types, lot sizes and densities with smaller residential lots and higher density housing in areas close to town and neighbourhood centres, near public transport stops, and in areas with high amenity such as next to parks.

Residential Development Strategy (2013):

The Strategy is a response to the changing local and regional economic environment and the need to provide a logical, coherent, highly liveable and sustainable model for residential development in the City to meet the needs of all residents and build strong communities. It broadly indicated the extent of residential and future residential land along with existing and proposed rural living areas.

The subject land is identified as “Medium Density Residential (R30-R60)”.

RISK MANAGEMENT:

There are no inherent risks to the City in initiating this scheme amendment.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:

It is considered that the scheme amendment is consistent with the overall strategic planning framework shown in the Residential Development Strategy. The amendment proposed is of a minor nature and will facilitate medium density infill development in an established residential corridor which is in close proximity to a number of facilities and services.

The endorsed Residential Development Strategy clearly identifies the site for 'Medium Density Residential' and therefore the option to refuse to initiate the scheme amendment is not supported.

The option to defer the matter is not supported as there is considered to be sufficient information for Council to determine the matter.

COUNCIL DECISION**MOVED CR VAN STYN, SECONDED CR DETRAFFORD**

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Part 5 of the Planning and Development Act 2005 RESOLVES to:

- 1. AMEND Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton) by rezoning a portion of Lot 9000 (No. 17) Ord Street, Beresford to the "Residential" zone and modifying the Residential Density Coding to "R30"; and**
- 2. PROCEED with advertising the scheme amendment in accordance with the requirements of the Planning and Development Act 2005.**

CARRIED 14/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton's Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

SC193	FINAL ADOPTION OF TOWN PLANNING SCHEME AMENDMENT NO. 73 – HIGHWAY COMMERCIAL REZONING, WONTHELLA
-------	--

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-80478
AUTHOR:	M Thomson, Strategic Planning Officer
EXECUTIVE:	P Melling, Director Sustainable Communities
DATE OF REPORT:	27 November 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	LP/7/0039
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	LandWest Urban and Rural Planning Consultants
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x2)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The advertising period has concluded for Scheme Amendment No. 73 which proposes to rezone Lots 6, 7 & 8 North West Coastal Highway and Lot 5 Phelps Street, Wonthella to the 'Highway Commercial' zone.

This report recommends final approval of the amendment (subject to a modification to include Lot 30 Duke Street, Wonthella) and that it be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for final endorsement.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Part 5 of the Planning and Development Act 2005 RESOLVES to:

1. DETERMINE the submission as outlined in the 'Schedule of Submissions';
2. ADOPT for final approval Scheme Amendment No. 73 to Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton) subject to:
 - a. Including Lot 30 (No. 7) Duke Street, Wonthella as part of the 'Highway Commercial' zone; and
 - b. The modifications as outlined in the 'Schedule of Submissions'.
3. SEEK final approval of the scheme amendment from the Minister for Planning.

PROPONENT:

The proponent LandWest Urban and Rural Planning consultants on behalf of Waimay Pty Ltd.

BACKGROUND:

The land subject to this scheme amendment comprises of 4 lots located south west of the intersection of North West Coastal Highway and Phelps Street.

The lots are currently zoned 'Residential R12.5/40/50' with Lot 5 Phelps Street having an additional use of 'Industry – Service'. The following land uses exist on the site:

- Lot 5 (No. 129) Phelps Street – United Financial Services;

- Lot 6 (No. 127) North West Coastal Highway – Dwelling;
- Lot 7 (No. 125) North West Coastal Highway – Dwelling; and
- Lot 8 (No. 123) North West Coastal Highway – Dwelling.

The properties directly abutting the subject land area to the south and west are used for residential purposes.

The scheme amendment proposes to effectively join up the 'Highway Commercial' areas to the north and south which have already been rezoned. The amendment also proposes to delete the Additional Use A50 (Service Industry) from Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton).

It is worthwhile to note that the scheme amendment was initiated by the owner of Lots 7 and 8 North West Coastal Highway while the additional two lots (Lots 5 and 6 North West Coastal Highway) were included at the request of the City.

Relevant extracts from the scheme amendment report are included as Attachment No. SC193A.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:

Economic:

This amendment will facilitate commercial expansion to help service the Geraldton region's growing population and expanding economy.

