

ANNUAL MEETING OF ELECTORS MINUTES

3 FEBRUARY 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY	2
2	DECLARATION OF OPENING	2
3	ATTENDANCE	2
4	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	3
5	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS ANNUAL ELECTORS MEE'AS CIRCULATED	
6	REPORT FOR 2013/14 – CITY OF GREATER GERALDTON	16
7	CLOSURE	17
A DDE	ENDLY 1 ATTACHMENTS	10

CITY OF GREATER GERALDTON

ANNUAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON MONDAY, 3 FEBRUARY 2015 AT 5.30PM CHAMBERS, CATHEDRAL AVENUE

MINUTES

DISCLAIMER:

The Chairman advises that the purpose of this Council Meeting is to discuss and, where possible, make resolutions about items appearing on the agenda. Whilst Council has the power to resolve such items and may in fact, appear to have done so at the meeting, no person should rely on or act on the basis of such decision or on any advice or information provided by a Member or Officer, or on the content of any discussion occurring, during the course of the meeting. Persons should be aware that the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995 (Section 5.25(e)) and Council's Standing Orders Local Laws establish procedures for revocation or recision of a Council decision. No person should rely on the decisions made by Council until formal advice of the Council decision is received by that person. The City of Greater Geraldton expressly disclaims liability for any loss or damage suffered by any person as a result of relying on or acting on the basis of any resolution of Council, or any advice or information provided by a Member or Officer, or the content of any discussion occurring, during the course of the Council meeting.

1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

I would like to respectfully acknowledge the Yamaji people who are the Traditional Owners and First People of the land on which we meet/stand. I would like to pay my respects to the Elders past, present and future for they hold the memories, the traditions, the culture and hopes of the Yamaji people'.

2 DECLARATION OF OPENING

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 5.30pm.

3 ATTENDANCE

Mayor I Carpenter

Cr D J Caudwell

Cr J Clune

Cr J Critch

Cr R deTrafford

Cr S Douglas

Cr P Fiorenza

Cr L Graham

Cr R D Hall

Cr S Keemink

Cr N McIlwaine

Cr V Tanti

Cr T Thomas

Officers:

K Diehm, Chief Executive Officer

P Melling, Director of Sustainable Communities

B Davis, Director of Corporate and Commercial Enterprises

A Selvey, Director of Creative Communities

N Arbuthnot, Director of Community Infrastructure

S Moulds, PA to the Chief Executive Officer

L Taylor, Executive Support Secretary

K Wykstra, Administrative Support

M Fates, Site and Delivery Manager, Karloo Wandina Project Verita Road

P Radalj, Manager Finance and Treasury

M Jones, Financial Business Planner

M Dufour, Project Coordinator

M Atkinson, Manager Infrastructure Planning and Design

Y Lovedee, Coordinator Community Development

M McGinity, Manager Corporate Communications

J Graham, Manager Corporate Services

Others:

Electors: 11 Press: 1

Apologies:

Cr D Brick

Cr S Van Styn

Leave of Absence:

Nil.

4 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Questions provided in writing prior to the meeting or at the meeting will receive a formal response. Please note that you cannot make statements in Public Question Time and such statements will not be recorded in the Minutes.

Our Local Laws and the Local Government Act require questions to be put to the presiding member and answered by the Council. No questions can be put to individual Councillors

Mr Max Correy, 51 Bayview Street, Geraldton WA 6530

Question

Where are the Council's priorities?

Is it grandiose unnecessary projects that are not needed or required such as the white elephant Verita Road project that starts nowhere, goes nowhere and achieves nothing that's not already catered for in between? Or is it the people of the city and surrounds and the community generally that's of most importance?

Response

Verita Road is one section of the road network that is being developed as part of the overall Karloo- Wandina area.

For more than 20 years the City's road network planning has identified Verita Road as a key local distributor road. The planning of Wandina and other southern suburbs has been on the premise that Verita Road would be constructed to serve those areas. The connections such as the Ackland- Abraham Street link also will link up several currently isolated sections of the City's road network making it easier for residents to access areas of employment and leisure.

The project also opens up substantial commercial and residential land holdings which will help keep land prices down and facilitate greater investment into our local economy.

The City had the full liability on its future budgets to construct Verita Road and instead was able to use substantial funding from both the State and Federal Government to build the project. It should also be noted that the project in its construction phase (to date) also generated significant local employment opportunities with well over 90% of the funds expended locally in the Geraldton community.

Question

Are Council happy that over the past 3 years since the massive rate increase of 2012/13 of some 30% in the city residential area and the subsequent compounding effect plus further year on year increases resulting in approximately \$20M of extra funds being taken from ratepayers pockets that we now see empty shops and businesses all over town with those business owners and their staff out of jobs, lost their income and in some cases lost their house as a result of not being able to pay their mortgage payments. Is this something the Council are proud of?

Response

The City is not comfortable with the current economic activity within the town, but is unreasonable to suggest that City's rates have been the primary source of impact.

The City is conscious of effects of rates, fees and charges on the local community. It is equally conscious of the broader economic climate within which this City exists.

The City is also impacted by the same substantial increases in costs of materials, labour, electricity, gas, and water, as every other business. In

addition to this, we have had to contend with the withdrawal and major reduction of State and Federal funding.

The additional revenue generated through rates is being used to replace the loss of federal and state government funding, meet rising costs of service delivery, and to renew a significant backlog of decaying infrastructure. The overwhelming majority of this additional revenue is returned to the local economy through the purchase of goods and services.

Question

Do Council realise that the \$20M of extra cash taken from ratepayers pockets over and above a normal long term 5.5% increase multiplies some 5 times (as stated by marketing guru Barry Urquhart) actually means that \$100M of discretionary spending has not taken place in this city over the past 3 years?

Is it any wonder our city is an economic basket case as a result of the Council inflicted austerity measures?

Do Council have any plans to redress this unfair and crippling policy?

Response

The question incorrectly assumes that rates collected by the City is expended elsewhere.

Applying your methodology, the same amount of money is still being spent within the local community because the overwhelming majority of City expenditure goes to local businesses, and from them to their subcontractors and employees.

Question

Do Council realise that as they have helped send businesses broke all over town and that as these businesses close the citizens of Geraldton lose services and have to travel further afield – mainly to Perth to source products and services. Our quality of life is affected. Do Council have any plans to redress.

- 1. To the business sector,
- 2. To the public of Geraldton?

Response

It is unreasonable to suggest that City's rates have been the primary source of impact on the local business sector in recent years.

Substantial increases in State Government fees and charges, and the costs of electricity and water (at rates of increase many times higher than the average increases in Rates revenue collections, have had a far more significant effect on local businesses, during a period of local economic downturn.

The City is conscious of the effects of increasing costs on business, and the tightness of local economic activity. The City is equally conscious of the need to maintain and replace essential infrastructure on which the local economy and community depend.

Simply cutting rates is not the solution. Rates reductions can only be achieved by cutting expenditure, through the reduction of services, and by finding additional revenue sources.

The City is about to commence this process and I look forward to your involvement, and the involvement of the whole community.

Question

The massive rate rise of 2012/13 was supposed to facilitate the Council complying with a State Government directive to address the long term asset renewal but instead we see Council embark a \$40M spend on Verita Road which is a capital project that achieves nothing for the people of Geraldton and is in direct contradiction of the supposed purpose of the rate increases of the past 3 years. Wouldn't it have been far better to have returned the extra funds to ratepayers and allow them to stimulate the economy of the city than building another capital asset to maintain?

Council have clearly deliberately and knowingly deceived ratepayers – who is responsible for this folly?

Response

Verita Road project attracted \$23 million in external grant funds, and the balance was funded by loans to be serviced and repaid over time. The project was not funded from annual Rates revenue.

That \$23M, and the additional funds from City borrowings, went straight back into the local economy, with the overwhelming majority of the project works going to local contractors. This provided a very significant economic stimulus to the construction sector during difficult times.

The Verita Road development has been long-planned by predecessor Councils, but never delivered in past years. The first stage, bringing essential power, water and sewer trunk utilities to that area, will open up substantial commercial and residential land holdings which will help keep land prices down and facilitate greater investment into our local economy.

Question

As it is apparent that Council staff aren't capable of reducing the costs of operating the operations of the city isn't it time to call in both a productivity and efficiency auditor and also a financial auditor with clear instructions given to both to reduce Council expenditure by \$6.5-7M and in turn return that to ratepayers this year by a reduction in rates.

Response

This is not the case. Improving our organisational effectiveness is part of our organisational culture with staff meeting on a regular basis to focus on business improvement. Our staff have done an excellent job in reducing operating costs and have achieved more than \$1M in efficiency savings over the last 2 years.