Social:

There are no social issues.

Environmental:

The Environmental Protection Authority considered that the Amendment should not be assessed under Part IV of the Environmental Protection Act 1986.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural and heritage issues.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Council at its meeting held on 24 February 2009 resolved to give final approval to Scheme Amendment No. 47 which proposed the rezoning of an area of land on the western side of the North West Coastal Highway between Phelps Street and Critch Place to the 'Highway Commercial' zone. The Minister gave final endorsement of the amendment on 26 November 2009.

Council at its meeting held on 10 November 2009 resolved to give final approval to Scheme Amendment No. 53 which proposed to rezone Lots 274, 275 and 278-281 Fourth Street, Wonthella to the 'Highway Commercial' zone. The Minister gave final endorsement of the amendment on 11 March 2010.

Council at its meeting held on 22 February 2011 resolved to give final approval to Scheme Amendment No. 58 which proposed to rezone Lots 30 and 31 North West Coastal Highway and Lot 32 Wittenoom Street, Wonthella to the 'Highway Commercial' zone. The Minister gave final endorsement of the amendment on 29 June 2011.

Council at its meeting held on 28 February 2012 resolved to give final approval to Scheme Amendment No. 65 which proposed to rezone Lots 62, 63, 74, 82, 83 North Coastal Highway, Wonthella (between Duke and Houtman Streets) to the 'Highway Commercial' zone. The Minister gave final endorsement of the amendment on 11 April 2012.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

The amendment was publically advertised in accordance with the provisions of the Planning and Development Act 2005.

The advertising period commenced on 11 September 2014 and concluded on the 24 October 2014 and involved the following:

1. A notice appeared in the Midwest Times on 11 September 2014;
2. The two affected landowners (Lots 5 and 6 North West Coastal Highway) were specifically written to and advised of the proposed amendment and were invited to contact the City to discuss the proposal in further detail;
3. Adjoining/nearby landowners within a 100m radius were written to and advised of the proposed amendment;
4. A sign was placed on North West Coastal Highway;
5. The amendment details were available on the City's website;
6. The amendment details were publically displayed at the Civic Centre;
7. The Amendment was referred to the following:
 - ATCO Gas;
 - Department of Education and Training;
 - Department of Aboriginal Affairs;
 - DFES;
 - Main Roads WA;
 - Mid West Chamber of Commerce & Industry;
 - Mid West Development Commission;
 - Wonthella Progress Association;
 - Public Transport Authority;
 - Telstra ;
 - Water Corporation;
 - Western Power; and
 - Department of Housing.

Submission

As a result of the advertising, a total of 7 submissions were received, none with any objections.

The owner of Lot 5 North West Coastal Highway, which was included within the rezoning at the request of the City, gave their support for the rezoning. No response was received from the owner of Lot 6 North West Coastal Highway.

A submission was received by the owner of Lot 30 (No. 7) Duke Street, Wonthella which is the lot directly to the south of the scheme amendment area, and the only lot fronting the North West Coastal Highway, from Phelps Street to Houtman Street, which is either not already zoned 'Highway Commercial' or subject to this amendment. The owner specifically requested that Lot 30 be included within the rezoning.

A 'Schedule of Submissions' is included as Attachment No. SC193B and copies of the actual submission are available to Council upon request.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

The lots are currently zoned Residential R12.5/40/50 with Lot 5 Phelps Street having an Additional Use of Service Industry, under the City's Town Planning Scheme No.3 (Geraldton).

The Scheme Amendment proposes to rezone the lots to the "Highway Commercial" zone which has the following objective:

'to ensure the provision of service commercial activities, including bulky goods retailing with regard for relevant strategies and policies adopted by Council'.

Council policies will therefore be to:

- *permit under the zone a wide range of uses appropriate to achieving the objective; and*
- *encourage development along the North West Coastal Highway in locations with regard for relevant strategies and policies adopted by Council.*

Given that the use class 'Industry – Service' is 'AA' (discretionary) within the 'Highway Commercial' zone, the deletion of Additional Use 'A50' from Schedule 2 of Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton) is warranted.

Part 5 of the Planning & Development Act 2005 provides for the amendment of a Local Planning Scheme.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

There are no financial and budget implications.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Governance	Planning and Policy
Strategy: 5.2.1	Responding to community aspirations by providing creative yet effective planning and zoning for future development.