In 2013 we engaged external consultants to assist in improving our organisational effectiveness and now benchmark our organisation against hundreds of companies around the world. As a result of this work, and the efforts of our staff, our organisational effectiveness has significantly improved and our recent results show our organisation to be operating above the international benchmark.

Contrary to your understanding, the City already has a yearly Financial Audit and an Audit committee with external representation that meets on a regular basis.

The types of savings that you have identified cannot be achieved by productivity and efficiency gains alone. It can only be achieved by cutting expenditure, through the reduction of services, and by finding additional revenue sources.

As mentioned previously, the City is about to start a review that will consider this very issue.

Question

The Verita Road/Abraham Street bridge contract awarding has dragged on for many months. Why have Council instructed the CEO to negotiate a final contract with Georgious when a fully compliant tender from another tenderer was lodged at approximately \$1M less. Is there something untoward happening behind the scenes that ratepayers and Councillors should know about?

Response

There is nothing untoward happening behind the scenes. The City is simply negotiating an agreement with Brookfield Rail, the Public Transit Authority, the preferred contractor and the City, to enable us to carry out the work and mitigate the City's financial risk. This would have been required regardless of who the contract is ultimately awarded to as this agreement has to form part of the contract.

The preferred tenderer was recommended by a Tender Assessment Panel comprising of experienced and appropriately qualified representatives from Main Roads Western Australia, the Public Transit Authority, Brookfield Rail, and the City.

The assumption that there is a fully compliant tender lodged at approximately \$1M less is incorrect. As previously advised at the last

Council meeting held on Tuesday 27thJanuary 2015 it is expected that a Contract will be entered into during the month of February 2015 and work is expected to commence on construction in March 2015.

Question

As it's blatantly obvious that the City of Geraldton is an economic basket case can I ask what steps the Council is taking to address the situation? As I see it when countries around the world go into recession (equivalent to our current situation) they have 3 options

- 1. Cut interest rates
- 2. Print money
- 3. Cut rates and taxes

As I see it only one of these options is available to the Council – cut rates. Is the Council going to do the ethical and right thing and cut our rates this year? I suggest a \$6.5M rate cut to take the rate take back to where it should have been since 2012/13 and thus allow the City's economy to prosper again.

Response

Whilst the local economy has slowed, claiming that Geraldton is an economic basket case is an extreme exaggeration that lacks any factual basis or evidence.

Simply cutting rates is not the solution. Rates reductions can only be achieved by cutting expenditure, through the reduction of services, and by finding additional revenue sources.

As mentioned previously, the City is about to start a review that will consider this very issue. The outcomes of this review will determine the level of rates set by Council.

Jon Ward, 5 June Road, Woorree WA 6530

Question

As 2015 is a revaluation year can I ask that if the gross rental values (GRV) of houses, commercial and industrial property is revalued downwards as a result of the revaluation that we will in time see a corresponding reduction in rates in line with the reasoning given by the Mayor and CEO of the day in 2012/13 when our rates were increased enormously supposedly because of the GRV increase that year or will there be a back flip by Council?

Response

The City has not yet received revaluation information from the State Valuer-General that would apply from 1 July 2015.

Changes in property revaluations are only one factor the Council considers when setting rates. Other factors that are considered are,

operating expenses, capital works and levels of funding from other sources.

Until the revaluations are known and the budget process is underway it is impossible to answer this question.

Question

Can council provide an update regarding the Olive St land development on the old rubbish tip site? Has the further testing etc that was required been undertaken, and what were the results? Has it been determined whether it is suitable for a housing development?

Response

Further investigations have been undertaken on the site which has identified that significant remediation works will be required to enable the development.

We are now reviewing the outcomes of the investigations to determine the most cost effective manner to develop the site for housing and/or public open space. In any case remedial work will need to be undertaken.

Once this is undertaken a full report will be provided to Council for their consideration.

Question

What was the response from council staff regarding the voluntary redundancies? Has enough staff expressed interest in a voluntary departure, or will alternate action have to be implemented?

Response

We have had a number of staff that have requested voluntary redundancies and many who have an expressed an interest. At this time we are below our target of 20 voluntary redundancies.

We are about to commence an extensive review of our range of services with the objective of reducing our costs by \$5.6M in next year's budget. The outcome of this review will determine the required staff establishment. Where positions are no longer required, we are obligated to find redeployment for our staff. If no redeployment opportunities exist, further redundancies will be the only alternative.

Sean Hickey – Po Box 2966, Geraldton WA 6530

Question

Perhaps I get a lot of wrong impressions about various issues I see around Geraldton. Perhaps the place is too unwieldy and I amongst others need to 'get real' about what many don't see or care about. Perhaps I should be more concerned about the fact that as many of our

young would have us believe 'We need a K-Mart and a Big W and as some of the wannabe 'highflyers' a few more major hotels. However from where I sit, along with many others the stark void of values that aren't announced by the city in its various policies, work and service practices is a real problem for the city. If we had a more intimate understanding of what our natural environment can do for us and less of a wish to develop with buildings roads and more] Perhaps we would 'get back ' the nature and the marine environment' that we see the Abrolhos Islands as having. And Yes something we can exploit with tourism. I am sure we will have plenty of comers if we reclaim our beaches and dunes from the ROCK and CONCRETE dumps they have become so you think I have lost the ability to perceive. Take a look at the following just for starters.

- deterioration of the walk tracks from Greenough River mouth to Devlins Pool
- removal of massive amounts of dune sand from Southgate's- a known source of beach and dune sand continued removal of dune and coastal vegetation which can be currently seen and the area covered in eco fibre mesh--an issue yet to be rectified by council or EPA. Of course unsurprising as recent 'clearings', went by without a fix. All too commonplace in this 'neck of the woods' there has been in recent years the siting of a large waste water sump under a lawn (aka, dune that has been levelled)-yes under the eye of council. The same area Council confirms is Crown land and managed POS-- yes for some.
- the exposure of vast amounts of building rubble and rocks that fringe the remaining beach at Gray's Beach for about 200 meters or more---A tourist area if only and close to the 'lighthouse'
- Pages Beach the mega silt-sand man made capture beach-awaiting transportation to the northern beaches and back a long beach track city. back beach and Tarcoola beach where people tracks are in abundance pushed through the face of the beach frontal dune-The African reef track typical-- A track that is regularly attended too by dozing the wind-blown sand into the sea just as it is close to reforming the frontal dune which if left alone would be a natural block to the massive amount of sand that is blown by the constant southerly/south easterly.

What policy or values are in play here? Costly, yes. Does it work? No. Why The comment 'what would do, you're the expert.

So where is the policy concerning this work practice. What value is it for the environment the budget.

Does the coastal environment have a set of policy guidelines?

Response

There are both state and local policies that apply to the coast and any intended works must be undertaken in compliance with those policy documents. Depending on the scale of the intended works they may also

be subject to a separate approval process necessitating the submission of supporting documentation on coastal processes/ impacts etc.

Question

I can describe the back beach itself where major sand capture and removal is ongoing. Huge mega tonnage is moved on a regular basis into the sea it goes. Nature tries its best and will return the dunes. But of course for now we have a set area which 'has to be kept free of natural sand wind-blown. Just how much does this regularly cost? Wow!! Storm pipes in the area are forever being uncovered. Yet on Scarborough Beach/PERTH they use jump ups with pipe closer to the high tide mark

- Seaweed is continually used in copious amounts .Why?
- recent rock dumping on the beach behind house property west of the Fuller/Kempton Street T intersection. This practice was unannounced to the public. How can this sort of practice fit into a sustainability policy?

Response

A number of observations/ issues are given above. In relation to the sand capture at Back Beach, it is assumed that you are referencing work that has occurred around the surf club over time noting that this is an accreting beach. The works previously undertaken did require approval from the then Department of Environment & Conservation.

The City would like to ultimately remove the north and south pipe at Mahomets but the cost is a significant factor and even with potential federal money the City could not get the stormwater harvesting project to be cost effective to give the best results for the overall community. Seaweed is used as a stabiliser in beach areas.

The Fuller/ Kempton Street location is in fact private freehold property with the lots extending into the ocean. Over many years the City has put several schemes to the state government for acquisition but has not been supported.

Question

Can the people of Geraldton expect more of this?

Will the hundreds of Concrete Blocks remain on the beach at St Georges where at present exposed to 'high tide ' they are causing further erosion?

Response

St Georges Beach is programmed for permanent works to take place later this financial year. The concrete blocks are a temporary measure only until the design for Beresford Foreshore has been completed. The blocks have served their purpose in reducing the initial erosion and protecting community infrastructure. Prior to undertaking permanent works consultation will take place with stakeholders and the local community. Where significant existing community infrastructure, City assets and amenity is threatened by coastal erosion appropriate action

will be taken to protect the infrastructure, assets and amenity and rectify the erosion issues.