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:State Planning Strategy:

The State Planning Strategy (1996) identified the following vision for the Mid-West Region:

in the next 33 years the region will continue to grow and diversify its economic base in the areas of agriculture, mineral development, downstream processing of commodities and tourism.

It further delineates that Geraldton will develop as the largest regional centre north of Perth, offering a wide range of facilities and attractions.

This Scheme Amendment facilitates commercial expansion to service the Geraldton region's growing population and expanding economy and is consistent with this vision.

Geraldton Region Plan (1999) and Greater Geraldton Structure Plan 2011:

This plan seeks to provide a framework for the future management, protection and coordination of regional planning in the region. The Region Plan incorporates a structure plan for the Greater Geraldton area. The subject lot is identified as 'future industrial and service commercial'.

Commercial Activity Centres Strategy:

This Strategy provides a strategic planning framework for managing future growth in commercial activity by providing performance-based criteria for commercial centres. The Strategy informs the City and proponents of the potential scale for future retail and commercial development in existing and planned activity centres.

The subject land is identified in the document to be within the North West Coastal Highway 'Highway Commercial' precinct.

RISK MANAGEMENT:

There are no inherent risks to the City in approving this amendment.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:

It is considered that the amendment is consistent with the overall strategic planning framework and direction for commercial activity in Greater Geraldton.

The proposed modification to include Lot 30 (No. 7) Duke Street, Wonthella within the rezoning ensures that all blocks fronting North West Coastal Highway, from Phelps Street to Houtman Street, are zoned 'Highway Commercial', thus providing a contiguous service commercial area fronting the Highway.

The amendment is consistent with the direction prescribed in the Commercial Activity Centres Strategy which shows this strip of lots as 'Highway Commercial' and therefore the option to refuse the amendment is not supported.

The option to defer the matter is not supported as there is considered to be sufficient information for Council to determine the matter.

COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR GRAHAM, SECONDED CR VAN STYN

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Part 5 of the Planning and Development Act 2005 RESOLVES to:

- 1. DETERMINE the submission as outlined in the ‘Schedule of Submissions’;**
- 2. ADOPT for final approval Scheme Amendment No. 73 to Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton) subject to:**
 - a. Including Lot 30 (No. 7) Duke Street, Wonthella as part of the ‘ Highway Commercial’ zone; and**
 - b. The modifications as outlined in the ‘Schedule of Submissions’.**
- 3. SEEK final approval of the scheme amendment from the Minister for Planning.**

CARRIED 14/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton’s Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

SC194	FINAL ADOPTION OF TOWN PLANNING SCHEME AMENDMENT NO. 72 – HIGHWAY COMMERCIAL REZONING, WONTHELLA
-------	--

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-80484
AUTHOR:	M Thomson, Strategic Planning Officer
EXECUTIVE:	P Melling, Director Sustainable Communities
DATE OF REPORT:	27 November 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	LP/7/0038
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	LandWest Urban and Rural Planning Consultants
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes (x2)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The advertising period has concluded for Scheme Amendment No. 72 which proposes to rezone Lot 3 Place Road and Lots 60 and 59 First Street to the 'Highway Commercial' zone and Lot 64 North West Coastal Highway and Lot 61 First Street to the 'Highway Commercial' zone and 'District Distributor' Local Scheme Reserve.

This report recommends final approval of the Amendment (subject to a modification to remove Lot 3 Place Road and Lots 59 and 60 First Street, Wonthella) and that it be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for final endorsement.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Part 5 of the Planning and Development Act 2005 RESOLVES to:

1. DETERMINE the submission as outlined in the 'Schedule of Submissions'; and
2. ADOPT for final approval Scheme Amendment No. 72 to Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton) subject to removing Lot 3 Place Road and Lots 59 and 60 First Street, Wonthella from the proposed rezoning; and
3. SEEK final approval of the Scheme Amendment from the Minister for Planning.

PROPONENT:

The proponent is LandWest Urban and Rural Planning Consultants on behalf of Chantica Midwest Pty Ltd.

BACKGROUND:

The land subject to this amendment comprises of 5 lots located on the eastern side of the North West Coastal Highway between the intersections of First Street and Place Road.