Question

I would be pleased to have explained that these issues amongst many will be rectified with a view to right what has gone wrong

Land/road/verge/POS and ongoing development, inclusive of the CBD and Commercial areas There seems to be lots of various development planned for, locked in with words bandied around- sustainability, vibrant ,walkability conservation, connect ability, stimulus, development and it continues as a long list.

Take various housing developments. Council continually tick off development--one in wagga as recent as last Tuesday gained approval.

Have I missed something? We need more development/ houses??

I am sure it is everyone's knowledge that we have some of the lowest house sale prices being recorded in recent times. The sales market seemingly has not bottomed and the oversupply of land and houses is by all reports tremendously high. Unoccupied houses are many and increasing daily

Why do we continue the 'sprawl', which by many accounts is a planning process that appears cheap because of existing link roads. But has many social, environmental, economic and general lifestyle negatives.

Why is it that 'shopping' remains the focus of the City. The attempt to get people into the CBD when they only have limited time and money. A lot of which is spent commuting in and out of the city.

Where is the small locality basic needs met. Where are the walkable cafe/bars small deli's. Where's the places to meet and chat the trees to take the edge off the searing heat *where are the street trees and pathways that do the same? Instead we have cars and 4wd's parked where there was once trees. Roadways and verges are everywhere but not people and shading trees. We have concrete road black and road base and bricks everywhere. Heatbanks, radiating and adding to the already hot days.

Verges, paths and roads shedding rain and reticulated water to waste. What an absolute economic waste. Yet we have road divides and little grass nooks on sand dunes watered regularly. The water shed to the road and waste and the grass grown and mowed at more cost. Which century do we live in? Why are we wasting so much water?

Shopping in the CBD. The shops are closing, the people are at shopping centres, along NWCH and Bunnings or other offshoot trade Centres the likes of Good Guys, Mitchel and Brown or a little further a field in

Webberton. So yes this is Geraldton. What's the future of the CBD with so much outside competition?

And then there are the road closures and overcrowding, Chapman Road an example .Yet in RESIDENTIAL areas where establishing traffic pacifiers, one way streets and larger landscaped treed verge areas are with huge potential the car and 4wd dictate. So much opportunity gone begging.

Let's have these poorly designed areas given back to the people and get a lifestyle outside. And parkland and public open space. The call to establish a BOTANIC Gardens at Maitland Park is in fact a call for MORE POS in the CBD and all over. Sure let's move to such a statement, hopefully a place that is a statement about the central wheat belt. BUT let's not lose the Maitland Park

Why can't we have market days in the CBD. Selling off the old Yellow Sub SITE was not a great move.

Response

There are a number of observations/ comments made in this section. The land development industry is highly regulated, hence the applications you see coming before Council. In terms of demand for lots and their location this is the market at work. The City does not have a role in determining which and when land is released, there are very specific planning requirements placed on the City by the State and rezonings and subdivisions together with major developments are determined at a State level with input from the City and other stakeholders. The City is very aware of the pressures on the City Centre and has a City Centre Revitalisation strategy. Retail is undergoing significant change around the world, the "big box" retail you refer to on North West Coastal Highway is part of that trend as is the increasing impacts of online shopping. The challenge (not only for Council) is that retail is being redefined and the industry has to adapt to survive. Green spaces as you identify are important but also come at a cost that the City must balance against the multitude of other areas that it finds itself responsible for in the changing governments.

William Radford, (Email Address provided)

Question

I have asked a question in writing about People employed on Fly in and Fly out and was told officially in writing that there was only one person and he went home because of troubles at home. It has transpired through discussion with City staff that there are another three on FIFO but they are on contract. So my question is,

Why cannot the council employ local people and save money as this council has said and advertised that Geraldton will be the home for fly in fly out workers in the north west?

Response

The City's preference to recruit locally but recruitment from outside the local region does occur, particularly where it is unlikely that the local labour market has the depth of candidates to secure the best person for the job.

The City has never provided FIFO arrangements for its employees but may provide short term flexible work arrangements if genuine compassionate circumstances require employees to temporarily reside outside of the Geraldton region. There are currently no City employees retained under this type of flexible work arrangements.

Similarly, the City does not employ FIFO contractors.

Question

There are numerous small vocal groups that the City Council reacts to that makes the Budget hard to balance.

In future things like the Council entering in to Civil Contracts, Selling Real Estate, Controlling Corella's and spending more money on the Fore Shore, that the Council contact all Rate payers and ask the question at the time and so get an over- all point of view from the Rate Payers?