The lots are currently zoned 'Residential R12.5/40/50' with the western portion of Lot 61 First Street and Lot 64 North West Coastal Highway being

zoned 'District Distributor' Local Scheme Reserve. The following land uses exist on site:

- Lot 3 (No. 204) Place Road – Dwelling;
- Lot 59 (No. 205) First Street – Dwelling;
- Lot 60 (No. 203) First Street – Dwelling;
- Lot 61 (No. 201) First Street – Domino's Pizza; and
- Lot 64 (No. 184-186) North West Coastal Highway – Subway and Chicken Treat.

Adjacent to the subject land area is Bunnings to the west while the north side of Place Road is zoned 'Light – Industry' and contains associated uses. The lots abutting to the east are zoned 'Residential R12.5/30/40' and are used for residential purposes.

It is worthwhile to note that the scheme amendment was initiated by the owner of Lots 61 First Street and Lot 64 North West Coastal Highway. The additional three lots (being Lot 3 Place Road and Lots 59 and 60 First Street) were included at the request of the City.

Relevant extracts from the scheme amendment report are included as Attachment No. SC194A.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL & CULTURAL ISSUES:

Economic:

This amendment will facilitate commercial expansion to help service the Geraldton region's growing population and expanding economy.

Social:

Some of submissions objecting to the proposal were based on concerns regarding the encroachment of commercial uses into a predominantly residential area and the impact on the amenity of the suburb.

Given that the uses on-site already exist there is no specific evidence to support the view that the rezoning will disrupt the amenity of the locality.

Any future development of the site would be subject to a development application which would need to demonstrate that any proposed use or design would not be detrimental to the amenity of the area and adversely impact the neighbouring residential lots.

Environmental:

The Environmental Protection Authority considered that the Amendment should not be assessed under Part IV of the Environmental Protection Act 1986.

Cultural & Heritage:

There are no cultural and heritage issues.

RELEVANT PRECEDENTS:

Council at its meeting held on 24 February 2009 resolved to give final approval to Scheme Amendment No. 47 which proposed the rezoning of an area of land on the western side of the North West Coastal Highway between Phelps Street and Critch Place to the 'Highway Commercial' zone. The Minister gave final endorsement of the amendment on 26 November 2009.

Council at its meeting held on 10 November 2009 resolved to give final approval to Scheme Amendment No. 53 which proposed to rezone Lots 274, 275 and 278-281 Fourth Street, Wonthella to the 'Highway Commercial' zone. The Minister gave final endorsement of the amendment on 11 March 2010.

Council at its meeting held on 22 February 2011 resolved to give final approval to Scheme Amendment No. 58 which proposed to rezone Lots 30 and 31 North West Coastal Highway and Lot 32 Wittenoom Street, Wonthella to the 'Highway Commercial' zone. The Minister gave final endorsement of the amendment on 29 June 2011.

Council at its meeting held on 28 February 2012 resolved to give final approval to Scheme Amendment No. 65 which proposed to rezone Lots 62, 63, 74, 82, 83 North Coastal Highway, Wonthella (between Duke and Houtman Streets) to the 'Highway Commercial' zone. The Minister gave final endorsement of the amendment on 11 April 2012.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

The amendment was publically advertised in accordance with the provisions of the Planning and Development Act 2005.

The advertising period commenced on 11 September 2014 and concluded on the 24 October 2014 and involved the following:

1. A notice appeared in the Midwest Times on 11 September 2014;
2. The three affected landowners (Lot 3 Place Road and Lots 59 and 60 First Street) were specifically written to and advised of the proposed amendment and were invited to contact the City to discuss the proposal in further detail;
3. Meetings were held with two of the above three affected landowners;
4. Adjoining/nearby landowners within a 100m radius were written to and advised of the proposed amendment;
5. Two signs were placed on Place Road and the corner of First Street and North West Coastal Highway;
6. The amendment details were available on the City's website;
7. The amendment details were publically displayed at the Civic Centre; and
8. The Amendment was referred to the following:
 - ATCO Gas;
 - Department of Education and Training;

- Department of Aboriginal Affairs;
- DFES;
- Main Roads WA;
- Mid West Chamber of Commerce & Industry;
- Mid West Development Commission;
- Wonthella Progress Association;
- Public Transport Authority;
- Telstra;
- Water Corporation;
- Western Power; and
- Department of Housing.

Submission

As a result of the advertising, a total of 16 submissions were received (11 objecting the proposal). Listed below is a summation of the main comments/concerns raised during the public comment period:

- The residential lots should not be included within the rezoning particularly as there was no desire to develop these lots for any use other than residential purposes at present;
- If the Amendment proceeded, there was concern regarding the ability to increase the residential potential of the affected lots under non-conforming use rights.
- There was a lack of consultation with the community of Wonthella. There was particular concern raised with the lack of consultation with affected landowners whose lots were included within the rezoning;
- There would be a reduction to the value of properties in close proximity to the 'Highway Commercial zone and for those residential lots included within the rezoning;
- The increase in noise, traffic, congestion and safety issues along the North West Coastal Highway and on connecting intersections, crossovers and within the Wonthella locality;
- Loss of residential amenity and the 'historical' aspect of the locality as the 'Highway Commercial' encroaches into the residential areas;
- A buffer zone should be placed between North West Coastal Highway and the residential lots to protect residential uses; and
- The existing commercial uses should be relocated to more appropriate areas.

A 'Schedule of Submissions' is included as Attachment No. SC194B and copies of the actual submission are available to Council upon request.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

The lots are currently zoned 'Residential R12.5/40/50 with the western portion of Lot 61 First Street and Lot 64 North West Coastal Highway being zoned 'District Distributor' Local Scheme Reserve under the City's Town Planning Scheme No.3 (Geraldton).

The scheme amendment proposes to rezone the area currently zoned 'Residential R12.5/40/50' to the 'Highway Commercial' zone. The portion of Lots 61 First Street and Lot 64 North West Coastal Highway zoned 'District Distributor' Local Scheme Reserve will remain unchanged.

The "Highway Commercial" zone has the following objective:

to ensure the provision of service commercial activities, including bulky goods retailing with regard for relevant strategies and policies adopted by Council.

Council policies will therefore be to:

- *permit under the zone a wide range of uses appropriate to achieving the objective; and*
- *encourage development along the North West Coastal Highway in locations with regard for relevant strategies and policies adopted by Council.*

Part 5 of the Planning and Development Act 2005 provides for the amendment of a Local Planning Scheme.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

There are no financial and budget implications.

INTEGRATED PLANNING LINKS:

Title: Governance	Planning and Policy
Strategy: 5.2.1	Responding to community aspirations by providing creative yet effective planning and zoning for future development.

REGIONAL OUTCOMES:

State Planning Strategy:

The State Planning Strategy (1996) identified the following vision for the Mid-West Region:

in the next 33 years the region will continue to grow and diversify its economic base in the areas of agriculture, mineral development, downstream processing of commodities and tourism.

It further delineates that Geraldton will develop as the largest regional centre north of Perth, offering a wide range of facilities and attractions.

This amendment facilitates commercial expansion to service the Geraldton region's growing population and expanding economy and is consistent with this vision.

Geraldton Region Plan (1999) and Greater Geraldton Structure Plan 2011:

This plan seeks to provide a framework for the future management, protection and coordination of regional planning in the region. The Region Plan incorporates a structure plan for the Greater Geraldton area. The subject lot is identified as 'future industrial and service commercial' and 'primary distributor road'.

Commercial Activity Centres Strategy:

This Strategy provides a strategic planning framework for managing future growth in commercial activity by providing performance-based criteria for commercial centres. The Strategy informs the City and proponents of the potential scale for future retail and commercial development in existing and planned activity centres.

The subject land is identified in the document to be within the North West Coastal Highway 'Highway Commercial' precinct.

RISK MANAGEMENT:

There are no inherent risks to the City in approving this Scheme Amendment.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED:

Lot 3 Place Road and Lots 59 and 60 First Street were included within the rezoning at the request of the City in an attempt to align the proposed rezoning of Lots 61 and 64 with the strategic intent for the area. This strategic intent is demonstrated via the Commercial Activity Centres Strategy and the Greater Geraldton Structure Plan.

Following consultation with the affected landowners, and given their submissions it is considered premature to rezone these properties at this time.

Although the land is identified for service commercial in the future, at present the commercial growth and demand in the area does not warrant expanding the 'Highway Commercial' zone past those lots that directly front the North West Coastal Highway. Removing these properties from the rezoning will not affect the overall intent of the area at this time.