Response

Many matters considered by Council require a detailed understanding of the issues and potential solutions, as well as an understanding of what would be in the best interests of the wider community. This is the role of Councillors.

There are a number of ways that the Community can currently make their point of view known. Some of which include:

- Reading the Council agenda and contacting a Councillor,
- Attending Council meetings,
- Writing to the City,
- Submitting petitions,
- Participating in community engagement activities undertaken by the Council.

Most matters of significant community interest already involve a public consultation process comprising of one or many of the above methods and it would be impractical for the City to ask the entire community for their opinion on every decision required by Council.

Question

The State and Commonwealth Government have seen how bad the economy is and at the last Rate Payers meeting it was asked why are our rates going up. Would it be correct in saying that Council has not reacted quick enough to the Mining Slump we are seeing at the moment?

Response

No, the current financial position of the City, and the need for rate increases, is primarily the result of the following factors:

- 1. Significant reductions in State and Federal government funding,
- 2. The costs of goods and services increasing at a rate greater than inflation,
- 3. The significant increases in operating and maintenance costs associated with the level of new infrastructure built over the last 10 to 20 years, and;
- 4. The increasing backlog of decaying infrastructure that requires renewal.

Simply cutting rates is not the solution. Rates reductions can only be achieved by cutting expenditure, through the reduction of services, and by finding additional revenue sources.

Question

Is it possible to get the Revenue per month in the last twelve months, that the Council has been paid in Parking fees at the Airport, both long and short time parking, also what was spent on those facilities, so that I can ask the question of Council was it a good idea to spend so much money at the Airport when we are in the present Financial Climate?

Response

The airports car parks expansion project was undertaken across two financial years, funded by loans, with total cost in the order of \$2.6M.

Expansion of the short-term and long-term car parks at the Airport was undertaken to overcome the problem of seriously inadequate car parking space, as a result of significant growth in demand for air travel, and the mounting complaints from airport patrons.

Paid parking only commenced from March 2014, so we do not yet have a full 12 months operation to provide an annual view. However, average parking revenue to date is about \$22,000/month so annual revenue may be expected to exceed \$260,000.

Importantly – the Airport is not funded from Rates funds, or other municipal revenues and receives no subsidy whatsoever from ratepayers funds. The Airport is funded wholly from airport revenues, including aircraft landing fees, passenger service fees (including security screening fees), and from car parking.

Question

Can I have the amount of money spent on Heritage work, for example the old railway station, Freemasons Hall Mullewa, all the Museums, and other old buildings this year, so that I can ask. If we were to take this as a percentage of Rates we pay is it fair that it is so high compared to road maintenance which is a core business of any Shire?

Response

The net cost to upgrade the old railway station \$1.03m and was funded from loan funds. This was a one off project to restore a building of significant historical importance to our community.

The net cost of renewal and maintenance work at the Freemasons hall was approximately \$17,776, whilst the net cost for other heritage buildings is approximately \$73,927.

The cost of road renewal and maintenance expenditure during the 2013-14 financial years was approximately \$10M – over 100 times more than what is traditionally spent on renewing and maintaining the City's existing heritage buildings Earn.

5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS ANNUAL ELECTORS MEETING – as circulated

RECOMMENDED that the minutes of the Annual Electors Meeting of the City of Greater Geraldton held on 3 February 2014 as previously circulated, be adopted as a true and correct record of proceedings. http://www.cgg.wa.gov.au/meeting/annual-meeting-electors-3-february-2014

MOVED

MOVED CR THOMAS, SECONDED CR TANTI

RECOMMENDED that the minutes of the Annual Electors Meeting of the City of Greater Geraldton held on 3 February 2014 as previously circulated, be adopted as a true and correct record of proceedings.

CARRIED

6 REPORT FOR 2013/14 – CITY OF GREATER GERALDTON

RECOMMENDATION

That the City of Greater Geraldton Annual Report and Annual Financial Report for 2013/2014 be received by Electors.

MOTION

MOVED CR CRITCH, SECONDED CR FIORENZA

That the City of Greater Geraldton Annual Report and Annual Financial Report for 2013/2014 be received by Electors with an amendment to Page 13 of the Annual Report to include the legends on the Financial Expenditure.

CARRIED

7 CLOSURE

There being no further business the Chairman closed the Council meeting at 6.45pm.

APPENDIX 1 – ATTACHMENTS

Attachments are available on the City of Greater Geraldton website at: http://www.cgg.wa.gov.au/your-council/meetings