The option to refuse the amendment is not supported as and the amendment is still consistent with the overall strategic planning framework and direction for commercial activity in Greater Geraldton.

The option to defer the matter is not supported as there is considered to be sufficient information for Council to determine the matter.

COUNCIL DECISION**MOVED CR MCILWAINE, SECONDED CR DETRAFFORD**

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Part 5 of the Planning and Development Act 2005 RESOLVES to:

- 1. DETERMINE the submission as outlined in the ‘Schedule of Submissions’; and**
- 2. ADOPT for final approval Scheme Amendment No. 72 to Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (Geraldton) subject to removing Lot 3 Place Road and Lots 59 and 60 First Street, Wonthella from the proposed rezoning; and**
- 3. SEEK final approval of the Scheme Amendment from the Minister for Planning.**

CARRIED 14/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton’s Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

16 REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED**REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED**

AGENDA REFERENCE:	D-14-82095
AUTHOR:	K Diehm, Chief Executive Officer
EXECUTIVE:	K Diehm, Chief Executive Officer
DATE OF REPORT:	1 December 2014
FILE REFERENCE:	GO/6/0002
APPLICANT / PROPONENT:	City of Greater Geraldton
ATTACHMENTS:	Yes

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

To receive the Reports of the City of Greater Geraldton.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:**PART A**

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 22.(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to

1. RECEIVE the following appended reports:
 - a. Reports – Creative Communities
 - i. CC193 – Reconciliation Committee Meeting Minutes – 11 November 2014
 - b. Reports – Sustainable Communities
 - i. SCD093 – Delegated Determinations
 - ii. SC195 – Local Emergency Management Committee Meeting Minutes – 13 November 2014

PART B

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Sections 5.13 and 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 RESOLVES to:

1. RECEIVE the following appended reports:
 - a. Reports – Corporate and Commercial Services;
 - i. CCS094 – Audit Committee Meeting Minutes – 25 November 2014
 - ii. CCS095 - Confidential Report – List of Accounts Paid Under Delegation – November 2014

PROponent:

The proponent is the City of Greater Geraldton

BACKGROUND:

Information and items for noting or receiving (i.e. periodic reports, minutes of other meetings) are to be included in an appendix attached to the Council agenda.

Any reports received under this Agenda are considered received only. Any recommendations or proposals contained within the “Reports (including

Minutes) to be Received” are not approved or endorsed by Council in any way. Any outcomes or recommendations requiring Council approval must be presented separately to Council as a Report for consideration at an Ordinary Meeting of Council.

COMMUNITY/COUNCILLOR CONSULTATION:

Not applicable.

LEGISLATIVE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Not applicable.

COUNCIL DECISION

MOVED CR DETRAFFORD, SECONDED CR HALL

PART A

That Council by Simple Majority pursuant to Section 22.(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 RESOLVES to

- 1. RECEIVE the following appended reports:**
 - a. Reports – Creative Communities**
 - i. CC193 – Reconciliation Committee Meeting Minutes – 11 November 2014**
 - b. Reports – Sustainable Communities**
 - i. SCD093 – Delegated Determinations**
 - ii. SC195 – Local Emergency Management Committee Meeting Minutes – 13 November 2014**

PART B

That Council by Simple Majority, pursuant to Sections 5.13 and 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 RESOLVES to:

- 1. RECEIVE the following appended reports:**
 - a. Reports – Corporate and Commercial Services;**
 - i. CCS094 – Audit Committee Meeting Minutes – 25 November 2014**
 - ii. CCS095 - Confidential Report – List of Accounts Paid Under Delegation – November 2014**

CARRIED 14/0

In accordance with Section 9.3 (2) of the City of Greater Geraldton’s Meeting Procedures Local Law, February 2012 the motion was passed unopposed.

17 ELECTED MEMBERS MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

18 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

19 URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY PRESIDING MEMBER OR BY DECISION OF THE MEETING

Nil.

20 CLOSURE

There being no further business the Presiding Member closed the Council meeting at 6.45pm.

APPENDIX 1 – ATTACHMENTS AND REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED

Attachments and Reports to be Received are available on the City of Greater Geraldton website at: <http://www.cgg.wa.gov.au/your-council/meetings>